The CB index predicts prognosis of critically ill COVID-19 patients
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Background: The global outbreak of COVID-19 is a significant threat to public health. Among COVID-19 cases, critically ill patients account for most in-hospital deaths. Given the pressing clinical needs, identification of potential prognostic factors that would assist clinicians to determine appropriate therapeutic interventions is urgently needed.

Methods: A retrospective analysis of 171 critically ill COVID-19 patients from two medical centers in Wuhan was conducted. The training and validation cohorts were comprised of 77 and 94 patients, respectively. Univariate and multivariate Logistic regression analyses were used to identify independent prognostic factors, and the linear prediction index was established and externally validated.

Results: Blood urine nitrogen (BUN) and high-sensitive C-reactive protein (hs-CRP) were independent factors negatively correlated with patient survival in the training cohort. A linear prediction model, named as the CB index (hs-CRP combined with BUN), was established and logistic regression analysis showed that this was associated with a 13% increase in death rate, with high sensitivity (86.7%) and specificity (89.7%). Patients were then divided into a high-risk group (CB index >32) and low-risk group (CB index <32) and the high-risk group showed a 56.3-fold risk of death compared with the low-risk group. Importantly, these findings were readily recaptured in the validation cohort. The efficacy of the CB index in predicting prognosis in real-world patients was then determined, which showed that patients with a higher CB index had an increased risk of death in comparison to those with a lower CB index.

Conclusions: The CB index may be an important prognostic factor in critically ill COVID-19 patients.
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Introduction

The outbreak of severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus-2 (SARS-CoV-2) and coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) is a once-in-century threat to public health (1). Several concerns about the long-term challenges posed by this threat have been raised. Firstly, COVID-19 can cause death in healthy adults as well as elderly people with existing comorbidities. Although overall mortality is approximately 1%, the absolute number of fatality cases is over one million. Secondly, COVID-19 transmits from person-to-person with incredible efficiency. There is strong evidence that transmission can occur in those with few symptoms and even at the pre-symptomatic stage (2-5). Indeed, COVID-19 has already caused 10 times more cases than SARS in a quarter of the time (6).

Whilst the symptoms of COVID-19 may sometimes be mild, most deaths occur in critically ill patients who have developed multiple organ dysfunction and require admission to an intensive care unit (ICU). Angiotensin-converting enzyme 2 (ACE2) is a receptor for SARS-CoV-2 that mediates virus recognition and entry to host cells (7). Histological studies have shown that ACE2 is expressed in the lung, brain, heart, liver, kidney, and intestine of COVID-19 patients and several preliminary autopsy examinations suggest that virus particles can be isolated from these organs (8). In addition to acute respiratory failure, then, critically ill patients may also present with, encephalitis, acute kidney injury, diarrhea, and an increase in myocardium enzymes and aminotransferase (9-12). This is likely because SARS-CoV-2 infection triggers a systemic immune response, activating immune cells to attack ACE2-expressing cells, leading to both virus clearance and multiple organ damage.

Despite recent progress in the development of specific therapies to treat COVID-19 such as vaccines and convalescent plasma (13,14), the prognosis of critically ill patients remains poor and there is an urgent need to establish biomarkers that assist in predicting therapeutic outcomes and prognosis. We present the following article in accordance with the STROBE reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-20-7447).

Methods

Study design and patient enrollment

This retrospective, observational study aimed to identify prognostic biomarkers for critically ill COVID-19 patients who, following the New Coronavirus Pneumonia Diagnosis and Treatment Program (6th edition), were defined as those admitted to ICU and requiring mechanical ventilation. Two cohorts of laboratory confirmed, and critically ill COVID-19 patients were enrolled. Training cohort study cases were obtained from a consecutive historical cohort of 77 patients treated in the ICU of the Hubei Women & Children Healthcare Hospital (Guanggu Hospital District) between February 19th and April 17th in 2020. The validation cohort consisted of 94 consecutive patients from the ICU of the Wuhan Huoshenshan Hospital between February 8th and April 6th. Both hospitals are specifically designated to treat COVID-19 patients. All patients were laboratory confirmed by SARS-CoV-2 real-time PCR assay and were followed-up until discharged with recovery, or death. All procedures performed in this study involving human participants were in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). The study was approved by the Medical Ethics Committees of the Hubei Women & Children Healthcare Hospital (No. 2020-FYGG-011). Written informed consent was obtained from each participant.

Data collection

The electronic medical records, nursing records, and laboratory examinations of each patient were reviewed by at least two independent, experienced medical doctors. Admission data were recorded following the International Severe Acute Respiratory and Emerging Infection Consortium case report forms. The following data were collected: age, sex, exposure history, chronic comorbidities (chronic cardiac disease, chronic pulmonary disease, cerebrovascular disease, diabetes), symptoms from the onset of disease (fever, cough, shortness of breath, myalgia, diarrhea), and laboratory findings at admission (white blood cell count, lymphocyte count, hemoglobin concentration, platelet count, CRP level, aminotransferase concentration, BUN concentration, and creatinine concentration).

Statistical analysis

Categorical variables were described as the frequency and percentage, and continuous variables as the median with interquartile range (IQR). The primary endpoint was survival during patient admission. A univariate or multivariate logistic regression model was adopted to analyze the prognostic factors of critically ill patients.
Based on the independent prognostic factors, a scoring system for predicting survival was proposed and the area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve was used to evaluate its predictive ability. Cut-off values were determined according to the ROC curves and models with an area under the ROC >0.7 generally considered to be useful and predictive. To validate the previous findings, similar analyses were repeated in the verification cohort. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS for Windows, version 17.0, and a two-sided P value <0.05 was considered significant.

### Results

#### Patient characteristics

A total of 171 critically ill COVID-19 patients were enrolled with 77 patients placed in the training cohort and 94 in the validation cohort. Detailed patient characteristics are shown in Table 1. The two cohorts were well balanced, with comparable levels of age, sex, chronic comorbidities and clinical manifestations (data not shown). The blood cell count and biochemistry data of patients was analyzed at admission. As shown in Table 1, there was no significant difference in white blood cell (WBC), neutrophil, lymphocyte, monocyte, and platelet count between the two groups. Biochemistry analysis including alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), total bilirubin (TBIL), albumin (ALB), blood urea nitrogen (BUN), and serum creatinine were mostly within the normal range, and comparable between the two groups. It was noted that 13 patients in the training cohort and 22 in the validation cohort had higher levels of high-sensitive C-reactive protein (hs-CRP), whereas the median values of hs-CRP in the two groups were comparable (Table 1).

#### Identification of prognostic factors for critically ill COVID-19

Results of the univariate logistic regression analysis show that AST (RR =1.08, P=0.006), TBIL (RR =1.14, P=0.023), BUN (RR =1.38, P=0.002), serum creatinine (RR =1.03, P=0.012), and hs-CRP (RR =1.03, P<0.001) were important correlation factors for prognosis, whereas ALB (RR =0.86, P<0.001) was not (Table 2). Importantly, an increase in findings with correlation indicated an increased risk of disease-associated death. The results also showed that the neutrophil/lymphocyte ratio (NLR, RR =1.27, P<0.001) appeared to be correlated with prognosis, although a multivariate model including all significant variables identified in the univariate analysis did not yield NLR as an independent prognostic factor. Moreover, the multivariate analysis showed that BUN (RR =1.36, P=0.028) and hs-CRP (RR =1.02, P=0.006) were independent prognostic factors (Table 2).

### Table 1 Baseline characteristics of the enrolled critically ill COVID-19 patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Training group (n=77)</th>
<th>Verification group (n=94)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WBC (10^9/L)</td>
<td>5.4 (3.9–8.3)</td>
<td>6.4 (5.0–9.2)</td>
<td>0.471</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophil (10^9/L)</td>
<td>4.4 (2.6–7.0)</td>
<td>4.4 (3.0–7.9)</td>
<td>0.555</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocyte (10^9/L)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.7–1.6)</td>
<td>1.1 (0.7–1.5)</td>
<td>0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monocyte (10^9/L)</td>
<td>0.4 (0.3–0.4)</td>
<td>0.38 (0.27–0.50)</td>
<td>0.396</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelet (10^9/L)</td>
<td>215.5 (166.5–250.5)</td>
<td>198.0 (130.5–263.3)</td>
<td>0.802</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT (U/L)</td>
<td>18.9 (13.5–28.3)</td>
<td>23.7 (16.3–43.7)</td>
<td>0.146</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AST (U/L)</td>
<td>23.6 (15.5–30.7)</td>
<td>25.3 (18.3–36.5)</td>
<td>0.424</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total bilirubin (μmol/L)</td>
<td>11.0 (7.0–14.7)</td>
<td>10.3 (8.4–14.0)</td>
<td>0.244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Albumin (g/L)</td>
<td>34.5 (29.0–38.7)</td>
<td>33.8 (30.5–37.6)</td>
<td>0.437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BUN (mmol/L)</td>
<td>4.7 (3.6–6.6)</td>
<td>4.9 (3.8–7.9)</td>
<td>0.159</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum creatinine (μmol/L)</td>
<td>66.7 (55.2–80.1)</td>
<td>78.3 (55.2–80.6)</td>
<td>0.249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hs-CRP (mg/L)</td>
<td>9.1 (2.3–84.7)</td>
<td>14.7 (2.5–103.5)</td>
<td>0.379</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

WBC, white blood cell; ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; BUN, blood urea nitrogen; hs-CRP, high sensitive C reaction protein; IQR, interquartile range.
Establishment of the prognostic index

Having established that hs-CRP and BUN were two independent prognostic factors for critically ill COVID-19 patients, their sensitivity and specificity in predicting prognosis were then determined. Regression coefficients were first calculated, showing hs-CRP (ln=0.020) and BUN (ln=0.307). The linear predicting index including the two factors was termed as the CB index and established by the following equation: linear Prediction (LP) = 0.2 × hs-CRP + 3.1 × BUN. Logistic regression analysis showed that the CB index elicited a predicting value for patient survival (RR = 1.13, 95% CI: 1.06–1.20, P<0.001), in which a one-unit increase would add mortality risk by 13%. The area under the ROC curve was as high as 0.901 (95% CI: 0.78–1.00). The maximum value of the Youden index in the ROC curve was 0.764, which was set as the cut-off value, and the value of the corresponding CB index in predicting prognosis was 32.295 (Figure 1). The training cohort was stratified according to this cut-off value. Of the 44 available patients, those with a CB index higher than 32 were designated as a high-risk group (17 cases) while those whose index was lower than 32 were designated as a low-risk group (27 cases). In comparison with the low-risk group, the high-risk group had a markedly increased risk of death, yielded with a RR of 56.3 (95% CI: 8.35–380.06).

Validation of the CB index as a prognostic factor

These results highlighted the CB index as a favorable prognostic factor for critically ill COVID-19 patients. To test whether this index universally correlated with patient survival, we validated it in another cohort, consisting of 94 patients from the ICU of the Wuhan Huoshenshan Hospital. The ROC curve was also used to measure the prediction capacity of the CB index in the verification.
cohort. The area under the ROC curve was 0.857 (95% CI: 0.77–0.94). The maximum value of the Youden index in the ROC curve was set as the cut-off value, and the corresponding CB index was 33.238, with sensitivity of 82.1% and specificity of 80.3%. These data were highly consistent with those in the training cohort, suggesting that the CB index universally indicated prognosis and yielded consistent results (Figure 2). After stratification at the cut-off value for the CB index, 37 patients were allocated to a high-risk group and 57 to a low-risk group. Logistic regression analysis showed that the high-risk group had a 24.5-fold (95% CI: 7.22–82.86) increased risk of death compared with the low-risk group. These findings further confirmed that the CB index negatively correlated with the prognosis of critically ill COVID-19 patients.

Performance of CB index in real-world patients

The CB index in real-world critically ill COVID-19 patients was then assessed. The survival information of 44 available patients from the training cohort and 94 from the verification cohort was analyzed. Of the 138 patients, 85 were in the low-risk group (CB index <32) and 53 were in the high-risk group (CB index >32). The primary end point was overall survival during hospitalization. As shown in Figure 3, the Kaplan-Meier survival curve indicated that patients in the high-risk group had a significantly higher risk of death than those in the low-risk group. At the end of follow up, 7% of patients in the low-risk group had died in comparison to 70% of those in the high-risk group.

Discussion

Despite a world-wide concerted effort, the treatment of critically ill COVID-19 patients remains largely supportive and its efficacy is far from satisfactory. The overall death rate of COVID-19 is around 1%, however, patients with mild disease may not seek medical attention, the case fatality rate in real-world would be less than 1% (2). In contrast, critically ill patients usually require more aggressive treatments to relieve symptoms and reduce mortality. Previous studies have suggested that the 28-day mortality of critically ill COVID-19 patients is approximately 50% (4). In these circumstances, the identification of prognostic factors would significantly assist clinicians to determine a tailored therapeutic strategy designed to reduce mortality.

A panel of studies revealed several risk factors which are associated with poor prognosis in COVID-19 patients, including pre-existing chronic pulmonary disease, older age, lymphocytopenia, a high SOFA score, elevated levels of LDH, and myocardium enzymes (4,8). However, these studies did not focus on disease severity on admission. To this end, our study focused on critically ill patients during the peak of the COVID-19 impact in Wuhan. One recent study showed that NLR is an independent risk factor
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for critically ill patients, with a 15.04-fold higher risk of death (15). Our univariate analysis found that whilst NLR seemed to correlate with prognosis, the multivariate analysis subjected to the parameters did not yield NLR as an independent prognostic factor. This paradox might be attributed to patient heterogeneity between different medical centers, indicating that potential prognostic factors need to be verified in different medical centers.

Our findings provide important parameters, including hs-CRP and BUN, for further analysis. It is not surprising that hs-CRP is an independent prognostic factor because critically ill COVID-19 patients usually elicit an inflammatory cytokine storm (16,17). Moreover, previous studies have reported that the serum concentration of hs-CRP in symptomatic patients is much higher than that found in asymptomatic patients (4,18). These results prompted us to recognize hs-CRP as an independent prognostic factor. Given that ACE2, the cell entry gate for SARS-CoV-2, is universally expressed in the lung, brain, heart, liver, kidney, and intestine, it is unsurprising to see that SARS-CoV-2 infection results in damage to multiple organs (9-12). We found that while BUN is also a prognostic factor for critically ill COVID-19 patients, AST, total bilirubin, ALB, and serum creatinine are not. A possible explanation for this is that the kidney is the most vulnerable organ in response to viremia and cytokine storm, and damage to it takes place prior to occurring in other organs. In contrast to serum creatinine that primarily reflects renal function, BUN concentration is also affected by protein intake and absorption (intestine function), protein synthesis and metabolism (liver function). This suggests BUN is superior to serum creatinine in reflecting multiple organ function (19,20).

To make hs-CRP and BUN more reliable as prognostic factors, we established a linear predicting index (CB index) that combines inflammation (hs-CRP) with organ function (BUN). This novel index not only reflects the severity of SARS-CoV-2 infection, but also mirrors secondary organ damage. We found the CB index correlated with the risk of death with a RR of 1.13, and that after stratification at the cut-off value of 32, the index universally possessed high predicting significance in both training and verification cohorts, with a RR of 56.3 and 24.5, respectively. This finding may have pivotal clinical significance, by indicating that patients with a CB index higher than 32 have an increased risk of death and should be treated with more aggressive interventions than those with a lower index. Since this study was undertaken, we have divided critically ill COVID-19 patients in clinical practice into high and low risk groups according to their corresponding CB index values. Patients in high-risk groups have been treated with more aggressive interventions, including mechanical ventilation, tocilizumab, enteral and parenteral nutrition support, and continuous renal replacement therapy. This has dramatically changed therapeutic outcomes of patients and reduced the overall mortality to approximately 4% (unpublished data). As the global outbreak of COVID-19 continues, we believe that the CB index will help more clinicians to determine therapeutic approaches for critically ill patients.

This study has several limitations. Some cases had incomplete laboratory test documentation whilst others were diagnosed elsewhere and had incomplete medical information. Moreover, as all enrolled cases were from Wuhan, the application of these findings to other locations is uncertain. Finally, many patients did not undergo sputum bacterial and fungal assessment on admission because medical resources were largely overwhelmed. We anticipate that critically ill COVID-19 patients with concurrent bacterial or fungal infection would have an even higher CB index and an even worse prognosis.

Taken together, this study highlighted the CB index as a reliable prognostic factor for critically ill COVID-19 patients. Calculating and monitoring the CB index will assist medical doctors to reduce mortality, and eventually win the war against SARS-CoV-2.
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