Strategies to improve sorafenib efficacy during image-guided treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma

Aydin Eresen¹, Zhuoli Zhang¹,²,³, Vahid Yaghmai¹,³

¹Department of Radiological Sciences, University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA; ²Department of Biomedical Engineering, University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA; ³Chao Family Comprehensive Cancer Center, University of California Irvine, Irvine, CA, USA

Contributions: (I) Conception and design: All authors; (II) Administrative support: A Eresen, Z Zhang; (III) Provision of study materials or patients: All authors; (IV) Collection and assembly of data: All authors; (V) Data analysis and interpretation: All authors; (VI) Manuscript writing: All authors; (VII) Final approval of manuscript: All authors.

Correspondence to: Vahid Yaghmai, MD. Department of Radiological Sciences, School of Medicine, University of California Irvine, 101 City Drive South, Bldg. 1, Rt. 140, Orange, CA 92868, USA. Email: vyaghmai@hs.uci.edu.

Abstract: Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the third most frequent source of deaths associated with cancer after lung cancer in the world despite recent innovative treatment techniques. Liver transplantation, hepatic resection, and percutaneous ablation techniques hold great promise as potentially curative treatments for patients at early stages. Nevertheless, most of the patients are not suitable for these curative treatments due to their advanced disease stages at the time of diagnosis. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved tyrosine kinase inhibitor, sorafenib is a standard therapy for advanced-stage HCC patients which extends overall survival for several months. However, its therapeutic efficacy is restricted by adverse events and drug resistance which limits the number of patients benefiting from this systemic chemotherapeutic drug. During the last decade, novel approaches including but not limited to immunotherapies, ablation methods, and chemotherapeutic drugs were proposed to enhance sensitivity to sorafenib, improve therapeutic efficacy, and prohibit adverse events through novel delivery routes, utilization of nanoparticle carriers, and combination with other therapeutic agents. However, studies are still being conducted to optimize the efficiency of sorafenib and reduce its adverse events. In this review paper, we examine research studies evaluating novel delivery methods to reduce drug-related cytotoxicity to improve patient tolerance to sorafenib and its therapeutic efficacy in patients with HCC. Moreover, therapeutic approaches with the synergistic potential to combine with sorafenib are briefly summarized.
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Introduction

Liver and bile duct cancers are expected to lead to 830,180 deaths worldwide in the year 2020. Although the overall survival (OS) of patients with liver cancer has improved by up to 18% in the last four decades, incidence rate has tripled, and mortality rate has doubled during the same time (1,2). Patients at the early stages of hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) have the potential for curative treatments such as liver transplantation, hepatic resection, and percutaneous ablation techniques (3). However, only a minority of patients with HCC are suitable candidates for surgical operations because of their advanced disease status at the time of diagnosis (4). The traditional cancer treatment options e.g., radiotherapy and chemotherapy, are still the most frequently performed approaches for patients with HCC. However, adverse events and tumor resistance restrict the potential benefits of these treatments.

Among HCC patients, inflammation and recurrent hepatic regeneration are the most commonly observed etiological features associated with increased cytokines...
and growth factors forming a cancerous environment (5). Figure 1 summarizes cell types and their effects on HCC disease. Although various multikinase inhibitors have been investigated for systemic treatment of HCC, only a limited number of drugs demonstrated promising results and received U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approval as first-line treatment for advanced-stage HCC patients (6).

The staging of cancer patients is the primary procedure that determines the selection of treatment options, prognosis, and evaluation of therapeutic response. The stage of HCC is described by the evaluation of tumor characteristics reflected on noninvasive medical images. Based on the recommendation of the European Association for the Study of the Liver (EASL) committee, prognostic estimates should be performed through assessment of tumor stage, liver function impairment severity, general health status of the patients, and therapeutic efficacy. Briefly, survival length of the patients is directly correlated with tumor stage. At present, eight different staging systems are proposed for HCC management (7-14). Among them, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer (BCLC) staging system, which integrates the knowledge of tumor stage, functional status of the liver, physical status, and cancer-related symptoms, is assumed to be more descriptive than other systems in terms of prognosis (8,15).

In clinical practice, systemic pharmacotherapy is the therapeutic option for advanced-stage HCC. Sorafenib, a small molecule multiple-target tyrosine kinase inhibitor, received FDA approval after the successful completion of a groundbreaking clinical trial that demonstrated prolonged median survival in patients with advanced HCC (16). Sorafenib targets Raf-1, B-Raf, and kinase activity in the Ras/Raf/MEK/ERK signaling pathways to facilitate suppression of tumor cell proliferation and tumor angiogenesis. Recently, atezolizumab plus bevacizumab that received FDA approval as a first-line treatment for HCC patients showed better survival outcomes and progression-free survival than sorafenib (17); however, the higher cost of this treatment compared to sorafenib is a restricting factor (18). Locoregional therapies, such as thermal ablation, have shown good outcomes for small lesions with limited benefits for tumors >3 cm or multifocal disease (19,20). Catheter-directed intrahepatic arterial (IHA) therapies showed statistically significant improvements in OS compared to supportive care (4), yet, these survival benefits remain relatively modest. Therefore, sorafenib is still a key element of the treatment strategy for advanced-stage HCC. However, only 30% of the patients with advanced HCC will likely benefit from sorafenib as they develop drug resistance within 6 months of the treatment (21). Thus, efficient strategies are required to advance anticancer treatment responses in these patients.

In the past decade, various innovative materials were proposed to carry small molecules and control release rates of sorafenib, providing an alternative drug delivery approach (22). Stimuli-responsive polymers (23), microparticles (24), and nanoparticles (25), and porous materials (26) are used as drug carriers that allow controlled and triggered release of small molecules to improve the amount of drug delivered to targeted regions. Several researchers have investigated different approaches to boost the efficacy of sorafenib by developing new formulations and administration methods (27,28). Xiao et al. targeted the proposed utilization of sorafenib and gadolinium (Gd) co-loaded liposomes (SF/Gd-liposomes) to overcome the limited solubility of sorafenib and to monitor in-vivo treatment efficiency using MRI (27). Zhao et al. examined the potential benefits of regulated delivery of sorafenib and ursolic acid for enhancing therapeutic efficiency while reducing toxicity and dealing with drug resistance using nanoparticle material (28). These studies demonstrated the potential pathway for the improvement of the anticancer activity of sorafenib in clinical settings. For this review, we have searched PubMed and Web of Science databases to determine relevant articles published in English until March 1, 2021, and summarized recent investigations for image-
sorafenib treatment can frequently occur during the first two cycles and mostly can be relieved by using mild, perfume-free soaps, wearing natural fabric clothes, evading hot water as well as topical corticosteroids or antihistamines (40-42). During sorafenib treatment, gastrointestinal disturbances including diarrhea, nausea, vomiting, and anorexia are commonly observed among the patients; however, they don’t induce dose reduction unless grade 3/4 severity. Diarrhea can be managed by symptomatic approaches e.g., dietary adjustments, electrolyte compensation, or administering pharmaceutical approaches, e.g., loperamide (42-44). Fatigue generally occurs at the beginning of the sorafenib therapy and disappears following approximately 5–6 months of the administration. Due to the higher potential of association with other underlying causes, the condition of the patients should be carefully evaluated, and symptoms of fatigue should be targeted (42). Hypertension may occur associated with cytotoxicity of sorafenib at the early stages of the therapy and may decrease later (45-47). Antihypertensive agents e.g., angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors and b-blockers can be administered to control hypertension among the HCC patients (48,49).

Despite the proven efficacy of the sorafenib treatment for HCC patients, drug resistance to sorafenib destructs the usage in clinical practice. Sorafenib resistance generally occurs among the patients following 4–6 months of successful clinical improvement. The complexity of the underlying mechanism for sorafenib therapy restricts the determination of the causes for developing drug resistance. According to the previous research, the resistance to the sorafenib drug among HCC patients can be classified as primary/intrinsic or acquired/secondary resistance. As genetic heterogeneity of HCC cells indicates the potentially intrinsic resistance, resistance occurring following long-term exposure to sorafenib treatment associated with EGFR/c-Jun/AKT activation (50-55), autophagy (56-58), hypoxic environment (59-61), epithelial-mesenchymal transition (62-64), and cancer stem cells (65-67). Due to the variation of the mechanism inhibiting drug

Sorafenib

Sorafenib was the first and only therapeutic approach for advanced HCC until acceptance of Lenvatinib as frontline treatment in 2018. Being an orally administered multikinase inhibitor, it inhibits various cell surfaces e.g., vascular endothelial growth factor receptors (VEGF-1, VEGF-2, and VEGF-3), platelet-derived growth factor receptor β (PDGFR-β), RET, c-KIT, and FMS-like tyrosine kinase-3 (29). Moreover, sorafenib focuses on serine-threonine kinases Raf-1 and B-Raf which regulate genes promoting cell proliferation and angiogenesis (30-32) and interacts with tumor cell mechanism at different levels such as blocking Raf/MEK/ERK pathway, reducing angiogenesis through blocking vascular endothelial growth factor/platelet-derived growth factor receptors. Previous preclinical studies demonstrated suppressive action in liver cancer cell lines, decreased tumor angiogenesis and tumor signaling, and intensified tumor-cell apoptosis in a mouse xenograft HCC model (16).

Sorafenib had received FDA approval following successful results of phase III randomized placebo-controlled clinical trials conducted in America and Europe (16), and Asia (33). The median OS in SHARP trial was 10.7 and 7.9 months for patients who received sorafenib or placebo, respectively (16). Moreover, median OS was 6.5 and 4.2 months for sorafenib and placebo groups during a phase III trial conducted in Asia (33). Sorafenib was well-tolerated among the patients that enrolled in either SHARP or Asia-Pacific clinical trials, yet several adverse effects have been observed. The severe adverse event ratio for SHARP trial was recorded as 52% for treatment and 54% for placebo groups. However, the incidence of grade 3 drug-related adverse events including hand-foot skin reaction (HFSR) (8%) and diarrhea (8%) was higher in the sorafenib group compared to the placebo group. The rate of the patients who left the trial was similar in both groups (38% in the sorafenib group vs. 37% in the placebo group); 11% of the patients that received sorafenib permanently discontinued treatment due to higher rate of toxicity. HFSR and diarrhea were the most common cause for dosage reduction but both adverse events were well managed.

The most common adverse events associated with sorafenib therapy that can impact the quality of the patient life are HFSR, rash, diarrhea, fatigue, dyspepsia, and cardiovascular events. By keeping hands and feet moisturized, removing hyperkeratosis, wearing comfortable shoes, and preventing interaction with hot water, the discomfort related to HFSR can be treated and the life quality of the patients is easily improved (34-39). The majority of the rashes associated with the sorafenib treatment can frequently occur during the first two cycles and mostly can be relieved by using mild, perfume-free soaps, wearing natural fabric clothes, evading hot water as well as topical corticosteroids or antihistamines (40-42). During sorafenib treatment, gastrointestinal disturbances including diarrhea, nausea, vomiting, and anorexia are commonly observed among the patients; however, they don’t induce dose reduction unless grade 3/4 severity. Diarrhea can be managed by symptomatic approaches e.g., dietary adjustments, electrolyte compensation, or administering pharmaceutical approaches, e.g., loperamide (42-44). Fatigue generally occurs at the beginning of the sorafenib therapy and disappears following approximately 5–6 months of the administration. Due to the higher potential of association with other underlying causes, the condition of the patients should be carefully evaluated, and symptoms of fatigue should be targeted (42). Hypertension may occur associated with cytotoxicity of sorafenib at the early stages of the therapy and may decrease later (45-47). Antihypertensive agents e.g., angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors and b-blockers can be administered to control hypertension among the HCC patients (48,49).

Despite the proven efficacy of the sorafenib treatment for HCC patients, drug resistance to sorafenib destructs the usage in clinical practice. Sorafenib resistance generally occurs among the patients following 4–6 months of successful clinical improvement. The complexity of the underlying mechanism for sorafenib therapy restricts the determination of the causes for developing drug resistance. According to the previous research, the resistance to the sorafenib drug among HCC patients can be classified as primary/intrinsic or acquired/secondary resistance. As genetic heterogeneity of HCC cells indicates the potentially intrinsic resistance, resistance occurring following long-term exposure to sorafenib treatment associated with EGFR/c-Jun/AKT activation (50-55), autophagy (56-58), hypoxic environment (59-61), epithelial-mesenchymal transition (62-64), and cancer stem cells (65-67). Due to the variation of the mechanism inhibiting drug...
resistance, different strategies have still been investigated in ongoing clinical trials. Due to the complexity of the mechanism, comprehensive investigation is still required for proposing an effective approach for preventing drug resistance. Two recent studies have focused on the symptoms of sorafenib drug resistance in HCC patients and discussed the suggestions to inhibit factors causing resistance and improving the efficacy of the treatment (68,69). In this study, we have summarized the studies that focus on improving the efficacy of sorafenib through the implementation of novel delivery techniques and combination treatment approaches.

**Drug delivery**

Standard approach for delivering most cancer drugs has been through the intravenous route (70,71). However, oral administration has gained great attention due to improved patient compliance, drug tolerability, potential efficacy, and reduced cost compared to the parenteral route (71-73). Nonetheless, due to drug-related cytotoxicity and adverse events, alternative delivery routes for therapeutic agents are needed.

**Systemic delivery**

In clinical practice, sorafenib is administered through systemic delivery in the form of tablets (2×200 mg) twice daily for treatment of advanced HCC (74). A representative CT image for a 36 years old patient demonstrated the successful outcome of sorafenib treatment in Figure 2. The effects of the drug dosage during the treatment were also investigated to control the side effects of this drug (75). The study performed by Tak et al. demonstrated significant improvement in progression-free survival among patients receiving a decreased dose of sorafenib (400 mg per day) compared to patients receiving 800 mg per day and patients receiving 600 mg per day (75). This suggested that dose reduction of sorafenib can advance OS and improve drug tolerance. Despite improving survival benefits, side effects of sorafenib require close attention to monitoring of cytotoxicity and therapeutic response. Horger et al. suggested indirect monitoring of the advanced stage HCC patients receiving sorafenib with conventional MRI technique (76). The patients were imaged using MRI before, approximately 3 weeks, 5 weeks, and 8 weeks after the treatment. MRI demonstrated significant intensity changes on T1w, and T2w images related to intralesional necrosis caused by sorafenib. Schraml et al. focused on monitoring changes in tumor cellularity and cell membrane integrity for the assessment of therapeutic response (77). The diffusion-weighted (DW) MRI analysis pointed that the apparent diffusion coefficient reflected changes in the tumor microenvironment related to tumor necrosis. Similarly, Shirota et al. utilized DW-MRI data to compute intravoxel coherent motion parameters to assess sorafenib therapy (78). The study highlighted the sensitivity of the true diffusion coefficient for determining response.

Figure 2 A 36-year-old patient with hepatocellular carcinoma in the right hepatic lobe. (A) CT before administration of sorafenib shows lobulated partially enhancing mass; (B) 4 months after the start of sorafenib, a portion of the mass shows no enhancement, and the mass has decreased in size.
to sorafenib. Furthermore, Hsu et al. suggested dynamic contrast-enhanced (DCE) MRI to monitor vascular changes following a combination of sorafenib and metronomic tegafur/uracil therapy for advanced HCC (79). The analysis of DCE-MRI data acquired on the 14th day of the treatment demonstrated a strong variation for the vascular response reflected with $K_{trans}$. Although these studies presented potential approaches for assessing therapeutic response, active monitoring of sorafenib by imaging has been shown to help reduce adverse events by tracking drug distribution, thus allowing drug dosage adjustment. Grillone et al. proposed encapsulating sorafenib and superparamagnetic iron oxide nanoparticles (SPIONs) to improve drug delivery to targeted tissue via monitoring by MRI (80). Solid lipid nanoparticles were loaded with sorafenib and paramagnetic materials using a hot homogenization technique. This was achieved by using cetyl palmitate as a lipid matrix with 90% of sorafenib loading efficiency. The results of an in-vitro study performed on human HCC HepG2 cells demonstrated that sorafenib-loaded solid lipid nanoparticles facilitated tumor growth inhibition while allowing active monitoring of the drug distribution with MRI. Zhang et al. investigated therapeutic efficiency of targeted folate-functionalized micelles loaded with SPIONs and sorafenib by performing an in-vitro study on human HepG2 cells (81). The study showed blocked proliferation and reduced apoptosis of HepG2 cells with significant intensity drop on T2w MRI data, enabling monitoring of targeted micelles.

Similarly, in vivo studies have shown promise. Lin et al. investigated the development and characterization of a nanoparticle formulation consisting of poly(ethylene glycol)-b-poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PEG-PLGA) copolymers and poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) (82). These investigators aimed to accomplish systemic delivery of encapsulated sorafenib into fibrotic livers of CCI induced C3H/HeNCrNarl mice. The results emphasized that developed PLGA nanoparticles loaded with sorafenib reduced the level of α-smooth muscle actin content and collagen in livers of the mice which suggested mitigation of liver fibrosis. Furthermore, a recent study by Shi et al. proposed an approach for oral delivery of sorafenib by the formation of choline and geranic acid (CAGE) nanocomplexes (83). The preclinical study performed on rats demonstrated that CAGE improved the solubility and blood concentration of sorafenib and enhanced the elimination of half-life and mean absorption time of the drug, which suggested the potential benefits of this new approach. Despite the promising results of the studies to improve sorafenib efficacy during the administration of systemic delivery, there is a great need for comprehensive preclinical studies to demonstrate the safety and efficacy of the approaches.

Local delivery

The low bioavailability of sorafenib is related to its low aqueous solubility, poor intestinal epithelium permeability, high level of P-glycoprotein efflux, liver cytochrome P450 metabolic enzymes, and pre-systemic metabolism (83,84). Sorafenib's toxicity requires a narrow therapeutic window in which novel delivery approaches are required to reduce the side effects by improving the absorption of sorafenib at targeted regions (82). To overcome these limitations, researchers have proposed local delivery of the cancer drugs by encapsulating them with nanoparticles arranging in size from 5 to 200 nm, depending on load and the utilized material (85,86).

In recent years, utilization of nanoparticles to deliver sorafenib to HCC tumors has been studied extensively. The small size and larger surface of the nanoparticles loaded with sorafenib improved the solubility of this drug. Moreover, adjustments to the characteristics of nanoparticles have allowed the delivery of sorafenib to targeted tumors and improved the cellular response (87,88). By controlling drug release, dosage and intake frequency can be decreased, allowing for reduced cytotoxicity (88). Nanoparticles can be loaded with magnetic particles that allow tracking and monitoring release of the drugs triggered by the acidic microenvironment of tumor tissue (89). Moreover, sorafenib-loaded nanoparticles can be delivered to targeted tumor locations by image guidance, bypassing the barriers that block conventional drugs for the effective treatment of cancers. The activity of nanoparticles for optimized drug delivery is shown in Figure 3.

Craparo et al. proposed liver-targeted polymeric micelles for focused delivery of sorafenib to the liver for treatment of HCC (91). The researchers prepared an average of 101.8±64.3 nm-sized sorafenib-loaded micelles through a chemical reaction of a,b-poly(N-2-hydroxyethyl) (2-aminoethylcarbamate)-D,L-aspartamide (PHEA-EDA) with polyactic acid (PLA), and subsequent reaction with lactose. The preclinical biodistribution study demonstrated the focused accumulation of sorafenib in mice livers. A preclinical study performed by Chen et al. examined development of poly(lactide-co-glycolide) microspheres
for local delivery of sorafenib while co-encapsulating with iron oxide nanoparticles to allow monitoring of microsphere delivery through MRI (92). The microspheres with a size of 13 microns enabled the release of sorafenib within 72 hours, and the results of the study performed on VX2 rabbits demonstrated the normalized VEGFR-2 activity and decreased microvessel density. The structure of polymer nanoparticles can support localized delivery of the therapeutic drug which may reduce drug intake and improve tolerance. Hence, they are commonly utilized in activities for the treatment of HCC (93). Moreover, Xiao et al. proposed a liposome loaded with sorafenib and gadolinium to improve poor water solubility and monitor the distribution of sorafenib using a non-invasive imaging approach (27). Their study highlighted that sorafenib encapsulated with liposome significantly improved water solubility from 0.21 to 250 µg/mL and lower cell cytotoxicity was observed with slow and sustained release of the drug. Liposomes that were spherical or ellipsoid-shaped with a uniform particle size showed high drug load capacity and demonstrated better antitumor activity compared to oral delivery in H22 tumor-bearing mice. In-vivo studies, gadolinium encapsulated with liposome enabled longer MRI acquisition time with higher signal intensity.

Hepatic arterial supply of HCC allows transarterial chemoembolization for targeted delivery of chemotherapeutic agents and devascularization of the tumors. The embolization of the hepatic arteries facilitates hypoxia and necrosis, yet new blood vessels are also generated (94). Gaba et al. proposed local delivery of tyrosine kinase inhibitor sorafenib via transarterial administration to prevent ampelotherapy-induced angiogenesis (95). The preclinical study that was performed using the rabbit VX2 HCC model resulted in a higher concentration of sorafenib with targeted local delivery compared to drug levels observed via systemic delivery. The histopathological analysis showed mild or moderate non-specific swelling in zone 3 hepatocytes without sign of necrosis.

In a recent study, Ning and Wang investigated the efficacy, feasibility, and safety of intrahepatic delivery of sorafenib by performing a preclinical study using normal liver tissues of the rabbits (96). The study compared local delivery of sorafenib via transcatheter selective hepatic arterial embolization (group I) and hepatic arterial infusion (group II). The results demonstrated that sorafenib peak concentration for group I (2.46±0.101 µg/mL) was significantly lower than group II (3.78±0.180 µg/mL) while local necrosis occurred in subjects of group I but not group II. The authors highlighted the feasibility and safety of sorafenib delivery via transcatheter selective hepatic arterial embolization while observing a slow-release
effect. Furthermore, Zhang et al. demonstrated therapeutic efficiency of the hepatic arterial delivery of sorafenib in the VX2 rabbit HCC model (97). The preclinical study resulted in decreased tumor growth and intrahepatic metastasis without hepatic or renal toxicity, suggesting the safe and effective use of hepatic arterial administration of sorafenib for HCC. In a recent study, Li et al. proposed encapsulation of sorafenib and catalase using poly(lactic-co-glycolic acid) (PLGA) microspheres to inhibit tumor hypoxia and hypoxia-related tumor angiogenesis associated with transcatheter arterial chemoembolization (98). The in vitro/in vivo study demonstrated that PLGA microspheres loaded with sorafenib and catalase advanced efficacy of hepatic artery embolization, regulated tumor hypoxia, and immunosuppressive tumor microenvironment by facilitating rapid necrosis of the tumors. On the other hand, Park et al. investigated potential approaches for improving drug-eluting beads developed for transcatheter hepatic intraarterial injection delivery to HCC (99). By preparing an acidic pH-triggered drug-eluting nanocomposite material combined with SPIONs and pH-responsive synthetic peptides with lipid tails, they enabled sorafenib delivery while monitoring drug release behavior using MRI. The preclinical study results performed on an orthotopic rat HCC model demonstrated that transcatheter hepatic intra-arterial delivery of sorafenib-loaded nanocomposites had great promise for inhibition of tumor growth while suggesting the proposed platform to deliver sorafenib drug for the treatment of unresectable HCC. Despite the potential mechanism of local delivery of the drugs, the need for more frequent hospital visits will be a limiting factor for this approach. Therefore, minimally invasive strategies are required to advance the feasibility of the local delivery of drugs.

**Combination therapy with sorafenib**

Despite being the standard therapy for advanced-stage HCC, the majority of patients cannot benefit from sorafenib due to the genetic heterogeneity of HCC (100). Therefore, sorafenib can only extend the OS of the patients for several months (101). The shortcomings of sorafenib drug therapy have led to research of novel and efficient approaches for the treatment of HCC. With the potential synergistic role of combination therapy, researchers have actively investigated the supportive treatment techniques to apply in conjunction with sorafenib for improved outcomes. In earlier studies, researchers applied sorafenib in a combination with transcatheter arterial chemoembolization (TACE), standard palliative therapy for HCC, to assess for the improved outcomes through the synergy of two standard approaches (102-104). However, studies completed at different centers resulted in different outcomes potentially caused by regional differences and genetic heterogeneity (102,105). Phase III SHARP trial demonstrated that combination of sorafenib and TACE did not improve the progression-free survival (106) while combination therapy significantly improved OS of the patients compared with monotherapies in retrospective studies (107-111). Ricke et al. evaluated the potential impact of the combination of sorafenib and selective yttrium-90 transarterial radioembolization and showed that combination treatment did not improve OS of the patients compared to sorafenib only therapy (112). However, a retrospective study that included 4,763 patients diagnosed with HCC showed improved OS of the patients that underwent radiotherapy within sorafenib use compared to patients that only received sorafenib therapy (113). Moreover, a recent clinical trial demonstrated that a combination of sorafenib, hepatic arterial infusion chemotherapy of oxaliplatin, fluorouracil, and leucovorin, and FOLFOX enhances OS of advanced-stage HCC patients compared to individuals who received sorafenib monotherapy (114). Moreover, two ongoing clinical trials (NCT03211416 and NCT02562755) focusing on the combination of sorafenib and pembrolizumab, and sorafenib plus pexa-Vec therapies are under investigation for potential first-line therapy for HCC.

With the recent advancement of knowledge and technology, immune checkpoint inhibitors have attracted research to develop novel approaches for immunotherapy of HCC. Recent FDA approval of nivolumab and pembrolizumab followed the satisfactory results of phase I/II clinical trials and comparable benefits of phase III trials with sorafenib monotherapy and placebo (115,116). Despite the promising results of some clinical trials, these approaches may be influenced by immunosuppression lead by VEGF/VEGFR pathway (117-119). The preclinical study performed by Chen et al. demonstrated that anti-PD-1 monotherapy facilitates anti-tumor activities that were further enhanced through a combination of sorafenib and AMD3100 (120). Zhou et al. investigated therapeutic efficiency and safety of the combination of dendritic and cytokine killer cells with sorafenib for the treatment of individuals with HCC (121). The clinical study resulted in significantly improved OS in patients who received combination therapy (18.6 months) compared...
to patients treated with sorafenib only (13.8 months). Furthermore, currently ongoing phase II/III clinical trials (NCT04152356, NCT04518852, NCT01658878, and NCT04229355) are evaluating potential outcomes of the combination of immunotherapy with systemic therapies for patients with HCC. The complexity of the disease mechanism utmost challenges combinatorial therapies despite synergistic expectations to utilize therapies that target multiple pathways to destruct the tumor microenvironment. Therefore, a great need for comprehensive studies is present to identify the most promising combinatorial agents for the treatment of HCC.

**Future perspectives and summary**

Despite promising benefits for the treatment of HCC patients, drug resistance and adverse events cause postponing or stopping sorafenib administration for the majority of the patients. In the light of the recent advancement in immunotherapy and chemotherapeutic drugs, the combination of sorafenib with other treatment approaches targeting various intracellular signaling pathways may overcome the monotherapy failure by reducing the acquired resistance as well as improving antitumor activity (54,122,123). Zhai et al. demonstrated that inhibition of Akt pathway might be a synergistic approach to reverse acquired resistance to sorafenib through adapting autophagy for death-promoting mechanism during sorafenib treatment (54). Besides, Ardelt et al. have investigated the potential benefits for a combination of cyclin-dependent kinase 5 (Cdk5) and sorafenib (123). This combinational treatment approach demonstrated an impaired HCC progression in vitro and in vivo studies while curbing tumor cell proliferation and migration. Due to the complexity of molecular mechanism is of utmost importance for planning effective and safe combinational drug candidates for the treatment of patients with advanced HCC patients. Only 10–15% of the patients with HCC are suitable for promising curative treatments e.g., surgical resection and liver transplantation. Sorafenib remains the first-line therapy for advanced-stage HCC patients. Despite the proven improvement of OS, the adverse events and drug resistance limit the number of patients that can benefit from sorafenib. With the advancement of technology, novel drug delivery techniques (e.g., local delivery with the use of carriers) are being proposed to reduce drug-related cytotoxicity. Moreover, sorafenib plus other therapeutic agents have been investigated to improve sensitivity to sorafenib and inhibit drug-related side effects while improving the efficacy of treatment. Recent studies have examined potential combinatorial agents that interact with the mechanism of sorafenib and advance the clinical outcome synergistically. The studies have demonstrated the promising benefits of a combination of sorafenib and immunotherapy to improve the treatment efficacy and inhibit adverse events. However, more studies in patients with advanced HCC are required to evaluate the clinical benefits for localized delivery of combinatorial therapies that include sorafenib.
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