Non-invasive assessment of urinary bladder compliance using ultrasound: first validation study based on clinical urodynamic study
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Background: This study aims to validate a recently introduced non-invasive method, ultrasound bladder vibrometry (UBV), for the assessment of detrusor compliance in patients with neurogenic bladders.

Methods: The study was carried out on 79 adult patients with neurogenic bladders (60 male and 19 female). The UBV test was performed on each patient to measure the Lamb wave group velocity ($c_g$) in the anterior bladder wall at every 50mL volume increment throughout the filling phase. Bladder compliance was assessed based on the trend of Lamb wave group velocity squared ($c_g^2$) versus volume. A compliance index was defined to differentiate between the compliant and non-compliant bladders. Results of the UBV compliance assessment were validated using the readings of the corresponding urodynamic studies as the clinical gold standard.

Results: The Patients’ bladders were divided into non-compliant and compliant groups by an experienced urologist using the information in the urodynamic study (UDS) recordings. The compliance index defined on the basis of $c_g^2$ showed a significant difference (P<0.008) between the compliant and non-compliant groups. The areas under the receiver operating characteristic curve were 0.813, with 95% CI ranging from 0.709 to 0.892. Under the optimal criterion, the bladder was considered as non-compliant if the compliance index was less than 100 mL·s²/m², resulting in a sensitivity and specificity of 86.4% and 71.9%, respectively.

Conclusions: The results of this study demonstrate that UBV can be used as a non-invasive method for the determination of bladder compliance; thus, it can potentially serve as an alternative method to UDS for the appropriate patient groups.
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**Introduction**

Proper bladder function is essential for the creation of urinary continence, long-term kidney health, and overall quality of life. Urodynamics (UDS) refers to an invasive diagnostic test that can provide objective pathophysiological explanations for symptoms and/or dysfunction of the lower urinary tracts. Filling cystometry, also known as a cystometrogram (CMG), is an important component of UDS and measures the pressure/volume relationship of the bladder throughout bladder filling. It is used to assess detrusor activity and bladder sensation, capacity, and compliance (1). CMG can be performed with a single urethral catheter alone for bladder filling and measurement of the intravesical pressure (P\textsubscript{ves}) or with an additional catheter that simultaneously measures intra-abdominal pressure (P\textsubscript{abdom}) through the rectum or vagina.

The multi-channel test has advantages in measuring the net detrusor pressure (P\textsubscript{det}) by subtracting P\textsubscript{abdom} (or extrinsic forces) from P\textsubscript{ves}, i.e., \( P\textsubscript{det} = P\textsubscript{ves} - P\textsubscript{abdom} \). The P\textsubscript{det} refers to the component of P\textsubscript{ves} that is created by forces in the bladder wall and is representative of the detrusor muscle of the bladder. CMG is performed in either seated, standing, or supine positions while the bladder is filled typically at a set rate of 25 mL/min. In general, the filling rate influences the accuracy of measurements. Studies by Kim et al. (2) have shown that slower filling rate would result in a more reliable estimation of the bladder compliance. The assessment of bladder compliance is one of the major functions of a complete urodynamic study. Normally, the bladder is very compliant and can accommodate large volumes of urine with very little increase in P\textsubscript{det}. Bladder compliance is calculated by dividing the volume change by the change in detrusor pressure. Normal bladder compliance is defined as a value of >40 mL/cmH\textsubscript{2}O, with values of <13 mL/cmH\textsubscript{2}O representing severe compromise of bladder compliance (3). Assessment of detrusor compliance in patients with a neuropathic bladder is critical with individuals with low or poor detrusor compliance being at high risk for upper tract deterioration and induced renal failure (4). It is recommended that at-risk patients be carefully monitored with urodynamic studies to ensure the bladder pressure stay within a normal range thereby reducing the risk of renal damage.

Urodynamic tests represent the gold standard for measuring bladder compliance, but are invasive, uncomfortable and carry risks of iatrogenically caused urinary tract infections. These procedures last approximately 45 minutes or more, require catheter placement in the bladder and the vagina or rectum, filling the bladder at a defined rate, and measuring pressures throughout filling. Approximately half of the patients undergoing these studies have perceptions of either physical or emotional discomfort related to the performance of this test (5). Urinary catheter in and of itself may be a traumatic event that places the patient at risk for the induction of bacteria with significant bacteriuria rates following catheterization ranging from 1.50% to 14.0% based on specific sub-populations demographics (6,7). While rare, the placement of a urinary catheter can cause trauma that may result in significant bleeding and urinary retention, requiring prolonged catheterization for treatment. There is no doubt that non-invasive measurement of bladder compliance and detrusor activity could be instrumental in clinical practice and could avoid the risk posed by current studies.

In this regard, ultrasound-based technology shows great potential for allowing us to obtain information on detrusor function in a non-invasive fashion. Nagle et al. demonstrate the quantification of several new biomechanical properties of the bladder, including wall tension, wall strain, wall stress, and dynamic elasticity, by the combination of ultrasound images data and P\textsubscript{ves} (8). Later, this group demonstrated that an accurate and precise measurement of bladder volume could be realized using a 3D convex transducer and automatically reconstruction of the rendered volume, which may provide an important tool for non-invasive UDS (9).

Ultrasound shearwave elastography (USE) has emerged as non-invasive tool for assessment of the tissue mechanical properties in recent years (10). USE refers to a category of the quantitative techniques in which shear waves are generated in tissues by the excitation of a mechanical vibrating device or acoustic radiation force. Ultrasound techniques are used to measure the propagation speed of shear waves, which is related to Young’s modulus \( E \) as \( E = \frac{3\rho c_s^2}{1 - \nu} \), where \( c_s \) is the group velocity of the shear wave and \( \rho \) is the density of the tissue. The USE test reported \( c_s \) or the converted Young’s modulus \( E \) to provide a quantitative indicator of tissue elasticity. In a thin-plate structure, vibrational waves directly interact with the surface of the structure, resulting in so-called Lamb waves. The propagation of Lamb waves depends on the density, the thickness and the elastic properties of a plate. The vibrational waves inside the bladder wall are treated as Lamb waves in a method called ultrasound bladder vibrometry (UBV) (11-13).

The detrusor pressure that is measured with urodynamic studies results from the combination of the tone of the detrusor muscle and the intrinsic viscoelasticity properties...
of the bladder wall; both components affect the mechanical properties of the bladder wall. In UBV, it is possible to monitor the response of the detrusor muscle non-invasively without the need for catheterization. Our group has conducted extensive studies on the application of UBV (11-14). These studies reveal that both Lamb wave speed (LWS) and the elasticity estimated based on the Lamb wave model show a strong correlation with \( P_{\text{det}} \) in healthy subjects (11) and adult patients (12). Some other groups treated the vibrational waves in the bladder wall as shear waves measured by a clinical elasticity imaging system and studied the correlation between the wave speed and bladder compliance (15). However, it should be noted that the shear wave assumption may not be valid due to the relatively small thickness of the bladder wall compared to the shear wave wavelength. For this reason, a Lamb wave model would be more appropriate for such waves in the bladder wall.

Building upon our previous studies, here we investigate, for the first time, the performance of UBV as a diagnostic tool for the differentiation between compliant and non-compliant bladders in a group of patients with neurogenic bladders. In particular, we defined a new parameter, called the compliance index, and evaluate its ability to discriminate between the non-compliant and compliant bladders. We validate the performance of the UBV based on independent clinical diagnosis of bladder compliance as the ground truth. We present the following article in accordance with the STARD reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-20-6900).

Methods

Study population

Adult patients with neurogenic bladder who were referred to the Mayo Clinic urology department for routine UDS were considered for the study. Exclusion criteria included dysreflexia, obese patients, patients with a history of prolonged catheter drainage, previous pelvic radiation/surgery and pregnant or breastfeeding women. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). This prospective study was Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act Compliant and it was approved by the Mayo Clinic institutional review board (IRB#: 11-001953), and written consent was obtained from patients prior to the examination. From March 2013 to January 2018, a total of 79 consecutive patients (60 male and 19 female) were recruited for this study.

Experimental design—concurrent UDS and UBV

Concurrent UDS and UBV were conducted on a group of patients. The UDS was performed and reported in accordance with the standards of the International Continence Society (ICS) (1). First, the bladder was catheterized through the urethra for gradual filling and simultaneous measurement of \( P_{\text{ves}} \) by a pressure sensor. An additional sensor is used to measure the pressure outside the bladder (i.e., \( P_{\text{det}} \)) via a rectal or vaginal catheter. The net \( P_{\text{det}} \) on the bladder wall is defined as the difference between the two pressure values. Pump volume, filling rate, pressure readings, and other parameters were recorded in a standard UDS chart. An experimental UBV system was implemented on a Verasonics™ V1 research ultrasound system (Verasonics, Redmond, WA, USA) equipped with a curved linear array (C4-2, ATL/Philips, Bothell, WA, USA) with a working frequency of 2.5 MHz. The bladder was located in the B-mode image. Prior to each study, the patient’s bladder was emptied, and pressure sensors were placed and calibrated to ensure consistent readings. Following standard clinical procedure guidelines (1), the clinical staff incrementally filled the bladder via the urethral catheter. For all of these studies a constant filling rate of 25 mL/min was used. Two or three UBV acquisitions were performed by a sonographer at every increment of filling. Along with each acquisition, a timestamp was placed on the UDS data chart to locate the simultaneous pressure reading. For the UBV measurements, as shown in Figure 1, an ultrasound tone burst of 600–900 μs was applied to the focal point on the anterior wall of the bladder at each acquisition. The resulting acoustic radiation force generated Lamb waves traveling along the bladder wall. These waves were then tracked at 2,500 frames per second using ultrasound plain-wave ultrafast imaging with three-angle compounding (16). Particle displacement along the bladder wall was calculated from the recorded in-phase and quadrature (IQ) data using the autocorrelation technique in (17). The group velocity \( c_g \) of Lamb waves was then calculated according to spatiotemporal maps of the wave propagation. The values of \( c_g \) measured in two or three acquisitions were averaged and recorded at each increment of filling volume. The corresponding pressure readings of \( P_{\text{det}} \) and \( P_{\text{ves}} \) were also recorded by the UDS system.

Definition of bladder compliance
Bladder compliance, which describes the relationship between change in bladder volume and change in detrusor pressure, is generally regarded as a measure of bladder distensibility and is the key determinant regarding the patient’s risk for upper urinary tract deterioration (3). The normal range for bladder compliance in adults has not yet been validated, and previous reports suggested the lowest value of compliance that should be accepted as normal as ranging from 12.5–40.0 mL/cmH\(_2\)O (18). Here, in this study, an experienced urologist reviewed the UDS recordings of the patient and calculated the compliance as follows:

\[
\text{Compliance} = \frac{\Delta V (mL)}{\Delta P (cmH\_2O)}
\]  

[1]

Here, \(\Delta P\) is the difference between the detrusor pressure at the start of bladder filling at the corresponding bladder volume (usually zero) and the detrusor pressure corresponding to the bladder volume at cystometric capacity or immediately before the start of any detrusor contraction that causes significant leakage, and \(\Delta V\) is the difference between the bladder volumes at the start and at the end of filling.

Bladders with compliance of less than 40.0 mL/cmH\(_2\)O were considered as non-compliant bladders. For the UBV method, we defined a new LWS-related parameter, as follows, and proposed it as an indicator of bladder compliance:

\[
\text{Compliance Index} = \frac{\text{Volume} (mL)}{g \cdot c^2 (m^2 \cdot s^{-2})} @ \text{Last acquisition},
\]  

[2]

Where \(c^2\) and the volume are the group velocity square and the corresponding filling volume at the last UBV acquisition, respectively. Note that end-volume is close to but different from maximum capacity. The UBV acquisitions were made at about each 50 mL volume increment, but no acquisition was made at the last moment when the patient could not hold the urine any longer, and the urologist allows the patient to void.

**Statistical analyses**

Here we propose the group speed squared, \(c^2\), as an indicator of bladder mechanical properties. Figure 2 shows the changes in \(c^2\), \(P_{\text{det}}\) and \(P_{\text{ves}}\) versus the filling volume for typical cases of compliant and non-compliant bladders. For a compliant bladder, both \(c^2\) and the pressure readings show little changes until the filling volume reaches around 400 mL, whereas they both start to increase remarkably at the low filling volume of 250 mL for a non-compliant bladder. The correlations of \(c^2\) vs. \(P_{\text{det}}\) and \(c^2\) vs. \(P_{\text{ves}}\) were evaluated using Pearson correlation. The overall correlations of \(c^2\) vs. \(P_{\text{det}}\) and \(c^2\) vs. \(P_{\text{ves}}\) were also evaluated using the aggregate measurements of all patients. An experienced urologist reviewed all patients’ UDS reports for bladder compliance and divided them into two groups with compliant and non-compliant bladders. The urologist’s diagnosis was used as the ground truth in our study. The compliance index, as defined in Eqn. [2], was used to evaluate bladder compliance based on the UBV method. The performance of this parameter was evaluated via the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve method. All statistical analyses were performed using OriginPro 2018C (OriginLab Corporation, Northampton, MA, USA).

**Results**

The study group included 79 patients (60 male; 19 female). Patients’ ages ranged from 21 to 82 years, with the mean age of 51.2±17.2 years. The body mass index (BMI) ranged from 17.3 to 34.2, with a mean BMI of 26.3±4.60. Table 1 presents the demographic data and the clinical characteristics of all study patients. The maximum capacity, also called cystometric volume, varied from patient to patient and ranged from 107 to 742 mL with the mean volume of 415±128 mL. The filling phase ended at greater than 500 mL for 30 patients (38.0%), and 10 patients (12.7%) could not hold more than 250 mL due to the early leakage. No adverse events from performing the UBV or UDS noted.
demonstrates that the overall correlation is moderately strong ($r=0.675$, $P<0.001$) between $c_g^2$ and $P_{ves}$ for the aggregate 705 measurements recorded from all patients. There is also a moderately strong overall correlation ($r=0.612$, $P<0.001$) between $c_g^2$ and $P_{det}$.

Urological diagnoses indicated that 22 out of a total 79 patients had non-compliant bladders ($<40.0$ mL/cmH$_2$O), and the rest had compliant bladders. The UBV analysis of compliance was based on the compliance index, as defined in Eq. [2]. Based on this analysis, the resulting box-line plot distributions are presented in Figure 4A. The orange square markers refer to the median value with the error bars showing 95% confidential interval (CI) from the median value. The Student t-test found that the group difference is significant ($P<0.008$). The ROC curve in Figure 4B demonstrates the performance of the compliance index on differentiating the non-compliant from the compliant bladders. The areas under curve are 0.813, with 95% CI ranging from 0.709 to 0.892. The optimal criterion for the test is that a bladder is considered non-compliant if the compliance index is less than 100 mL·s$^{-2}$·m$^{-2}$, and non-compliant otherwise. The resulting sensitivity and specificity are 86.4% and 71.9%, respectively. These results suggest that the LWS-related parameter ($c_g^2$) can be used as an alternative indicator to assess bladder compliance with acceptable accuracy.

**Discussion**

The goal of this study was to investigate the performance of UBV as a diagnostic tool and the Compliance Index as a new biomarker for differentiating between compliant and non-compliant bladders.

Results of our study demonstrated that the changes in $c_g^2$ are correlated with those of $P_{ves}$ and $P_{det}$. The median and mean values of the correlation coefficient between $c_g^2$ and $P_{ves}$ are 0.872 and 0.777, which indicate that $c_g^2$ has a stronger correlation with $P_{ves}$ than with $P_{det}$. This can be a result of pressure measurement variations. As shown in Figure 2A, both $P_{ves}$ and $c_g^2$ are quite stable with the increasing filling volume while the corresponding $P_{det}$ varied slightly, which can be the result of measurement error. Further investigations are needed to better understand the correlation between $c_g^2$ and $P_{ves}$. Considering that $c_g^2$ shows only a moderately strong correlation with the pressure measurements, the above results also suggest that there might be other confounding factors, such as patient-related variabilities, measurement error and experimental factors,
which may affect the correlation between $c_{g}^2$ the two pressure measurements.

Our study also demonstrated that $c_{g}^2$ can be used to differentiate between non-compliant and compliant bladders. The compliance index defined based on Eq. [2], showed a significant difference between the two groups. The optimal criterion for the compliance index was found to be 100 mL·s⁻²·m⁻², and the resulting sensitivity and specificity were 86.4% and 71.9%, respectively.

It is of interest to compare our results with those of other studies on the use of ultrasound for assessment of bladder functions. Sturm et al. [2017] measured shear wave speed (SWS) at four volume-intervals throughout filling in 23 children and found that SWS of the anterior bladder wall has a significant correlation with $P_{\text{det}}$, and that SWS was significantly greater in non-compliant than in compliant bladders throughout the filling phase (19). Bavani

**Table 2** Summary of the statistical analysis of correlation between UDS pressure measurement and Lamb wave group velocity squared ($c_{g}^2$)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlation variables</th>
<th>Corr ($c_{g}^2$, $P_{\text{det}}$)</th>
<th>Corr ($c_{g}^2$, $P_{\text{ves}}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Median Pearson Corr (95% CI)</td>
<td>0.764 (0.681–0.850)</td>
<td>0.872 (0.826–0.912)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Pearson Corr (95% CI)</td>
<td>0.671 (0.597–0.745)</td>
<td>0.777 (0.718–0.837)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

UDS, urodynamic study; $c_{g}^2$, Lamb wave group velocity squared; Corr, correlation; CI, confidence interval; $P_{\text{det}}$, detrusor pressure; $P_{\text{ves}}$, intravesical pressure.

**Figure 3** The overall correlation between $c_{g}^2$ and Pves for the aggregate 696 measurements obtained from all patients. Multiple measurements were obtained from each patient. Each marker refers to one measurement of a patient.

**Figure 4** Statistical analysis of the compliance index. (A) The box-and-whisker plots of the compliance index for the compliant and non-compliant bladder groups. The black square refers to the mean of compliance index in a group. Student $t$-test shows there is significant difference between these two groups ($P<0.008$); (B) the receiver-operating characteristic (ROC) curve of the compliance index. The area under curve is 0.813 with 95% CI ranging from 0.709 to 0.892. The red circle marker refers to the optimal criterion, where the sensitivity and specificity are 86.4% and 71.9%, respectively, for the optimal criterion.
et al. [2019] conducted a study on 30 children with NB and found that SWS of the anterior bladder wall in subjects with neurogenic was significantly higher than children with a normal bladder. Also, in subjects with neurogenic bladder, they found a significant correlation between the mean SWS and the detrusor pressure at the estimated bladder volume based on the aggregate measurements from all 30 patients (20). Our study was made on 79 adult patients and defined a new compliance index, as defined in Eq. [2] that could discriminate between the non-compliant and compliant bladders with acceptable accuracy. The definition of compliance index implies that only one measurement of \( c_s^2 \) at the end of the filling phase is sufficient for assessing bladder compliance, which agrees with the conclusion of Bavani et al. Our study is different from other studies mentioned above on several aspects. First, the patient age and population are different. Our study focuses on adult patients and includes a relative larger cohort. Second, our study measured the \( c_s^2 \) at every 50 mL increment throughout the filling and examine the correlation of \( c_s^2 \) with \( P_{det} \) and \( P_{ves} \) for each individual. Our results demonstrate that \( c_s^2 \) is strongly correlated with both \( P_{det} \) as well as \( P_{ves} \) in most of the patients, suggesting that \( c_s^2 \) can be used as an indicator of bladder noncompliance.

The study presented in this paper provides evidence supporting the potential use of ultrasound for evaluating mechanical properties of the bladder, and specifically for assessing bladder compliance. As a practical note, the method presented here can be employed without bladder catheterization, i.e., without artificially filling the bladder. Since the compliance index defined in Eq. [2] is based on the maximum bladder volume, only a single ultrasound measurement is needed when the patient’s bladder is naturally filled with urine. The results of this paper open the way for future application of UBV as a potential non-invasive and low-cost replacement for UDS for certain patient populations.

**Conclusions**

A clinical study was performed on 79 adult patients with neurogenic bladder dysfunction to evaluate the performance of UVB technique in the determination of bladder compliance. The results found that the LWS squared, \( c_s^2 \) is strongly correlated with both the detrusor and intravesical pressures for most patients in this study. The proposed Compliance Index, which is a biomarker defined based on \( c_s^2 \) demonstrated the ability to discriminate the non-compliant and compliant bladders with acceptable accuracy. The results indicate that UBV may be used as a non-invasive method for evaluating the biomechanical changes in the bladder wall, and it may serve as a non-invasive alternative method to the UDS in the assessment of bladder compliance.
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