Immune checkpoint inhibitor plus tyrosine kinase inhibitor for unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma in the real world
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Background: This study aimed to evaluate safety and efficacy of programmed death-1 (PD-1) inhibitor sintilimab plus tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) in a real-word cohort of patients with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma (uHCC).

Methods: A total of 60 patients treated with sintilimab plus TKI between February 2019 and December 2019 were enrolled. Radiological response was recorded by computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) at baseline and every 6–12 weeks after treatment initiation. Tumor response was assessed according to the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) 1.1 and HCC-specific modified RECIST (mRECIST).

Results: As of the data cutoff on September 30st, 2020, the median duration of follow-up was 10.4 (4.3–23.9) months. The objective response rate (ORR) and disease control rate (DCR) were 36.7% (95% CI: 24.9–48.5%), 81.7% (95% CI: 71.9–91.5%) according to the RECIST 1.1, and 52.8% (95% CI: 39.1–66.5%), 83.0% (95% CI: 73.2–93.8%) according to mRECIST criteria. Among 36 HCC patients with multinodular HCC or locally-advanced HCC with portal vein tumor thrombus (PVTT), 14 patients received one session of transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) within 1 month before or after the combinational systemic therapies, and the rest 22 patients did not receive any local regional therapies. After propensity score matching, patients from the TACE group tended to have a longer PFS (median, 10.1 vs. 9.1 months, P=0.73) than those from the non-TACE group but without significant differences. A total of 8 patients received surgical resection after the combined systemic therapies and 3 patients achieved pathological CR. No recurrence or metastasis was observed in 6 patients. A total of 46 (76.7%) patients reported adverse event (AE) with any grade and 8 (13.3%) patients discontinued the combination therapy due to grade 3/4 severe adverse events.

Conclusions: PD-1-targeted immunotherapy sintilimab plus TKIs exhibited promising efficacy with tolerable toxicity in unresectable HCC. The addition of TACE to the combined systemic therapies also resulted in a favorable tumor control and safety. For select responders, surgical resection might be a choice for radical treatment.
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Introduction

Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the most common primary liver cancer and the fifth leading cause of cancer-related deaths worldwide (1). Locoregional therapies (LRT) and surgery remain the optimal treatment for early and intermediate HCC, whereas systemic treatment is recommended for patients at advanced stage. Approximately 50% of patients receive systemic therapy at some points during the disease course (2). Multi-target tyrosine kinase inhibitor (TKI) sorafenib was the only FDA-approved systemic treatment before 2017 (3). New breakthroughs have been made during the past three years since four other TKIs including lenvatinib, regorafenib, carbozantinib and ramucirumab are added as the first-line or second-line systemic therapies for HCC (4-7). Apatinib, a select VEGFR-2 inhibitor, is indicated as another second-line treatment option in China (8). Immune checkpoint inhibitors (ICIs) including cytotoxic T lymphocyte-associated protein 4 (CTLA-4) and programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1) inhibitors, as potential curative treatments for multiple cancers, have also become adopted therapies for advanced HCC. Two PD-1 monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) nivolumab and pembrolizumab have been indicated for HCC patients progressed on sorafenib, according to a durable objective response rate (ORR) of 20% and 17% respectively in the phase 2 CheckMate-040 and KEYNOTE-224 studies (9,10). However, the unmet overall survival (OS) benefit in the phase 3 CheckMate-459 and KEYNOTE-240 studies frustrated applying single-agent PD-1 inhibitor in advanced HCC (11). Combination of ICIs with vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF)-targeted agents has exhibited superiority to monotherapy in many solid tumors (12). In HCC, pembrolizumab in conjunction with lenvatinib showed an ORR of 36.0% with acceptable toxicity in a phase Ib/II study (13). The result of the phase 3 IMbrave150 study released recently demonstrated that treatment with PD-1 ligand (PD-L1) mAb atezolizumab plus VEGFR mAb bevacizumab was associated with a significantly better overall survival (OS) and progression-free survival (PFS) than sorafenib in patients with unresectable HCC not previously treated with systemic therapy (14). Sintilimab is another PD-1 mAb with a higher affinity and slower off-rate compared with pembrolizumab and nivolumab (15). It has been available for patients with advanced cancer in China since 2019. Preliminary results of a phase Ib study showed treatment with sintilimab plus VEGF mAb IB305 resulted in an ORR of 33.3% and a 6-month PFS of 60.5% in patients with advanced HCC (16). A phase 3 ORIENT-32 study evaluating the efficacy and safety of sintilimab plus IB305 as a first-line treatment for advanced HCC is underway (NCT03794440). For patients with unresectable HCC ineligible for or reluctant to enter the clinical trials, sintilimab has been administered either in combination with TKIs or in addition to resistant TKIs in our center. Transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) and surgical resections were also applied for select patients during the combined systemic therapies. Herein, we aimed to analyze the safety and efficacy of sintilimab plus TKI in a real-life cohort of patients with initially unresectable HCC. We present the following article in accordance with the STROBE reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-20-7037).

Methods

Study design and patients

This was a single-arm study to assess the efficacy and tolerability of sintilimab plus TKIs in patients with unresectable HCC in a real world. Patients who received sintilimab in combination with TKIs synchronously or metachronously to treat unresectable HCC in Zhongshan Hospital of Fudan University between February 2019 and December 2019 were enrolled. The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). The study was approved by institutional committee board of Zhongshan Hospital, Fudan University (No. B2019-296R) and individual consent for this retrospective analysis was waived. The eligibility criteria included: (I) unresectable HCC confirmed histologically or radiologically based on the AASLD criteria; (II) Child-Pugh class A or B liver function; (III) Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group performance status 0–1; (IV) at least one measurable tumor lesion as defined by Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors, version 1.1 (RECIST 1.1); and (V) at least one follow-up imaging assessment. The exclusion criteria included: (I) history of other malignancies; (II) active hepatitis B with abnormal liver function (alanine aminotransferase and aspartate transaminase ≥5 upper limit of normal value). All the data was censored on September 30th 2020.

Treatment protocol

Patients were assigned to receive sintilimab plus TKIs...
based on previous treatments and economic consideration. Sintilimab was prescribed at a fixed dose of 200 mg every 3 weeks. Four available TKIs including sorafenib, lenvatinib, regorafenib, apatinib were administered orally. Sorafenib was given 400 mg/day initially and increased to 800 mg/day in a stepwise manner if tolerated. The lenvatinib dose was determined according to body weight as follows: patients weighing <60 kg received 8 mg/day, whereas those weighing ≥60 kg received 12 mg/day. Regorafenib was prescribed 160 mg/day during weeks 1–3 of each 4-week cycle. Apatinib was prescribed 500 mg/day initially and increased to 750 mg/d if tolerated. Patients who experienced adverse events (AEs) due to TKIs had dose reduction, interruption or discontinuation according to the grade of toxicity. Sintilimab was interrupted or discontinued, and immune-suppressant agents were given based on the severity and the affected organs, if immunotherapy-related SAEs (irSAEs) occurred. TACE was performed before or after the systemic combination therapies in select patients with multinodular HCC or locally-advanced HCC with portal vein tumor thrombus (PVTT). Resection was performed after successful downstaging with adequate future liver remnant (FLR). Patients could continue the combination or adopt single-agent treatment beyond disease progression if the investigators observed evidence of clinical benefit.

**Response and safety evaluation**

Radiological response was recorded by dynamic computed tomography (CT) or magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) at baseline and every 6–12 weeks after treatment initiation. Tumor responses including ORR, disease control rate (DCR) were assessed according to the Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) 1.1 and HCC-specific modified RECIST (mRECIST) (17). ORR and DCR were determined as the proportion of patients with a confirmed objective response (OR) including completed response (CR) and partial response (PR), and the proportion of patients with at least one radiologically confirmed OR stable disease (SD) during the treatment respectively. PFS referred to the time from the initial dose to the first radiologically confirmed PD or death from any cause. In addition to overall response, organ-specific responses were also evaluated for each affected organ. Safety was continuously evaluated by vital signs and clinical laboratory tests. AEs were evaluated according to National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events version 4.0.

**Statistical analyses**

Continuous variables were presented as median (range) or mean ± standard deviation, and compared using T test or Mann-Whitney U test according to the normality and homogeneity. Categorical variables were shown as frequency (percentage) and compared using chi-square test or Fisher’s exact test when appropriate. Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 22.0 software (RRID:SCR_002865) and R 4.0.2 (RRID:SCR_001905). The PFS and OS were all estimated by the Kaplan-Meier method. The survival curve was depicted using the “survminer” and “survival” packages. A propensity score was constructed to account for potential bias between the groups who had TACE combined with the systemic therapies and the groups who received systemic therapies alone. The 1:1 score matching was performed using the “MatchIt” package. Two-sided P values <0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results**

**Patients characteristics**

A total of 60 patients with unresectable HCC who received sintilimab plus TKIs between February 2019 and December 2019 were included in this retrospective analysis. The baseline patient characteristics are listed in Table 1. The majority of patients had HBV-associated HCC and 10.0% of patients had Child-Pugh class B liver function reserve. A total of 43 (71.7%) patients were at BCLC stage C and the other 17 (28.3%) patients had BCLC B HCC. The median size of the baseline target lesions was 99.4 mm (range, 10.0–170.0 mm). The combination therapy was adopted as systemic first-, second-, third, or fourth-line treatment in 44 (73.3%), 9 (15.0%), 6 (10.0%) and 1 (1.7%) patients respectively. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression. A total of 53 patients received PD-1 inhibitors and TKI synchronously. The other 7 patients received PD-1 inhibitors in addition to the prior TKIs on tumor progression.

**Tumor response and safety**

As of the data cutoff on September 30th, 2020, the median duration of follow-up was 10.4 (4.3–23.9) months. A total of 13 (21.7%) patients died. The median OS has not reached (Figure 1A). The median PFS was 8.9 months (95% CI:
6.9–11.0, Figure 1A). Overall, 21 (35.0%) patients remained on the combinational therapy and 15 (25.0%) patients showed ongoing tumor responses. The ORR and DCR were 36.7% (95% CI: 24.9–48.5%), 81.7% (95% CI: 71.9–91.5%) according to the RECIST 1.1, and 52.8% (95% CI: 39.1–66.5%), 83.0% (95% CI: 73.2–93.8%) according to mRECIST criteria, respectively. The best overall responses were 20 PR and 2 CR. The ORR (41.3% vs. 21.4%, P=0.18) and PFS (median, 8.6 vs. 9.1 months, P=0.77, Figure 1B) of patients who received the combined therapies as the first line therapy were similar to those of the others who received as the second to fourth lines. Baseline tumor burden (96.5±52.5 vs. 76.7±45.9 mm, P=0.21) and the percentage of BCLC C HCC (67.4% vs. 85.7%, P=0.32) were comparable between two groups. Patients who received PD-1 inhibitor sintilimab after the initiation of TKIs had similar ORR (42.9% vs. 35.8%, P=0.718) and PFS (median, 7.4 vs. 8.9 months, P=0.68, Figure 1C) compared to the patients who received the two systemic therapies synchronously. No significant differences in total tumor burden (63.9±59.7 vs. 95.5±49.6 mm, P=0.13) and the percentage of BCLC C HCC (67.4% vs. 85.7%, P=0.32) were observable between two groups. Patients who received PD-1 inhibitor sintilimab after the initiation of TKIs had similar ORR (42.9% vs. 35.8%, P=0.718) and PFS (median, 7.4 vs. 8.9 months, P=0.68, Figure 1C) compared to the patients who received the two systemic therapies synchronously. No significant differences in total tumor burden (63.9±59.7 vs. 95.5±49.6 mm, P=0.13) and BCLC stage C percentage (71.4% vs. 71.7%, P=0.99) were observed between patients treated with PD-1 inhibitor and TKI metachronously and those synchronously.

Regarding organ-specific response, 51, 11, 10 and 8 patients had measurable hepatic, lung, lymph node and other intra-abdominal metastases at baseline respectively. The intra-abdominal lesions included peritoneal, adrenal and splenic metastases. The median sizes of hepatic tumors and lung, lymph node and intra-abdominal were 92.0 mm (10.0–170.0), 13.1 mm (10.2–57.3), 31.6 mm (15.0–111.6), and 42.2 mm (16.6–48.2) respectively. Organ-specific ORRs of hepatic tumors and lung, lymph node, intra-abdominal metastases were 35.3%, 16.7%, 40.0%, and 25.0%, respectively. Best reductions from baseline in organ-specific tumor burden are shown in Figure 2A. One patient who
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HBV, hepatitis B virus; HCV, hepatitis C virus; AFP, alpha fetal protein; BCLC, Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer.
had brain metastases showed PR in the brain lesions with parallel decreasing alpha fetoprotein (AFP) level after the combined therapy of lenvatinib plus 2 sessions of sintilimab (Figure 2B).

Overall, 46 (76.7%) patients reported adverse event (AE) with any grade and 8 (13.3%) patients discontinued the combination therapy due to severe adverse events (SAE). The most common AEs included fatigue, appetite loss, hypertension, hand-foot syndrome. Patients with Child-Pugh B liver function had significantly higher incidence of grade 3/4 adverse events than those with Child-Pugh A diseases (83.3% vs. 5.6%, P<0.01). Grade 4 skin toxicity was observed in 1 patient after the treatment of 3 cycles of sintilimab plus apatinib, and the patient recovered from discontinuation of sintilimab and treatment of prednisone. Immune-related myocarditis and thyroiditis was identified in one patient with elevated myocardial enzyme and abnormal thyroid function after lenvatinib and 2 cycles of sintilimab. The cardiac MRI confirmed myocardial damage. The patient recovered from concurrent myocarditis and thyroiditis after prednisone treatment for nearly 2 months. And dynamic MRI confirmed sustained CR after discontinuation of sintilimab. Two patients had grade 4 liver function impairment. Liver biopsy confirmed immune-related hepatitis in one patient and cholestasis without immune-related evidence in the other one. Four of the six patients with mild to moderate ascites due to cirrhosis at baseline had significant increased ascites after the combined

Figure 1 Kaplan-Meier estimates of progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS). (A) PFS and OS of overall patient cohort. (B) Comparison of PFS between patients receiving the combination therapy as the first line treatment and those as the second to fourth line. (C) Comparison of PFS between patients receiving sintilimab plus TKIs synchronously and those receiving sintilimab after TKIs.
systemic therapies for 2 to 4 months, including 2 patients had upper gastrointestinal hemorrhage. The combined systemic therapies were discontinued, although the imaging evaluation showed PR or SD in the liver tumors and the laboratory results showed no significant change in liver function. Patients with HBV-DNA ≥1,000 IU/mL had similar incidence of liver-related adverse events to those with HBV-DAN <1,000 IU/mL (27.3% vs. 18.4%, P=0.80).

Local regional therapies plus the combined systemic therapy

Among 36 HCC patients with multinodular HCC or locally-advanced HCC with portal vein tumor thrombus (PVT), 11 patients received TACE approximately 1 week to 1 month before the combination systemic therapies and 3 patients received TACE within 1 month after the first dose of PD-1 inhibitor. The rest 22 patients did not receive any LRT. After 1:1 propensity score matching, 24 patients were matched. Patients from the TACE group and non-TACE group had the same proportion of patients aged >60 years (25%) and male (83.3%), Child-Pugh B (8.3%) and BCLC C (50%) patients. Patients from the TACE group tended to a longer PFS (median, 10.1 vs. 9.1 months, P=0.73, Figure 3) than those from the non-TACE group but without significant differences. The ORR (50% vs. 66.7%, P=0.41) and the incidences of SAEs (37.5% vs. 15.4%, P=0.33) were comparable between two groups.

Surgical resection after the combined systemic therapy

A total of 8 patients received surgical resections after the combined systemic therapy. Overall, 7 patients had successful resection and the other 1 patient died of immune-related hepatitis and colitis after resection. The median tumor diameter was 130.0 mm (92.0–170.0 mm) at baseline and decreased to 85.0 mm (50.2–130.3 mm) before resection. Notably, 4 patients had PR after 2 to 8 cycles of sintilimab plus TKIs, and resections were

![Figure 2 Organ-specific tumor responses. (A) Best reduction from baseline in liver tumors and extrahepatic metastases. (B) Representative images showing response in brain metastasis in one patient: shrinkage of brain metastasis with intra-tumor hemorrhage from baseline (left panel) to follow-ups after lenvatinib plus 2 cycles (middle panel) and 4 cycles (right panel) of sintilimab. The arrows indicate brain metastasis.](image-url)
performed approximately 1 week to 1 month after the last administration of sintilimab. All the 4 patients exhibited massive HCC with PVTT at baseline, including 3 patients with pV3 and the other 1 with pV4 PVTT. Two of the four patients with abdominal lymph node invasion at baseline showed disappearance of the metastases upon the first imaging evaluation after the combined treatments. The pathological results of the resected lesions and extracted PVTT showed complete necrosis with immunocytes infiltration and fibroplastic proliferation in 3 patients, and remnant viable tumor in 30% of the resected lesions in the other patient (Figure 4). The fifth patient had severe erythema multiforma after the combined treatment of levatinib plus 3 cycles of sintilimab. Resections were performed upon metastasis to the right adrenaline while the liver lesion exhibited continuous PR for 4 months after discontinuation of sintilimab. Interestingly, the pathological result showed mild residual viable tumor in the liver lesion and no viable tumor but medullary hyperplasia in the right adrenaline although both dynamic MRI and PET-CT showed active tumor signs. No recurrence or metastasis was observed 3.4–13.0 months post resection in
the above five patients and the sixth patient with BCLC B HCC responding to the combined sintilimab with lenvatinib treatment. Another patient refused to receive resection until satellite nodules appeared around the already shrunk targeted lesion. The pathological result after resection exhibited viable tumor in both the target lesion and surrounding satellite nodules without significant accumulation of immunocytes. Intrahepatic recurrence occurred 1 month after the resection and TACE was performed subsequently. The last patient had dissection of the liver lesion and metastatic portal lymph node after the treatment of lenvatinib plus 5 cycles of sintilimab, and died of immune-related hepatitis and colitis in the perioperative period.

**Discussion**

As a chance for cure, PD-1 inhibitors have revolutionized cancer treatment. The modulatory effect of TKIs targeting VEGFRs and other kinases on tumor microenvironment tend to enhance the therapeutic effect of PD-1 inhibitors, and vice versa (18). The synergistic effect of TKIs plus PD-1 inhibitors have been demonstrated in many cancers (12). We demonstrated that PD-1 inhibitors plus TKIs showed promising therapeutic effect in a real-world cohort of patients with unresectable HCC. The ORR of TKIs plus PD-1 inhibitors reached 36.7% in our cohort, higher than that of PD-1 inhibitor or TKIs monotherapy in previous studies (3-10). The ORRs of lenvatinib plus nivolumab, lenvatinib plus pembrolizumab were 54.1% and 36.7% respectively in two phase Ib studies, the results of which were similar to that in our study (13,19). While clinical trials only included Child-Pugh A patients to minimize the confounding effect of liver failure on overall outcome, our studies also included patients with Child-Pugh B patients. The grade 3/4 adverse events were significantly higher in the Child-Pugh B patients than that in the Child-Pugh A patients. Although PD-1 inhibitors are demonstrated to be safe in patients with Child-Pugh B or C liver function reserve in real-world practices, the addition of TKIs should be evaluated more carefully (20,21). On the other hand, the combination therapies are shown to be safe for patients with high viral burden and can be administered concurrently with anti-viral agents, the safety of which needs further investigation in a large population as HBV-DNA is usually required to be controlled ≤1,000 IU/mL in the clinical trials.

Neoadjuvant PD-1 blockade by enhancing both the local and systemic antitumor immune response is suggested as an efficacious approach in resectable lung cancer or recurrent glioblatoma (22,23). Its counterpart efficacy in HCC has been reported with pCR reaching 24% (24). Here we reported that PD-1 inhibitor plus TKI offered patients with otherwise unresectable HCC a chance for curative surgery by downstaging of huge HCC and by alleviation of PVTT and even extrahepatic metastases. These conversion cases are indicative of another effective attempt for advanced HCC treatment. Although 3 of the 8 patients turned out to be pathological CR in our study, the tumor resection and PVTT extraction resulted in better liver function by introduction of the remnant liver hypertrophy and by alleviation of portal vein hypertension. Compared to traditional FLR augmentation by portal vein embolization (PVE) or associating liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy (ALPPS) (25), the combined systemic therapies are applicable for more advanced HCC in the responders, especially for those with extrahepatic metastases. Immune-related SAE should be paid special attention and pre-surgery liver biopsy was performed in some candidates in our center. Currently, patients with active immune cell infiltration with moderate to severe inflammation in the normal liver tissue have been excluded from tumor resection, which needs to be testified in a large population. Overall, surgical resection after response to the combined systemic therapies turned out to be safe without recurrence in the short term. Besides the long-term prognosis after resection, another problem to be focused
on is whether PD-1 blockade or TKI, alone or combined, should be continued as adjuvant setting after resection.

It has been noted for years that LRTs play a significant role in systemic immunomodulation other than simple tumor elimination. Ablation and TACE cause immunogenic cell death, leading to the release of various tumor antigens and immune activation. Studies have been conducted to test the hypothesis that immune checkpoint blockade may enhance immune responses caused by LRTs (NCT03572582, NCT03397654, NCT03383458, NCT03143270). Duffy et al. treated 39 patients with LRTs (ablation or DEB-TACE) plus anti-CTLA4 tremelimumab and reported an ORR of 23.5% with tolerable toxicity among 34 evaluable patients (26). Furthermore, TKI combination might prevent the TACE-induced surge in proangiogenic factors and reduce tumor volume by normalization of tumor vessels. Despite three negative clinical studies, the TACTICS trial demonstrated that pretreatment with sorafenib 2–3 weeks before initial TACE procedure and continued use of sorafenib after TACE significantly improved PFS in patients with unresectable HCC (27-30). One of the trial design differences lies in that the sorafenib pretreatment duration was 3–7 days and 2–3 weeks in the SPACE and the TACTICS trial respectively (27,28). In our small-sample study, TACE in addition to the combination systemic therapies of TKI and PD-1 inhibitor exhibited a tendency of improved PFS in a more advanced-stage population. As we performed TACE procedure within 1 month before or after the combined systemic therapies, whether different sequence and time interval of TKI, PD-1 inhibitor and TACE administration will elicit different tumor response and liver function reserve is another issue that needs to be addressed.

The heterogenous tumor microenvironments and the distinct proteogenomic characterization of various tumors of different patients influence the responses to anticancer treatments in HCC (31-34). While various molecular markers predicting the therapeutic efficacy of TKI or PD-1 inhibitors are identified in the tissue or in the peripheral blood, how to integrate these markers to optimize treatments in a clinical setting is a hotspot issue to be addressed. Differential organ-specific tumor response was observed in many solid tumors (35,36). Lung metastases exhibited the best response to ICIs in a previous real-world cohort of patients with advanced HCC (37). Consistently, lung metastases also revealed favorable response to PD-1 inhibitors plus TKIs in our study. We also observed brain metastasis response in one patient. The efficacy of pembrolizumab in brain metastases has been demonstrated in patients with melanoma or non-small cell lung cancer (38), while patients diagnosed with HCC and brain metastasis are always excluded from clinical trials. The efficacy of PD-1 inhibitor plus TKI in HCC patients with brain metastases needs to be testified in a large population.

The study had several limitations. First of all, the relative small sample reduced the statistic power. As the majority of patients had HBV infection, the result might not be applicable for HCC of other etiologies. Moreover, the intervention of TACE was not randomized, controlled design and selection bias could not be avoided.

Conclusions

PD-1-targeted immunotherapy plus molecular-targeted therapy exhibited promising efficacy with tolerable toxicity in unresectable HCC in the real world. For select responders, surgical resection might be a choice for radical treatment. And the addition of TACE to the combined systemic therapies also resulted in a favorable tumor control and safety. Identification of biomarkers to select patients most likely to benefit from different treatment modalities and translation of the molecular findings to the clinical setting should be highlighted in HCC.
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