<u>Materials Design Analysis Reporting (MDAR)</u> Checklist for Authors

The MDAR framework establishes a minimum set of requirements in transparent reporting applicable to studies in the life sciences (see Statement of Task: doi:10.31222/osf.io/9sm4x.). The MDAR checklist is a tool for authors, editors and others seeking to adopt the MDAR framework for transparent reporting in manuscripts and other outputs. Please refer to the MDAR Elaboration Document for additional context for the MDAR framework.

Materials

Antibodies	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For commercial reagents, provide supplier		n/a, not
name, catalogue number and RRID, if available.		used

Cell materials	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Cell lines: Provide species information, strain.		n/a, not
Provide accession number in repository OR		used
supplier name, catalog number, clone number,		
OR RRID		
Primary cultures: Provide species, strain, sex of		n/a, not
origin, genetic modification status.		used

Experimental animals	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Laboratory animals: Provide species, strain, sex, age,		n/a, not
genetic modification status. Provide accession		used
number in repository OR supplier name, catalog		
number, clone number, OR RRID		
Animal observed in or captured from the		n/a, not
field: Provide species, sex and age where		used
possible		
Model organisms: Provide Accession number		n/a, not
in repository (where relevant) OR RRID		used

Plants and microbes	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Plants: provide species and strain, unique accession number if available, and source (including location for collected wild specimens)		n/a, not used
Microbes: provide species and strain, unique		n/a, not
accession number if available, and source		used

Human research participants	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Identify authority granting ethics approval (IRB or	Materials and methods/Page 6 line 135-137;	
equivalent committee(s), provide reference number	Footnote/ Page 14/Line 379-384	
for approval.		
Provide statement confirming informed consent		n/a, not
obtained from study participants.		used
Report on age and sex for all study participants.	Results / Page 9/Line 229-243	

Design

Study protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For clinical trials, provide the trial registration number OR cite DOI in manuscript.		n/a, not used
Laboratory protocol	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Provide DOI or other citation details if detailed step- by-step protocols are available.		n/a, not used
Experimental study design (statistics details)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether and how the following have been done, or if they were not carried out.		
Sample size determination		n/a
Randomisation		n/a
Blinding		n/a
Inclusion/exclusion criteria	Materials and methods/Page 6, line 138-161	
Sample definition and in-laboratory replication	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State number of times the experiment was replicated in laboratory	Materials and methods / Page 7/Line 187	.,, u
Define whether data describe technical or biological replicates	technical replicates	
Ethics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Studies involving human participants: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.	Materials and methods/Page 6 line 135-137; Footnote/ Page 14/Line 379-384	
Studies involving experimental animals: State details of authority granting ethics approval (IRB or equivalent committee(s), provide reference number for approval.		n/a, not used
Studies involving specimen and field samples: State if relevant permits obtained, provide details of authority approving study; if none were required, explain why.	Materials and methods/Page 6 line 135-137; Footnote/ Page 14/Line 379-384	
Dual Use Research of Concern (DURC)	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
If study is subject to dual use research of concern, state the authority granting approval and reference number for the regulatory approval	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	n/a

Analysis

Attrition	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State if sample or data point from the analysis is excluded, and whether the criteria for exclusion were determined and specified in advance.	Materials and methods / Page 6/Line 152-153	n/a

Statistics	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
Describe statistical tests used and justify choice of	Materials and methods / Page 8/Line 215-226	
tests.		

Data Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
State whether newly created datasets are available,	Materials and methods / Page 8/Line 215-226	
including protocols for access or restriction on		
access.		
If data are publicly available, provide accession		n/a
number in repository or DOI or URL.		
If publicly available data are reused, provide		n/a
accession number in repository or DOI or URL, where		
possible.		

Code Availability	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
For all newly generated code and software essential		
for replicating the main findings of the study:		
State whether the code or software is available.		n/a
If code is publicly available, provide accession		n/a
number in repository, or DOI or URL.		

Reporting

Adherence to community standards	Yes (indicate where provided: section/paragraph)	n/a
MDAR framework recommends adoption of		
discipline-specific guidelines, established and		
endorsed through community initiatives. Journals		
have their own policy about requiring specific		
guidelines and recommendations to complement		
MDAR.		
State if relevant guidelines (eg., ICMJE, MIBBI,	The paper follows the ICMJE recommendations. No	
ARRIVE) have been followed, and whether a checklist	other checklist is provided.	
(eg., CONSORT, PRISMA, ARRIVE) is provided with	·	
the manuscript.		

Article information: http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-20-6509