A narrative review of liver regeneration—from models to molecular basis
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Objective: To elucidate the characteristics of different liver regeneration animal models, understand the activation signals and mechanisms related to liver regeneration, and obtain a more comprehensive conception of the entire liver regeneration process.

Background: Liver regeneration is one of the most enigmatic and fascinating phenomena of the human organism. Despite suffering significant injuries, the liver still can continue to perform its complex functions through the regeneration system. Although advanced topics on liver regeneration have been proposed; unfortunately, complete regeneration of the liver has not been achieved until now. Therefore, increasing understanding of the liver regenerative process can help improve our treatment of liver failure. It will provide a new sight for the treatment of patients with liver injury in the clinic.

Methods: Literatures on liver regeneration animal models and involved basic research on molecular mechanisms were retrieved to analyze the characteristics of different models and those related to molecular basis.

Conclusions: The process of liver regeneration is complex and intricate, consisting of various and interactive pathways. There is sufficient evidence to demonstrate that liver regeneration is similar between humans and rodents. At the same time, many of the same cytokines, growth factors, and signaling pathways are relevant. There are many gaps in our current knowledge. Understanding of this knowledge will provide more supportive clinical treatment strategies, including small-scale liver transplantation and high-quality regenerative process after surgical resection, and offer possible targets to treat the dysregulation of regeneration that occurs in chronic hepatic diseases and tumors. Current research work, such as the use of animal models as in vivo vectors for high-quality human hepatocytes, represents a unique and significant cutting edge in the field of liver regeneration.
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**Introduction**

Liver regeneration refers to the process by which the damaged liver can repair and grow from the remaining hepatic tissue to replace the lost tissue (1). When the liver is physically damaged such as surgery or chemically damaged by alcohol or drugs, the regeneration of the liver will be stimulated and activated. Although the liver has an excellent regenerative ability, this capacity becomes overwhelmed after acute injury or resection, especially in cases of severe acute/chronic liver injury with fibrosis and abnormal hepatic structure. In the clinic, these conditions usually result in high rates of morbidity and mortality. There is a delicate balance between liver regeneration and liver damage. In other words, when the damage is within the compensatory capacity of liver regeneration, the damage stimulates the regeneration of the liver; however, when the damage exceeds the compensatory capacity of liver regeneration, it will seriously threaten the survival of the host. Therefore, in the case of decompensated liver regeneration, it is necessary to improve the understanding of liver regeneration and to innovate new clinical treatment therapies. The mechanism of liver regeneration has been the subject of research over many years and various signal pathways have been discovered. A number of treatments for liver injury regeneration have been proposed, including cellular therapy including mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) (2), hepatic progenitor cells (HPCs) (3), and the administration of various cytokines (4). However, knowing the mechanisms facilitating the regeneration of an abnormal liver remains challenging (5). Understanding the molecular basis relevant to regeneration is a vital goal. The lessons learned from liver regeneration models are significant and help in deepening our understanding of the pathogenesis, developing novel drugs, and determining comprehensive treatments of hepatic diseases.

Liver regeneration is one of the most enigmatic and fascinating phenomena of the human organism. Although there have been similar reviews of liver regeneration before, researchers have conducted in-depth research on the factors that affect regeneration and have provided different models for regeneration with respective characteristics. This review not only comprehensively explains the advantages and disadvantages of different liver regeneration animal models and analyzes the features of each model, but also summarizes the latest results of liver regeneration mechanism research to further increase the understanding of the liver regeneration process and discusses its underlying mechanism in hepatic repair and helps us to better consider the impediments to regeneration, which may provide a more detailed insight into research and clinical therapy of liver failure.

We present the following article in accordance with the Narrative Review reporting checklist (available at https://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-21-5234).

**Models for liver regeneration**

The partial hepatectomy (PHx) model was first described in 1931 and is still a widely used animal model for liver regeneration. Briefly, the two main characteristics of this model are easy control and a regenerative environment. In addition, there are now several chemical damage models. These chemical drugs are not only accompanied by a regeneration response, but also activate an inflammatory response in the process of causing liver cell damage and death. This makes the animal model closer to the regenerative response that occurs in human liver disease. At the same time, because the reproducibility of the chemical damage model is stronger than that of PHx, it is more suitable for the study of liver regeneration in chronic liver injury. Finally, there is an emerging modeling method, the transgenic model. Compared with the other two modeling methods, its operation is simpler and more suitable for the study of specific cytokines and genes related to liver regeneration. We will summarize these reported liver regeneration models and respectively clarify their characteristics, mechanism, advantages, and methods (Figure 1).

**PHx**

The liver regeneration induced by PHx mainly depends on the size of the functional liver resected (6). The rat model of 2/3 hepatectomy designed by Anderson and Higgins many years ago has been widely accepted (7). The advantage of 2/3 hepatectomy in rats to induce liver regeneration is that the anatomical structure of the rat is uniform, and the operator can repeat the resection in different proportions with high precision (8). Also, since the degree of damage of the model is proportional to the size of the cut liver lobe, the model is easily scalable. Regeneration is compensated solely by hypertrophy without cell division after 30% PHx, and hypertrophy precedes proliferation after 70% PHx (9). In addition, as the remaining liver cells are normal and the environment in which regeneration occurs is simple and can be used to study the time and degree of influence of different variables. The liver immediately begins to regenerate after being damaged. Within 16 hours of liver resection in rats,
deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) replication begins. In the classic model of 70% hepatectomy, the remaining part of the liver compensatorily proliferates to 45% of the original liver mass after 24 hours resection, 70% after 72 hours, 93% after 7–14 days, and basically returns to the original liver mass at approximately 20 days (1). The process of liver regeneration in mammals is similar to that in humans, and some conclusions obtained from animal models can also be applied to the study of the human liver (10). At present, PHx is the primary model for studying cytokines and signal pathways related to liver regeneration (11-13).

**Carbon tetrachloride (CCL4)**

The CCl4 model of liver injury in mice is the most frequently model of repeated liver damage. After CCl4-mediated damage, firstly, there is predictable parenchymal necrosis around the central vein, which peaks in 24 hours, and then liver regeneration (5). Long-term administration of CCl4 can continuously activate quiescent hepatic stellate cells (HSCs) into collagen-I producing myofibroblasts, which promotes the formation of fibrous scars (14). Failure to be degraded collagen-I severely damages HSCs apoptosis and may hinder the effective restoration of hepatocyte (15). Cessation of CCl4 administration often results in fibrosis resolution and regeneration of the liver parenchyma (16). It is known that macrophages in collagen scar regression play a critical role in liver regeneration (17), and new findings have shown that lineage-specific transcription factors are also pivotal in the progress (14).

**D-galactosamine (D-gal)**

D-gal inducing hepatotoxic injury has also become a common model of acute liver injury. D-gal is a disruptor of uridine triphosphate (UTP) in liver cells, which can cause diffuse hepatic necrosis and inflammation similar to viral hepatitis. Compared with other drugs, D-gal has the advantages of easier dosage control and better reproducibility (18). In the D-gal-sensitized mice, tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α) as the main mediator participates in the entire regeneration process. It induces hepatocyte apoptosis in the early stage of acute liver injury and neutrophil migration in the later stage (19,20). D-gal is generally injected through the abdominal cavity and external jugular vein. At the same time, an animal model induced by D-gal is established by observing its clinical manifestations and survival time, detecting changes in inflammatory factor, liver function levels and histopathology (21-23). The livers of D-gal-induced mice shows spotty necrosis, lymphocyte infiltration and balloon degeneration at 6 h and 24 h, and recovery at 72 h (24).

**Acetaminophen (APAP)**

Since APAP is the most used analgesic in clinical practice, acute liver failure (ALF) caused by APAP intoxication is also relatively common. At present, overuse of APAP in Western countries is the main cause of ALF, accounting for almost half of all ALF cases (25). The metabolism and poisoning mechanism of APAP-induced liver failure animal model is close to clinical practice. N-acetyl-p-benzoquinone imine (NAPQI) is a reactive metabolite that binds to cellular mitochondrial proteins, causing a large number of mitochondrial oxidative dysfunction/damage and liver cell necrosis, thereby triggering APAP toxicity (26). Liver regeneration after APAP is dose- and time-dependent, and the progress is complex, involving growth factors, cytokines, angiogenic factors, and other mitogenic pathways (27). APAP is well absorbed and usually administrated by intraperitoneal injection (28-30). However, the disadvantage of this method is that due to low drug solubility, the dose concentration used in modeling is higher than the solubility at a regular temperature.
**Thioacetamide (TAA)**

Many studies have found that TAA can resulting in pathological changes in the liver. As a well-known hepatocarcinogen, TAA can cause different degrees of liver damage according to the time and dose of administration. Severe perivenous necrosis is the main feature of acute liver injury caused by TAA of necrotic-genic dose, followed by regeneration of hepatocytes, which provides a useful model for studying hepatocellular proliferation in respond to chemical damage (31,32). Fernández-Martínez et al. showed that hepatocytes extracted from TAA-treated mice express cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) protein and nitric oxide synthase-2 (NOS-2) which are involved in the initiation of regeneration after acute liver injury. Studies have found that COX-2 inhibition seems to alleviate liver injury, and loss of NOS-2 delays hepatocytes regeneration (33).

**Genetically modified animals**

It is challenging to replicate the features of human liver using any animal model induced by PHx or chemical materials. Therefore, genetically modified animals have been put forward as new models of liver regeneration. To some extent, these genetically modified animals are immune-deficient. In a mutant liver, fumarylacetoacetate hydrolase (Fah)-positive hepatocytes tend to have a growth advantage and widely repopulate the damaged liver. Fah-knockout mice have served as a container that can be transplanted human hepatocytes, creating “mice with human liver” (34). These chimeric animals have human-special biological functions due to human hepatic tissue and cell, making them more suitable to study human liver injury and regeneration (35).

**Triggers of liver regeneration after PHx**

There may be differences in the triggering causes of liver regeneration activation for different modeling methods. We will mainly explain liver regeneration triggered after PHx on account of its widespread application. The activation of cell proliferation in the process of liver regeneration first requires the cells to feel the existence of liver damage. The commonly recognized trigger factors are the hemodynamic changes of portal vein blood flow and the increase of shear stress, innate immune response, and hemostasis activation.

**Elevation of shear stress**

The hepatic portal vein is the main blood supply route in the liver. After 2/3 of the liver is removed, the blood in the portal vein that should flow to the whole liver only flows to the remaining 1/3 of the liver tissue (36). A simple mathematical deduction demonstrates that this will inevitably lead to two results: first, the friction exerted by blood flow on the endothelial surface increases significantly, that is, there is an increase in shear stress (37,38); second, each liver cell receiving a number of signal factors from the portal vein is several times that before liver resection.

The hepatic-portal shunt model was established to keep the blood pressure constant and stable after PHx. Previous findings indicate that the liver could not regenerate in time, which confirm the important role of portal blood pressure changes for liver injury perception and growth signal activation (39). Studies have found that hemodynamic changes in the portal vein lead to increased shear stress in liver sinusoidal endothelial cells (LSECs), which in turn promotes the release of nitric oxide (NO), which increases the sensitivity of hepatocytes to hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) (40), induces vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) (41,42), and stimulates HSCs to release HGF and VEGF (43). The interleukin (IL)-6 released by LSEC may also lead to an increase in shear stress. Compared with unstretched LSECs, mechanically stretched LSECs releases more IL-6 (44).

Correspondingly, an improvement in shear stress will increase the activity of urokinase-type plasminogen activator (uPA) (45,46). The rapid activation of uPA causes the conversion of plasminogen to plasmin, which subsequently initiates breakdown of extracellular matrix (ECM) constituents and cuts precursor (pro-HGF) molecules into active HGF binding to hepatocyte growth factor receptor (HGFR or c-Met) (47-50). EGF increases in relative concentration due to the increase in portal venous flow and motivates the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR, also known as ErbB) (51,52). Activated HGFR and EGFR trigger the liver regeneration cascade, including phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase (PI3K)/protein kinase B (Akt) and mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK, also known as Ras/Raf/MEK/Erk), and elevate the enhanced expression of c-myc, c-fos, c-jun, and other transcription factors, which finally facilitates protein synthesis and cell division (40).

**Innate immune response**

The innate immune response is also regarded as a major stimulus of liver regeneration (53,54). As components of innate immunity, lipopolysaccharide (LPS) and complements (such as C3a and C5a) are released from the intestinal tract...
Expression of c-fos mRNA; Loss of OPN impairs hepatic recruitment of uPA and uPAR activation; Induction of active HGF triggers the liver regeneration cascade. Serotonin promotes regeneration and injury to their mobilization of bone marrow mesenchymal cells to migrate to the damaged liver (78). After PHx, platelets quickly migrate to the Disse space, release their contents, and stimulate the proliferation of hepatocytes or LSEC through HGF, VEGF, or serotonin (72-74). Serotonin has been clearly able to promote liver regeneration (75,76) and the mechanism may be related to the Hippo signaling pathway (77). In addition, platelet promotion of liver regeneration may also be related to their mobilization of bone marrow mesenchymal cells.

### Hemostasis activation

Hemostasis is not only the key to a good prognosis after PHx, but is also associated to liver regeneration (67). Many hemostatic factors have been reported to be involved in liver regeneration, among which platelets undoubtedly play an important role in this process (68,69). Studies have shown that the lower the platelet count, the worse the liver regeneration (70,71). After PHx, platelets quickly migrate to the Disse space, release their contents, and stimulate the proliferation of hepatocytes or LSEC through HGF, VEGF, or serotonin (72-74). Serotonin has been clearly able to promote liver regeneration (75,76) and the mechanism may be related to the Hippo signaling pathway (77). In addition, platelet promotion of liver regeneration may also be related to their mobilization of bone marrow mesenchymal cells to migrate to the damaged liver (78) (Table 1).

### Table 1: The potential mechanisms through which PHx may trigger liver regeneration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Trigger</th>
<th>Animal</th>
<th>Degree of PHx</th>
<th>Effect</th>
<th>Mechanism</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Elevation of shear stress</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>2/3PHx</td>
<td>Initiates and maintains liver regeneration</td>
<td>Proper portal blood perfusion; Hepatocyte membrane and sodium-potassium pump changes</td>
<td>(38)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation of shear stress</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>2/3PHx</td>
<td>Triggers the liver regeneration cascade</td>
<td>Expression of c-fos mRNA; Release of NO and proliferation factors †</td>
<td>(40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation of shear stress</td>
<td>Mice</td>
<td>2/3PHx</td>
<td>The decreased serum nitrate and nitrite levels lead to lower liver mass recovery and higher ALT</td>
<td>Release of NO; The HSP70 family and Ki-67 †; Induction of Nrp1 and EGFR †</td>
<td>(42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation of shear stress</td>
<td>Rat</td>
<td>2/3PHx</td>
<td>Initiates liver regeneration</td>
<td>uPA and uPAR activation; Induction of active HGF †</td>
<td>(46)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innate immune response</td>
<td>Mice</td>
<td>2/3PHx</td>
<td>Loss of OPN impairs hepatic recruitment of KCs and delays hepatocyte proliferation</td>
<td>LPS levels in the serum †; IL-6/STAT3 expression †</td>
<td>(63)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Innate immune response</td>
<td>Mice</td>
<td>2/3PHx</td>
<td>FHL2 deficiency exhibits diminished liver regeneration</td>
<td>KCs produces LPS-induced cytokine; Inhibits NF-κB activity; IL-6 and TNF-α expression †</td>
<td>(64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemostasis activation</td>
<td>Mice</td>
<td>2/3PHx</td>
<td>Depleted platelet reduces hepatocellular proliferation</td>
<td>Hepatic expression and release of pro-inflammatory mediators; Platelet-derived serotonin †</td>
<td>(76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemostasis activation</td>
<td>Mice</td>
<td>2/3PHx</td>
<td>Serotonin promotes regeneration and injury repair</td>
<td>Axis of serotonin - pErk-YAP †</td>
<td>(77)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NO, nitric oxide; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; HSP70, heat shock protein 70; Nrp1, neuropilin1; EGFR, epidermal growth factor receptor; uPA, urokinase-type plasminogen activator; uPAR, urokinase-type plasminogen activator receptor; HGF, hepatocyte growth factor; LPS, lipopolysaccharide; KCs, Kupffer cells; IL-1, interleukin-1; OPN, osteopontin; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor α; FHL2, four-and-a-half LIM-domain protein 2; NF-κB, nuclear factor kappa B; IL-6, interleukin-6.
Liver regeneration of PHx

Figure 2 Cytokines, growth factors, and signaling pathways contributing to liver regeneration after PHx. NF-κB, nuclear factor kappa B; IL-6, interleukin-6; TNF-α, tumor necrosis factor α; p130, glycoprotein 130 kDa; STAT3, signal transducer and activator of transcription 3; JNK, c-Jun N-terminal kinase; LPR5/6, low-density lipoprotein receptor-related protein 5/6; Gsk3β, glycogen synthase kinase 3 beta; Axin, axis inhibition protein; Dvl, dishevelled; APC, adenomatous polyposis coli; CK1α, casein kinase 1; TCF/LEF, T cell factor/lymphoid enhancer factor; uPA, urokinase-type plasminogen activator; HGF, hepatocyte growth factor; pro-HGF, inactive precursor of HGF; c-Met, hepatocyte growth factor receptor; EGF, endothelial growth factor; EGFR, EGR receptor; PI3K, phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase; Akt, protein kinase B; TSC1/2, tuberous sclerosis complex1/2; Rheb, small guanosine triphosphatase; mTOR, mammalian target of rapamycin; p70S6K1, p70 S6 kinase 1; 4E-BP, 4E-binding protein; Ras/Raf/MEK/Erk (also known as MAPK), mitogen-activated protein kinases.

Molecular basis of liver regeneration after PHx

The liver regeneration process can generally be divided into three stages: initiation, proliferation and termination, with various molecules participating in the different stages (54). With more extensive research into the molecular mechanism, the search for targeted drugs of liver regeneration has become a particular research focus. In the following, we will review the mechanism of liver regeneration through cytokines, growth factors, and signaling pathways (Figure 2).

Cytokines

IL-6

Inflammation is a very complex biological response featured by the recruitment, activation, and growth of immune and inflammatory cells, which reduces infection, eliminates damaged cells, and initiates tissue repair and regeneration processes (79).

Inflammatory cells trigger liver regeneration through released cytokines and growth factors. At present, IL-6 and TNF-α are the most widely studied pro-inflammatory cytokines.

When the liver suffers an acute injury, IL-6 plays a critical role in promoting hepatocyte homeostasis and mitosis (80). This means that IL-6 can not only protect the function of the liver, but also promote liver regeneration. During hepatocyte damage, KCs are stimulated, which activates NF-κB and transfers it from the cytoplasm to the nucleus (81). Activated NF-κB then causes KCs to secrete more IL-6 and TNF-α; meanwhile, TNF-α also leads to a massive expression of IL-6 in an autocrine manner (82). IL-6 by binding to the IL-6 receptor (IL-6R) forms the IL-6/IL-6R complex and the complex activates a receptor protein called glycoprotein 130 kDa (gp130), which activates the two pathways: the Janus
kinase (JAK)/signal transducer and activator of transcription (STAT) pathway (83,84) and the MEK/Erk pathway. It is known that Jak/Stat is a vital transducing signal related to growth regulation, survival, differentiation, and resistance to pathogens (85). MEK/Erk also plays a significant role in proliferation (86). IL-6 knockout mice have demonstrated alterations in the apoptotic pathways, with a decrease in anti-apoptotic factors (87).

**TNF-α**
Highly pleiotropic TNF-α induces multiple biological effects such as metabolic activation, necrotic cell death, inflammatory responses, and proliferation (88). On the one hand, TNF-α can activate the anti-apoptotic and pro-inflammatory NF-kb pathway anti-apoptotic by binding to its receptor TNF-R1 (88). On the other hand, TNF activates c-Jun N-terminal kinase (JNK) 1/2 in the liver and subsequently induces the transcription factor c-Jun and its target genes. Since the network of JNK interactions is very complex and not yet fully understood, current studies indicate that it may be involved in triggering hepatocyte proliferation or inducing apoptosis (89). Also, in a culture of hepatocytes, researchers found that TNF-α may participate in remodeling and regeneration of the liver by declining expression of metalloproteinase 9 (MMP9) (90). TNF-α promotes the proliferation of hepatocytes in vivo, and can also play the same role in vitro. Peng et al. found that medium supplemented with TNF-α can make hepatocytes proliferate and undergo continuous passage and culture time for more than 6 months (91). IL-6 and TNF-α are both vital at the beginning of the liver regeneration, and their roles cannot be substituted for each other.

**Growth factors**

**HGF**
Blood-derived HGF was first recognized as a mitogen for mature hepatocytes (92). HGF is synthesized in the form of pro-HGF and stored in the liver biomatrix (93). After liver injury or partial hepatectomy, HSCs release pro-HGF, and then release HGF under the cut of uPA and plasminogen protease. HGF binds to c-Met receptors on liver cells to activate downstream signaling pathways, triggering the proliferation and mobilization of liver cells (46,94). The activation of HGF was weakened in uPA-deficient mice after liver injury (49). HGF/c-Met is a key factor for liver growth and function, and contains an intracellular tyrosine kinase domain (95,96). Upon c-Met dimerization, activated kinase facilitates auto-phosphorylation of tyrosine and the downstream signaling pathways are stimulated to produce a verity of biological effects, including proliferation, survival, and angiogenesis (47,48). Once PI3K/Akt/ mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR) and (Ras or Raf)/MEK/Erk1/2 pathways are blocked, the amplification of hepatocytes is suppressed (48,80,97).

**Endothelial growth factor (EGF)**
Duodenal Brunner’s glands and salivary glands can synthesize and secrete EGF and KCs product heparin-bound EGF (HB-EGF), which respectively work on hepatocytes via endocrine, paracrine, and autocrine methods (98,99). ErbB family members include ErbB1, ErbB2, ErbB3, and ErbB4. ErbB4 is not expressed in the human liver (100). Even if they have the same receptor, the ErbB ligands exert different effects on liver regeneration and biological responses. In HB-EGF knockout mice, liver regeneration is deficient and decreased because of the delay of hepatocyte DNA synthesis after 1/3 PHx (101). Unlike HGF signaling through c-Met homodimer, EGF signaling via ErbB1, ErbB1 can homo-/hetero-dimerize with ErbB2 or ErbB3, thereby stimulating Ras-Raf-ERK and PI3K-AKT signaling pathways facilitates cell growth, adhesion, and migration (51,52). When EGF is inhibited, animals suffering from hepatectomy experience a delay in hepatocyte division (102).

**Transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β)**
Although TGF-β is the most well-known signal for the termination of liver regeneration, we have an inadequate understanding of the role of TGF-β in liver regeneration. TGF-β is usually synthesized and secreted in a paracrine way by mesenchymal cells, such as HSCs, KCs, and platelets (103). One hour after PHx, the content of TGF-β significantly improves in the blood. Upon TGF-β originally adhered to the cell membrane surface through decorin (104), the increase in blood content is the result of TGF-β detaching from the membrane surface into the blood and binding to the alpha2-macroglobulin in the blood (105). This process of dissociation from the liver parenchymal cell membrane surface and immobilization in the plasma may be avoided by TGF-β inhibition of the proliferation of liver cells in the early stage (106). In the middle of proliferation, the indirect hepatocyte inhibitor cation-independent mannose 6-phosphate receptor (CIMPR) is expressed, which converts the TGF-β precursor into activated TGF-β and regulates the binding of activated TGF-β to the TGFR.
After Notch1 binds to the Jagged1 ligand, the Notch signaling pathway can be activated. The Notch receptor is then cleaved by the γ-secretase complex, and the Notch1 intracellular domain (NICD1) is released into the cell. NICD1, as the active form of Notch1, can translocate into the nucleus and cause the transcription of Notch target genes related to cell proliferation such as Hes1/5, c-myc, and Cyclin D1 (119-121). However, the mechanism through which Jagged1 is activated quickly in response to damage changes remains unclear. As an important Notch ligand in the liver, Jagged1 is expressed not only in HPCs and cholangiocytes, but in portal vein mesenchymal smooth muscle cells (122,123). The specific knockout of Jagged1 in these cells will lead to abnormal liver development. This indicates that the activation of Jagged1 may be the result of increased portal blood pressure or blood flow rate.

**mTOR signaling**

mTOR, as a protein kinase, is an important signal molecule in the Akt/tuberous sclerosis complex1/2 (TSC1/2)/mTOR signaling pathway and participates in the regulation of multiple cellular events such as metabolism, cell proliferation, and autophagy. It is activated by the phosphorylation of PI3K/Akt and activated Akt phosphorylates the TSC1/TSC2 complex. The function of the phosphorylated TSC1/TSC2 complex is subsequently inhibited, releasing its inhibition of the small guanosine triphosphatase (GTPase) Rheb, so that Rheb is activated, and the activated form of Rheb positively regulates mTOR (124). It then promotes protein synthesis and cell growth by mediating important downstream signaling molecules, p70 S6 kinase 1 (p70S6K1) and the eukaryotic initiation factor 4E-binding proteins (4E-BPs) (125-127).

According to an open experiment, stimulating the mTOR signaling pathway can promote liver growth in Zebrafish and the proliferation of human hepatocytes (128).

**Hippo signaling**

At present, the activation of liver regeneration signaling is well understood. However, the mechanism through which the body feels the hepatic weight and size recovery remains unclear. Research has shown that the Hippo signaling pathway has a significant role in control the of organ size and tumorigenesis (129,130). Following activation of the Hippo signaling pathway, the mammalian Ste20-like kinases 1/2 (Mst1/2) is triggered and the large tumor suppressor 1/2 (Last1/2) is subsequently activated by phosphorylation.
Last1/2 acts as a phosphokinase, which then phosphorylates the Yes-associated protein (YAP) and the transcriptional co-activator with the postsynaptic density protein-95/disk large/zonula occludens-1 [PDZ]-binding motif (TAZ), so that they bind to 14-3-3 and remain in the cytoplasm. Eventually YAP/TAZ is degraded by the ubiquitination pathway and loses its activity.

Upon inactivation of Hippo, the unphosphorylated YAP/TAZ enters the nucleus and binds to the transcription factor TEA-domain-containing proteins (TEADs) to promote cell proliferation (131,132). The inactivation of Mst1/2 and Last1/2, activation of YAP in the initial regeneration stage, and the reactivation of Mst1/2 and Last1/2 following recovery of the liver in terminal regeneration stage confirms the effect of the Hippo signaling pathway in the liver regeneration (53). Meanwhile, the acute inactivation of Hippo signaling can dedifferentiate mature hepatocytes into cells bearing progenitor characteristics (133).

Conclusions

The process of liver regeneration is complex and intricate, consisting of various and interactive pathways. There is sufficient evidence to demonstrate that liver regeneration is similar between humans and rodents. The PHx-induced liver regeneration model is still the first choice for most studies, but it is more common clinically that liver regeneration occurs after injury caused by poisons, alcohol, or immunity. In this case, it is undoubtedly that the liver regeneration model induced by chemical damage is more suitable. In addition to considering the etiologies, different course of the disease will also interfere with model selection. Compared with the PHx-induced liver regeneration model, the liver regeneration process induced by chemical damage is slower. Therefore, it is very important to fully grasp the features of each model and choose a suitable liver regeneration model. Many cytokines, growth factors and signal pathways are interrelated and affect each other. As the understanding of the signal molecules involved in liver regeneration becomes deeper and deeper, we look forward to developing corresponding targeted drugs to improve the efficiency of liver regeneration. Nevertheless, we still do not fully command the mechanism of liver regeneration and increasing the mastery of this knowledge can not only further guide clinical research on how to promote liver regeneration after surgical resection and liver transplantation, but also offer possible targets to treat the dysregulation of regeneration that occurs in chronic hepatic diseases and tumors. Current research work includes the use of animal models as vectors for studying human hepatocytes in vivo, which has shown the uniqueness and importance of the field of liver regeneration.
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