Repair of unilateral combined orbital floor and medial wall fracture using two titanium mesh plates: a modified technique
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Background: Compared to isolated orbital wall fracture, combined orbital floor and medial wall fractures are more likely to be required surgical correction due to a higher possibility of complications. However, it remains a challenge to repair concomitant orbital fracture using a one-piece implant due to the complex anatomic structures of the orbit. Aiming to reduce surgical difficulties and enhance therapeutic effects, we repaired unilateral combined orbital floor and medial wall fractures using two separated modified titanium mesh plates in this study.

Methods: A retrospective study was conducted on 21 consecutive patients who presented with unilateral combined orbital floor and medial wall fractures in Tianjin Medical University General Hospital between November 2010 and January 2016. The orbital fractures were repaired with two separated titanium mesh plates. The corner at the transition zone area between the orbital floor and the medial wall was reconstructed simultaneously through a combined transcarnucular and inferior subciliary approach with lateral canthotomy. The pre- and post-operative functions and aesthetic results were evaluated.

Results: Preoperatively, all patients presented with 3.5–6.5 mm enophthalmos, five patients presented with diplopia with ocular motility limitation in injured eyes, and six patients presented with hypoglobus ranging from 1.5 to 3.5 mm. Orbital floor and medial wall fractures of all patients were successfully repaired with two separated titanium mesh plates. Postoperatively, enophthalmos was improved in all patients, which was less than 2 mm on the last follow-up day. Hypoglobus was disappeared in all six patients postoperatively. Diplopia was resolved in five patients within 3 months post operation, and was reduced in one patient.

Conclusions: In cases of unilateral concomitant orbital floor and medial wall fractures, two titanium mesh plates implantation is a safe and effective procedure. It is worthwhile to take the technique into account when the key points to consider when applying this method include reconstruction of the special orbital shape and the complete return reposition of prolapsed intraorbital soft tissues were intended.
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Introduction

Orbital blowout fractures occur frequently as a result of facial trauma. Although the most common types of orbital wall fractures have orbital medial wall and orbital floor involved, the combined orbital floor and medial wall fracture have been sharply raised by now (1,2). The consequences of combined orbital floor and medial wall fractures are dramatic, which contain enophthalmos, diplopia owing to ocular motility limitation, dislocation of the eyeball and the paresthesia in the area dominated by the infraorbital nerve (3). Hence, surgical intervention is generally called for repairing the orbital floor and medial wall fracture, reconstructing the complex three-dimensional shape of the orbital cavity, and repositing the intraorbital soft tissue that herniated into the paranasal sinus back into the orbit completely. Despite the advanced development of various implant materials and improvements in surgical techniques in recent years, it still remains a technical challenge for orbital surgeons in consideration of the complexity of the surgical procedures (4-6). There are multiple options of typical materials for bone wall defect repair including bone sheet, high density porous polyethylene, titanium mesh, absorbable polymer, bioactive ceramics and tissue engineering bone (7). Titanium mesh, a permanent implant, has been reported to have advantages over the other implants in repairing the large defect of orbital fracture, on account of its excellent structural support, shorter operative time, and ease of moulding into the desired shape (8). In addition, several studies focused on the surgical techniques listed following: repairment of the medial wall combined with orbital floor fractures basing on transconjunctival retro/transcaruncular approach with prebent titanium meshes implanted, to correctly accurate access to the entire medial wall and orbital floor with the inferior oblique muscle either disinserted from the bone posteriorly the inferomedial rim or divided with Stevens scissor to create “a panoramic corridor” (9,10). In this study, We devised an orbital reconstruction for combined orbital floor and medial wall fractures. The aim of this study was to evaluate the safety, reliability, and accuracy of this surgical technique, and discuss its efficacy. We present the following article in accordance with the STROBE reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-21-598).

Methods

Study design

In this study, 21 patients diagnosed as unilateral combined orbital floor and medial wall fractures at the Department of Ophthalmology, Tianjin Medical University General Hospital were enrolled from November 2010 and January 2016. Patients suffered other types of fractures were excluded when they conformed to any of the following criteria: orbital rim fracture, bilateral orbital fracture, and any history of facial fractures or diseases that could deform the orbital volume. The surgical indications for orbital wall fractures were impairment of extraocular movement and enophthalmos ≥ 3 mm. The procedures followed were in accordance with the ethical standards of the Institute Review Board of Tianjin Medical University General Hospital and Helsinki Declaration (as revised in 2013). The Institutional Review Board of Tianjin Medical University General Hospital approved this study (No. IRB2019-WZ-054). Written informed consents were obtained from all patients. Data collected for each patient included demographic characteristics, cause of injury, interval time from injury to surgery.

Preoperative evaluation

Before the operation, photographs were taken (Figure 1) and orbital computed tomography (CT) scans were obtained, including axial, coronal, and sagittal scans, as well as a three-dimensional reconstruction. A diagnosis of unilateral combined orbital floor and medial wall fracture was established according to the CT results (Figure 2A,B). All patients were examined by the same ophthalmologist for best-corrected visual acuity, diplopia, enophthalmos, and hypoglobus with detailed medical history recorded. The degree of enophthalmos was evaluated via Hertel exophthalmometer 1 day before surgery. All patients presented with enophthalmos ranging from 3.5 mm to 6.5 mm. Five patients presented with diplopia with ocular motility limitation in the injured eyes, and six patients presented with hypoglobus ranging from 1.5 to 3.5 mm.
On preoperative examination, severe enophthalmos was observed in the left eye (4.5 mm on Hertel's exophthalmometry), and hypoglobus was also seen in the left eye (2.0 mm measured using the Bi Xiaoping method). Red line represents the normal eye position in horizontal direction as reference for correction.

CT scan. (A) Preoperative coronal CT scan. Combined medial and inferior orbital fracture marked as red arrows was diagnosed in the left orbit, the orbital volume was enlarged, and intraorbital soft tissues had herniated into the paranasal sinus. (B) Preoperative three-dimensional CT reconstruction showing the large defect of the fracture delineated with red line. (C) Postoperative three-dimensional CT reconstruction showing the position of the two titanium mesh plates outlined by the red line in the orbit, and reconstruction of the new corner at the transition zone area between the orbital floor and the medial wall.

Surgical procedure

The transcaruncular approach and inferior subciliary incision with lateral canthotomy were performed in all patients under general anesthesia. Through the incisions, minimal subperiosteal dissection was carried out up to the bony rim of the orbital floor and medial wall fracture. Intraorbital soft tissues that had herniated into the paranasal sinuses were returned to the orbital cavity. The length and width of the defect of the orbital floor fracture were measured intraoperatively, and the first titanium mesh plate was shaved and shaped referred to the contralateral orbit. The medial side of the titanium mesh plate was bent with the angle being equal to that formed between the orbital floor and the medial wall of the contralateral orbit. The shaped titanium mesh plate was implanted under the periosteum and overlay the defect of the orbital floor fracture. And the implant was fixed to the inferior orbital rim with two titanium screws, which was in the symmetrical position to that of contralateral orbital floor. The second shaped titanium mesh plate was implanted under the periosteum to overlay the defect of the medial wall fracture via the transcaruncular approach. Subsequently, its inferior side was connected to the medial side of the premier titanium mesh plate in order to reconstruct the corner between the orbital floor and medial wall (Figure 2C). A forced duction test was performed immediately, and passive free eye movements
were confirmed prior to closure of the surgical incision. The incision was closed followed by a fixation of pressure bandage in the operated orbit for 48 hours.

**Statistical analysis**

Descriptive data were presented in the results with no specific statistics applied.

**Results**

**Clinical features**

In this study, 21 patients (16 males and five females; age, 21–63 years; mean age, 37.6 years) with unilateral combined orbital floor and medial wall fractures underwent orbital restoration surgery using two titanium mesh plates. The most common cause of injury was traffic accident (including car and electric bicycle) in 7 cases, followed by blunt injury (e.g., sticks, fists) in 6 patients, falling from heights in 3 patients, occupational injury in 3 patients, and fireworks blast in 2 patients. The average surgical timing was 24.2 days after the injury (range, 9–63 days). All of the surgeries were uneventful. The average follow-up time was 10.6 months (range, 7–15 months). None of patients suffered postoperative complications associated with implantation of the titanium mesh plate, such as intraorbital hematoma, infection, loss of vision, extrusion of the titanium mesh plate, or ocular motility limitation. Patient demographics are summarized in Table 1.

**Orbital rehabilitation**

Postoperatively, enophthalmos was improved in all patients, which was less than 2 mm until the last follow-up day. Specifically, it was 0 mm in seven patients, 1 mm in nine patients, 1.5 mm in three patients, and 2 mm in two patients. Hypoglobus was disappeared in all six patients postoperatively (Figure 3). Diplopia was resolved in five patients within 3 months postoperatively. No vision loss or decline occurred in any patients post operation. Mild retraction of the lower eyelid was seen in one patient 3 weeks post operation, which was recovered 6 months later with gentle upward massage of the lower eyelid.

**Orbital reconstruction shown on CT scans**

Coronal CT scans and three-dimensional reconstructions were performed for all patients postoperatively, which demonstrated the appropriate location of the intraorbital soft tissues and titanium mesh plates. The titanium mesh plates were symmetrical to the contralateral orbital floor and medial wall, respectively (Figure 4).

**Discussion**

Orbits are symmetrical bone structures whose specific three-dimensional shape and size are critical in maintaining the shape and position of intraorbital soft tissues, as well as maintaining the normal protrusion of the eyeball (12). The occurrence of orbital blowout fractures with orbital floor and medial wall involved can result in enlargement of the orbital cavity and herniation of the orbital contents to the paranasal sinus. In addition, the contraction of retrobulbar scarring often results in obvious enophthalmos, which causes abnormal appearance of the patient (13). Dysmotility and globe displacement can lead to diplopia at different gaze directions. Moreover, posttraumatic dysmotility may also result from direct muscle damage or edema, nerve damage, and muscle entrapment (14). Therefore, the keys to correct enophthalmos and diplopia arising from orbital floor and medial wall fractures are the precise repairment of the orbital wall defects, appropriate restoration of the anatomical shape of the orbit, and complete reposition of the prolapsed orbital contents (15,16).

Theoretically, the methods and timing of operation, as well as the choice of implant, are crucial to orbital fracture prognosis equally. In general, there are two options for reconstructing orbital floor and medial wall fractures: (I) one incision, such as subciliary or inferior conjunctival fornix incisions; (II) combined incisions including one of the incisions mentioned above combined with a lateral nasal skin incision or a transcaruncular conjunctival incision. Although the basic principle for the surgical incision selection is a distinct exposure of surgical field, the surgeon may choose a discreet surgical incision in consideration of the postoperative scar formation. The inferior subciliary or fornix conjunctival incisions can expose the orbital floor and the inferior part of the medial wall rather than the superior side of the medial wall cannot be exposed (17). In our study, due to fractures with the orbital floor and medial wall involved, we selected combined incisions, i.e., a transcaruncular approach and inferior subciliary approaches with lateral canthotomy, which was demonstrated a decent approach for good surgical field exposure, and successful implantation of the titanium mesh plates without
Table 1 Patient demographic characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Fracture site</th>
<th>Left/right eye</th>
<th>Cause of injury</th>
<th>Preoperative evaluation (1 day before surgery)</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>Period of follow-up</th>
<th>Postoperative evaluation (day of the last follow-up)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Diplopia</td>
<td>OML</td>
<td>Hypoglobus</td>
<td>Enophthalmos</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>FOF + FMW</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>TA</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>2.0 mm</td>
<td>4.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>FOF + FMW</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>BI</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>1.5 mm</td>
<td>4.0 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>FOF + FMW</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>TA</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0 mm</td>
<td>4.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>FOF + FMW</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>BIF</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0 mm</td>
<td>6.0 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>FOF + FMW</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>BI</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0 mm</td>
<td>4.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>FOF + FMW</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>TA</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0 mm</td>
<td>5.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>FOF + FMW</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>BI</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>2.5 mm</td>
<td>5.0 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>FOF + FMW</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>BIF</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0 mm</td>
<td>6.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>FOF + FMW</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>TA</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>2.0 mm</td>
<td>4.0 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>FOF + FMW</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>TA</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0 mm</td>
<td>4.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>FOF + FMW</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>BI</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0 mm</td>
<td>5.0 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>FOF + FMW</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>FH</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0 mm</td>
<td>4.0 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>FOF + FMW</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>TA</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0 mm</td>
<td>3.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>FOF + FMW</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>BI</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0 mm</td>
<td>4.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>FOF + FMW</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>OI</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0 mm</td>
<td>6.0 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>FOF + FMW</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>FH</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>3.5 mm</td>
<td>3.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>FOF + FMW</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>FH</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0 mm</td>
<td>6.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>FOF + FMW</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>OI</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0 mm</td>
<td>4.0 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>FOF + FMW</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>TA</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>0 mm</td>
<td>4.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>FOF + FMW</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>OI</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>2.0 mm</td>
<td>4.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>FOF + FMW</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>BI</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>0 mm</td>
<td>5.0 mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

"+" means yes, and "−" means no. TA, traffic accident; BI, blunt injury; BIF, blast injury of fireworks; FH, falling at height; OI, occupational injury; OML, ocular motility limitation; TO, timing of operation; FOF, fracture of orbital floor; FMW, fracture of medial wall.
intraoperative complications.

The time of surgery has been a controversial issue for decades. Some studies have shown that surgery should be required within 48 hours of the onset of trauma, since the rate of recover from diplopia was lower with increased interval before surgical intervention (18). However, other studies have reported that there were no significant difference in postoperative primary gaze diplopia between early (within 2 weeks) and delayed (after 2 weeks) repairment (19). In our perspective, the timing of surgery should be based on the patient's symptoms, clinical findings, and informed consent about the risks and benefit of surgery. Generally, it should be performed immediately in patients with ocular motility limitation and diplopia due to the entrapment of extraocular muscles confirmed by orbital CT scan. Otherwise, the surgery should be performed approximately 2 weeks after the onset of trauma (20,21), when traumatic edema and hematoma have basically disappeared and tissue adhesion haven't significantly formed yet. Thus, it is easy to separate and reposit those intraorbital soft tissues that herniate into the paranasal sinus, and the surgical outcome is better than that performed immediately after trauma. Additionally, tissue adhesion and scar contraction at large stage might increase difficulty of delayed surgery (22,23). In our study, the average of surgical timing was 24.2 days after trauma (range, 9–63 days), which was postponed due to delayed consultation in our clinic. Intraoperatively, there were fibrous scar and tissue adhesion between the rim of the orbital fracture and the herniated intraorbital soft tissues in some patients. A careful manipulation underneath the periosteum should be applied to avoid damaging the normal intraorbital soft tissue.

Various implant materials have been used for orbital fracture repairs, such as autologous bone grafts (24,25), porous polyethylene (26,27), polydioxanone (28,29), bioresorbable polylactide plates (30,31), silicone (32,33), smooth nylon foil (34,35), and titanium mesh (36,37). However, it is still controversial to figure out the ideal implant materials and methods for orbital floor and medial wall fracture repairment (38). By now, two options have been proposed to determine the implant materials: (I) one
The authors have completed the landmark to repair the fracture, restore the normal orbital.

medial wall fractures, it is necessary to locate an anatomic

correcting enophthalmos arising from orbital floor and
orbital floor and medial wall fractures.

demonstrate that the titanium mesh plate is a safe material

loss of vision, or ocular motility limitation. These results

complications associated with the titanium mesh plate,

implant (42). Additionally, there were no postoperative
time-consuming, and costly stereolithographic (STL)
methods, such as a combination of a titanium mesh plate
and aPorous high-density polyethylene (Medpor) implant,
in which titanium mesh would be used to repair the orbital
wall fracture and Medpor decrease the orbital volume.

The second opinion has been shown be beneficial to
enophthalmos correction (39). Under certain circumstance,
repairment with multilayer implants is an option for severe
enophthalmos correction. However, displacement of the
eyeball could occur when the anterior orbital floor fracture
was repaired (40). There are also two options for surgical
method: (I) implantation of two separated implants to repair
the orbital floor and medial wall fracture, respectively; (II)
implantation of one implant to repair the orbital floor
and medial wall fracture simultaneously. For the second
method, the prolapsed orbital soft tissues could easily
entrap intraorbital soft tissues or damage important orbital
structures in the course of the forceful insertion of the
implant (41).

For the sake of reconstruction of the same special three-
dimensional orbital shape as the contralateral orbit and
decrease of the difficulty and risks of surgery, two separated

medial wall fractures; and correcting enophthalmos offers

improving the appearance of patients.

In summary, we believe that the application of two
titanium mesh plates in repairing unilateral orbital floor and
medial wall fractures and correcting enophthalmos offers
the following advantages: (I) reconstruction of the special
orbital shape and normal volume; and (II) reduced difficulty
of surgery and risk of complications. It is safe and
effective for the application of two titanium mesh plates is safe and
effective in repairing unilateral orbital floor and medial
wall fractures, though the small sample size might not
be sufficient to draw generalized conclusions and require
further studies. But it is worthwhile to take the technique
into account when reconstruction of the special orbital
shape and the complete reposition of prolapsed intraorbital
soft tissues were intended.
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