Bronchoalveolar lavage-detected SARS-CoV-2 infection in presence of serial negative nasopharyngeal swabs: a case report
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Abstract: We describe a case of a SARS coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) infection in a Swiss 54-years-old immunocompromised patient (lymphoma, therapy with the anti-CD20 antibody Rituximab®), with initial scarce respiratory symptoms but typical coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) radiological presentation, and symptoms onset during a holiday trip to Texas (USA). Three nasopharyngeal swabs in the 96 hours following hospital admission were negative, despite a CT thorax suggestive for an early stage of infection. COVID-infection was finally confirmed in the bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) fluid, performed for exclusion of an alternative diagnosis in immunocompromised. In the BAL an increased cellularity with marked lymphocytosis of 35%, a reduced CD4/CD8 ratio of 0.1 and borderline neutrophilia of 3% were found. This finding might be due to the concomitant therapy with anti-CD20 antibodies, but the presence of lymphocytosis in the BAL despite peripheral lymphopenia with decreased CD4/CD8 T-cells ratio are described here for the first time in a SARS-CoV-2 infection. Persistent gastrointestinal symptoms (diarrhea), fever and initially headache were the predominant symptoms. The respiratory symptoms were scarce (variable mild dyspnea mMRC1). The respiratory conditions worsened during the hospital stay, with tachypnea up to 35/min, increased need for supplemental oxygen up to 8 L/min and worsening lung infiltrates on CT thorax on day 5. A therapy with hydroxychloroquine (HCQ) and an immunoglobulin-supplementation were given, with clinical and respiratory improvement, without need for intensive care or any ventilator support, and hospital discharge on day 16. Our case highlights some diagnostic and therapeutic challenges occurring in patients with COVID-19 infection. As take-home message, in the presence of clinical and radiological findings compatible with SARS-CoV-2 infection we outline the importance of treating patients accordingly, also in presence of repeated negative nasopharyngeal swabs. In selected patients as in our case a bronchoscopic BAL should be considered to exclude other infections, but in our opinion not primarily to confirming COVID-19 infection. Our unique finding of a lymphocytosis in the BAL during a COVID-19 infection needs further investigations.
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Introduction

In December 2019 a new pattern of atypical interstitial pneumonia was reported for the first time in Wuhan, Hubei, China (1). SARS coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), a positive-sense single stranded RNA Virus, has been identified as the causative agent of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19). The human to human transmission of the virus caused a rapid progressing global pandemia. More common reported symptoms were fever, cough, fatigue, anosmia, ageusia, nasal congestion, while palpitation, diarrhea and myalgia are quite rare. The lung involvement with progressive respiratory insufficiency is usually responsible for the severe course of the disease, with ARDS and high morbidity and mortality, specially in polymorbide or elderly patients. What turns out to be unique in our case are the initial potentially misleading clinical presentation with gastrointestinal symptoms and fever in the absence of respiratory symptoms despite suspicious radiology findings, the several and repeated negative nasopharyngeal swabs for SARS-CoV-2 which could have led to the thought of a Hospital acquired pneumonia (HAP) but a positive bronchoscopic bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) positivity for COVID-19, and the finding of a marked lymphocytosis in the BAL. We present the following article in accordance with the CARE reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-20-4307).

Case presentation

A 54-year-old Swiss man was admitted to our hospital on March 22th because of unspecific variable symptoms in the last two weeks, with an onset during a holiday trip in Texas (USA). He had already been known for a follicular lymphoma WHO 1–2 Stage 4 first diagnosed in 2018 under therapy with the anti-CD20 monoclonal antibodies Rituximab (currently 700 mg every 8 weeks, last administration on February 18th, 2020), without other co-morbidities. Before the trip, he was asymptomatic. As soon as he arrived in the USA on March 6th he suffered from gastric discomfort with diarrhea up to 4–5 times a day. Subsequently, he developed bifrontal position-dependent headache (visual analog pain scale 5–6/10) causing sleeping difficulties. After his return to Switzerland on March 19th, 2020, he developed an undirected dizziness. He never measured fever before hospital admission. Cough and rhinitis were denied. Only a mild variable dyspnea NYHA I was reported.

A timetable of our case is shown on Figure 1.

On admission he presented with a core temperature of 38.2 °C, a blood pressure of 130/90 mmHg, a heart rate of 110 bpm, a respiratory rate of 15 breaths/min and an oxygen saturation of 90% at room air. The laboratory results showed a white blood cell count of 3.3 G/L with lymphopenia of 0.62 G/L; kidney function and pancreatic and liver enzymes were normal, excepted an LDH of 592 U/L. The blood sugar was slightly elevated at 6.4 mmol/L, and the sodium slightly reduced at 131 mmol/L. The C-reactive protein level was 39 mg/L, the arterial blood gas analysis showed a pH of 7.50, PaCO₂ 3.78 KPa, PaO₂ 10.2 KPa, bicarbonate 22 mmol/L, base excess 1.10 mmol/L, lactate 0.8 mmol/L. Contrast head computed tomography (CT) excluded bleeding, sinus vein thrombosis and signs of endocranial hypertension. In absence of meningeal signs, rachicentesis was not performed.

The chest X-ray, compared to a previous image made 4 weeks before, showed new bilateral patchy reticular opacities with predominant involvement of the lower lobes and without pleural effusions compatible with atypical pneumonia (Figure 2). The CT thorax confirmed the diagnosis. Bilateral mostly peripheral but also peribronchovascular ground-glass opacities with basal predominant distribution were seen, well compatible with an atypical pneumonia of viral origin. These findings were classic for an early stage SARS-CoV-2 infection judged by the new British Society of Thoracic Imaging (BSTI) guidelines (2). The total severity score of the involved lung parenchyma was 7 of 20 (25–50% of the lung parenchyma), therefore close to a critical ill patient collective (3). The differential diagnoses included other viral, bacterial or opportunistic infection and pharmacological toxicity, which were considered unlikely.

The patient was isolated, and antibiotics were initially prescribed (clarithromycin and ceftriaxone). The first nasopharyngeal swab for COVID-19, which was taken on admission, was negative, as well as a second swab 24 hours later. Initial multiplex-PCR swabs for respiratory viral infections was negative. In the 72 hours following hospital admission no improvement of symptoms occurred. The infections was negative. In the 72 hours following hospital admission no improvement of symptoms occurred. The several and repeated negative nasopharyngeal swabs for SARS-CoV-2 which could have led to the thought of a Hospital acquired pneumonia (HAP) but a positive bronchoscopic bronchoalveolar lavage (BAL) positivity for COVID-19, and the finding of a marked lymphocytosis in the BAL. We present the following article in accordance with the CARE reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-20-4307).
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March 6th, 2020: Trip in the USA
March 19th, 2020: come back to Switzerland, develops dizziness, diarrhea and fever
March 22nd, 2020: Hospital Admission and first negative COVID-19 swab
Day 1: second negative COVID-19 swab
Day 3: worsening of symptoms, fever persists, for the first time dyspnea occurs
Day 4: third negative COVID-19 swab
Day 5: bronchoscopy with BAL, COVID-19 PCR in the BAL fluid resulted positive, a treatment with Hydroxychloroquin was started
Day 6: fever and diarrhea resolved
Day 9: increased need for oxygen supplementation. Privigen was given
Day 12: fever and diarrhea resolved
Day 16: the patient was discharged

Figure 1 Timetable of the reported case. COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; BAL, bronchoalveolar lavage.

Figure 2 Comparison of Chest X-ray and CT-thorax at hospital admission, 10 days after onset of the first symptoms. Bilateral patchy reticular opacities with predominant involvement of the lower zones on the X-ray can be observed. Bilateral peripheral and peribronchovascular ground-glass opacities with basal predominant distribution in the CT-thorax are seen.
blood cultures, antibiotics were empirically changed to Piperazillin/Tazobactam, and due to the possible alternative diagnosis, the indication to BAL was given. Before BAL, a third COVID-19 nasopharyngeal swab was repeated almost 96 hours after hospital admission and confirmed negative.

The bronchoscopy showed a moderately inflamed bronchial mucosa in absence of bronchial secretions (Figure 3A). A BAL was performed in the middle lobe. After instillation of 150 mL 0.9% sterile saline solution, 80 mL of light turbid not hemorrhagic fluid was recovered. The differential count of the BAL (Figure 3B) revealed an increase of lymphocytes to 35%, and a borderline increase of neutrophils to 3%. Eosinophils were not increased. Immunocytochemical studies revealed a marked decrease of the CD4/CD8 ratio to 0.1 (CD4: clone 4B12, Novocastra, order no. NCL-L-CD4-368; CD8: clone C8/144B, BioSB, order no. BSB-BSB5173). CD20-positive B-lymphocytes were not present (clone L26, Agilent Technologies, order no. M075501). Careful reevaluation of the slides did not show any signs of a viral infection such as intranuclear or intracytoplasmic inclusion, nuclear enlargement or multinucleation. Neither were there any signs of repair such as desquamated alveolar cells or nuclear atypia. Special stains did not reveal pneumocystis jirovecii or fungi (toluidin blue, Grocott). Broad microbiology investigations for viral, bacterial and fungal pathogens were negative, but the COVID-19 PCR in the BAL fluid resulted positive.

**Further management and evolution**

Following the BAL results, antibiotics were stopped and a therapy with hydroxychlorochin 200 mg twice daily started. A follow-up CT confirmed the worsening of the pulmonary condition within 5 days. The total severity CT score increased to 14 of 20 (50–75% of the lung parenchyma involved), clearly assigning the patient to the critical ill group. The initial ground glass got denser. Additional interlobular septal thickening with typical crazy paving was seen, representing alveolar edema and interstitial inflammation. Also, small areas of peripheral consolidations and small bilateral pleural effusion were observed (Figure 4). Hemodynamics remained stable but up to day 9 after admission the patient remained tachypneic and required an oxygen supplementation up to 8 L/min to maintain SpO₂ above 88–90%. The IL-6 level increased up to 136 pg/mL, Ferritin up to 6,410 mcg/L and D-Dimer up to 1,146 ng/mL. Due to the worsening conditions, the underlying disease, the Rituximab-therapy with complete CD-20 depletion and a slightly reduced total IgG level of 6.13 g/L iv-human immunoglobulin substitution (Privigen® 30 g) was given. Fever and diarrhea resolved on day 12 after hospital admission. Indication to ICU-transfer was reassessed on a daily basis according to the early-warning-score (4). Given the improvement of the general conditions and of the respiratory insufficiency ICU-treatment and/or non-invasive or mechanical ventilation were avoided. The patient was discharged on day 16 after admission, with improved general condition and a residual moderate respiratory partial insufficiency without indication to oxygen supplementation (PaO₂ 8.92 kPa, PaCO₂ 4.93 kPa, HCO₃⁻ 26.1 mmol/L, SaO₂ 94% at rest/room air).

A follow-up to exclude late consequences of COVID-19
Figure 4 CT-Thorax findings and 3D Volume rendering show a typical COVID-19 infection with increasing involvement of pulmonary parenchyma during hospital stay. (A) CT Thorax at hospital admission with patchy peripheral and peribronchovascular ground glass opacities displayed here in two sectional images. (B) CT Thorax 5 days after hospital admission. Compared to the initial CT, the involved parenchyma increased, the preexisting ground glass opacities got denser, new interlobular septal thickening is seen and a typical crazy paving pattern developed. Also new subpleural consolidations are seen. (C) 3-D Volume rendering visualizing the involved parenchyma in orange at hospital admission. (CT severity score 7/20). (D) 3-D Volume rendering visualizing the progressing involvement of lung parenchyma 5 days after hospital admission. (CT severity score 14/20). COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019.

Discussion

We describe a typical SARS-CoV-2 radiological presentation in an immunocompromised patient but atypical clinic presentation, with triple negative nasopharyngeal swabs.
in the 96 hours following hospital admission despite a CT thorax highly suggestive for an early stage of infection, and COVID-19 infection confirmed by BAL, primary performed for exclusion of an alternative diagnosis. The initial respiratory symptoms were minimal, in comparison to gastrointestinal symptoms and persistent fever. Interestingly, BAL cytologic findings were consistent with an alveolar lymphocytosis. We believe our case is exemplary for many critical issues related to the current SARS-CoV2 pandemic. In addition, we describe novel cytologic findings of the BAL. First, our patient might have exemplary contributed to the rapid diffusion of COVID-19 infection over the globe. In our case, it’s unclear where the patient was first infected. The incubation period of SARS-CoV2 ranges from 2 to 14 days with a median incubation period of 4 days (5). Our patient lives in the central part of Switzerland, a region of very low COVID-19 prevalence when he moved to USA. Due to the onset of the first symptoms, the COVID-19 infection was more probably acquired at the airport, during the overseas flight, or in the USA. No airport screening was performed at that time in the USA, and airport screening is reported as unlikely to detect a sufficient proportion of COVID-19 infected travellers to avoid entry of infected people (6). Second, our case describes a probably not uncommon respiratory pauci-symptomatic patient, with repeated negative COVID-19 swabs, but highly suggestive clinic and radiology signs of a SARS-CoV-2 disease. There is an increasing evidence for the diagnostic importance of radiology specially chest-CT in the SARS-CoV2 diagnosis. Thorax-CT is superior to RT-PCR nasopharyngeal swaps for detecting SARS-CoV-2, with a sensitivity of 98% vs. 71% (7). The CT-finding allows a good differentiation between common viral pneumonia and COVID-19 pneumonia with 86% specificity (8). Moreover CT helps with the correlation between total CT severity score (involved lung parenchyma) and overall disease severity (3). To date, in order to establish a diagnosis naso- and oro-pharyngeal samples are usually collected from patients with suspected infection. If a first sample is negative, a second sample might be repeated after 24–48 hrs. However, as in our case the possibility of sequential false negative results has to be considered. This might be due to the sampling technique, but more likely in relation to the nature of the virus and his probable drift from the upper airway in an early phase of the disease to the lower airway in a late phase, after 6–8 days from symptoms onset. Currently, in our institution all COVID-19 suspected patient cases are isolated for at least 14 days after symptom onset and 48 hours after complete symptoms remission, regardless of a negative nasopharyngeal swab result. The isolation is extended up to 21 or 28 days in most severe cases (ICU-need and ventilation or tracheostomy-need), according the regularly updated guidelines of the Swiss national centre for infection and prevention (SWISSNOSO). The role of the BAL fluid in the diagnosis of a COVID-19 infection is debated (9). Accordingly to international recommendations (10) BAL sampling isn’t indicated neither to confirm nor to rule-out a COVID-19 infection, mostly because of the staff exposure risk to a possible aerosol-generation procedure such bronchoscopy (11) despite BAL fluid being more sensitive than other analyzes (93% against 63% by nasal Swab) (12). In our case, we performed BAL to rule out an alternative diagnosis in an immunocompromised host, such as drug toxicity, alveolar hemorrhage, other viral infection or a P.neumoniae pneumonia. Due to the national restrictions, cytologic features of BAL in COVID-19 have not been described in the literature yet. Interestingly, in our case the BAL fluid showed no significant neutrophilia (3%) but a significant lymphocytosis of 35% and a marked reduced CD4/CD8 ratio, a pattern usually found in hypersensitivity pneumonitis. The absence of CD20-positive B-lymphocytes was attributed to treatment with Rituximab. No morphologic signs of viral infections or of repair were present in our case. Less severe BAL lymphocytosis is reported in the literature, but with a normal CD4/CD8 T-cell ratio of 1.7 (13).

Due to restrictive use of BAL, cytologic features have seldom been described in SARS and MERS, and so far not in SARS-CoV-2. In MERS, BAL showed increased number of neutrophils and macrophages (14). Pulmonary pathology in SARS-CoV-2 has been described in autopsy studies, where a diffuse alveolar damage has been reported (15). However, features of diffuse alveolar damage such as an increased number of macrophages or desquamated cells were not present in our case. It can be argued that the features of DAD are only found in late stages of the disease, whereas our patient was not severely compromised at the time of the BAL. In addition, increased numbers of neutrophils may rather indicate a secondary bacterial infection and are not due to the COVID-19 infection itself. Therefore, further studies of the morphological changes in BAL in SARS-CoV-2 are required, especially with a correlation to the severity of the clinical findings. For the therapy of COVID several treatment options are considered and under evaluation, as chloroquine or HCQ, antiretroviral combination with lopinavir/ritonavir, macrolids antibiotics, tocilizumab and systemic corticosteroids (16). Chloroquine showed in in-vitro studies and in the animal models an
antiviral activity against the SARS virus (17,18) and avian influenza (19). At least four studies focused their attention on benefits from chloroquine/HCQ in COVID-19. Overall, the conclusions from these papers suggest marginal benefit from chloroquine/HCQ (20-23). However, it should be taken in account that there is a large heterogeneity regarding patient populations, inclusion criteria, drug dosages and, therefore, a conclusive statement about the use of chloroquine/HCQ is hard to achieve.

In our case, we decided to administer HCQ because of a possibly superior antiviral and prophylactic activity than chloroquine (24). However, according to the most recent data, currently we wouldn't administrate HCQ anymore (25). No antiretroviral combination lopinavir/ritonavir was administrated mainly because of the risk of interaction with co-medications and past therapy, but also because of recent studies in hospitalized adult patients with severe COVID-19 showing no clinical improvement or mortality with lopinavir–ritonavir treatment beyond standard care (24). However, treatment standards have to be reassessed based on current clinical trials. In addition, human immunoglobulin substitution with IgG was prescribed because of the baseline diagnosis and Rituximab medication, with secondary slightly reduced concentration of IgG. There is a rationale for immunoglobulin supplementation in bacterial infections. The possible role of immunoglobuline supplementation is unclear and, at present, the US FDA has listed plasma as investigational new drug with a pending approval (26). Tocilizumab is a monoclonal antibody blocking the IL-6 receptor for IL-6 and consequently decreasing in the activity of the cytokine, which is centrally involved in the cytokine storm induced by COVID-19. Tocilizumab therapy has been suggested as an option in patients with high IL-6 levels, with bilateral prolonged pneumonia and with severe manifestations. To date, only two guidelines recommend the use of tocilizumab, the NHC and the SIMIT Lombardy section guidelines (27,28). At day 5 we considered the administration of Tocilizumab, but we refrained because of the concomitant Rituximab therapy (also an exclusion criteria in most current studies). In absence of a co-medication with Rituximab, the patient would have fulfilled our current guidelines for the administration of Tocilizumab in SARS-CoV-2.

Our case report is exemplary for some of the pitfalls which may occur in a SARS-CoV-2 infections or in an immunocompromised patient. The initial atypical clinical presentation with gastrointestinal but almost no respiratory symptoms and the repeated negative nasopharyngeal swabs in an immunocompromised patient might have misled to another and wrong differential diagnoses. The respiratory symptoms occurred also in the course of the hospitalization might have been attributed to a nosocomial pneumonia. It was the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic in Europe and no deep informations were available at that time. However, the early targeted use of Chest CT-Scan and the typical COVID-19 CT-changes in the lung parenchyma were crucial for a correct isolation of the patients and to guide the further investigations. The role of bronchoscopy in COVID-19 infections might be debated. We believe bronchoscopy is not primarily indicated to confirm a COVID-19 infections, if clinic and chest-CT are highly suspicious. However, bronchoscopy with adequate protective measures and BAL might have a role in all unclear cases, and to exclude other infections if suspected. In our case the BAL confirmed the SARS-CoV-2 infection. The founding of a BAL lymphocytosis with decreased CD4/CD8 T-cells ratio might have otherwise suggested an hypersensitivity pneumonitis, is firstly reported and requires further investigations.

Conclusions

Our case highlights the therapeutic challenges which have to be dealt with in patients with COVID-19 infection, who also suffer from significant co-morbidities affecting the immunsystem. Decision making should be guided by clinical and radiology presentations, it should supplant the results of diagnostic tests, particularly in cancer patients. A high clinical suspicion should supplant the false negative swab because early bronchoscopic evaluation in cancer patients, who are receiving active treatment or are immunosuppressed, could allow the institution of the most effective treatment earlier.
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