Oscillating blood pressure therapeutic target in type 2 diabetes patients with hypertension
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Abstract: There is controversy regarding the J-shaped curve associating low blood pressure (BP) with increased cardiovascular events and mortality in hypertensive treated patients. This has partially motivated the revision of several hypertension treatment guidelines to raise the threshold for the definition and initiation of pharmacological treatment of hypertension in diabetes patients from 130/80 to 140/90 mmHg. However, there are increasing evidence that the increase cardiovascular risk caused by lower BP is not due to hypertensive treatment itself, rather to co-morbidities, especially in patients with diabetes. Much more, lower BP than currently recommended might be associated with significantly lower risk of cardiovascular events in diabetes patients. In this paper, we revisit the issue of ideal therapeutic BP target in diabetes patients with hypertension.
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Introduction

Hypertension is the leading cause of cardiovascular disease (CVD) and deaths worldwide. Globally, hypertension affects more than 1 billion adults and cause at least 9 million deaths annually (1,2). Hypertension is very frequently associated with diabetes, with up to 70% of individuals with diabetes who are hypertensive (3). Coexistence of diabetes and hypertension is associated with increased cardiovascular morbidity and mortality (4). Thus, achieving tight blood pressure (BP) control in type 2 diabetes patients is paramount. In this context, guidelines and recommendations have been developed and updated to improve the clinical care and management of hypertension in type 2 diabetes patients. The most recent, the eight report from the Joint National Committee (JNC 8) guidelines have revisited and raised the threshold for initiating pharmacological treatment and goal in adult diabetes patients from 130/80 to 140/90 mmHg based on recent finding suggesting that an excessive reduction of BP can lead to an increase of cardiovascular events (5-7). This hypothesis called the “J shaped phenomenon” of BP control has generated many controversies (8). Although there are evidence suggesting that strong reduction of BP does not reduce the rate of a composite outcome of fatal and nonfatal major cardiovascular events in type 2 diabetes patients, it is important to consider that most of these evidence were drawn from studies presenting some confounding factors such as the presence of previous CVD and events and the increase in cardiovascular outcomes found with excessive lowering of BP appeared at a level of BP <110 mmHg, a quite outlier values used for the development of recommendations and very rarely seen in patients with hypertension taking conventional treatment (9). On the other hand, despite the fact that some randomized controlled trials suggest that excessive reduction of BP
is associated with an increase in cardiovascular mortality (10,11), there is still little evidence that this mortality excess is due to BP lowering. The recent findings of the study by Adamsson Eryd et al. support this idea and relaunch the discussion on the J-shaped phenomenon of BP in type 2 diabetes patients (12).

Variety of BP target for hypertension treatment in diabetes patients

The JNC 8 report on management of high BP recommends in the population aged 18 years or older with diabetes to initiate antihypertensive pharmacologic treatment to lower BP at systolic blood pressure (SBP) ≥140 mmHg and/or diastolic blood pressure (DBP) ≥90 mmHg (7). This report has been subject to many controversies since most of other scientific committees still recommend a lower threshold of BP in diabetes patients. The 2013 guidelines of ESC/ESH recommend to initiate pharmacological treatment for hypertension when BP >130/85 mmHg based on the need for early and aggressive intervention in order to reduce CVD risk in diabetes patients (6). On the other hand, the American Diabetes Association (ADA) in its most recent report on diabetes care standards recommends that patients with diabetes and hypertension should be treated to a SBP goal of 140/90 mmHg but recognize that lower BP targets, such as 130/80 mmHg, may be appropriate for certain individuals with diabetes (13). These differences found in guidelines underscore the very dynamic appearance of recommendations for public health issues such as hypertension and diabetes which are regularly modified.

Table 1 Blood pressure targets for hypertension treatment in diabetes patients from recent various guidelines

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Guidelines</th>
<th>Target in younger adults (mmHg)</th>
<th>Target in diabetes population (mmHg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>World Health Organization (WHO) A global brief on hypertension (2)</td>
<td>&lt;140/90</td>
<td>&lt;130/80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013 ESH/ESC Guidelines (6)</td>
<td>&lt;140/90</td>
<td>&lt;140/85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Diabetes Association (ADA) 2013 (14)</td>
<td>&lt;140/90</td>
<td>&lt;140/80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014 Evidence-Based Guidelines for the Management of High Blood Pressure (7)</td>
<td>&lt;140/90</td>
<td>&lt;140/90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Diabetes Association (ADA) 2016 (13)</td>
<td>&lt;140/90</td>
<td>&lt;140/90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Evolution and key modifications in international guidelines of hypertension

The last decade has been the witness of many changes and modifications in international guidelines for the management of hypertensive diabetes patients with the aim of reducing their cardiovascular risk and mortality (Table 1). Despite the fact that all recommendations agree on the necessity of a tight BP control in diabetes patients in order to reduce macrovascular complications such as stroke, coronary artery diseases or heart failure, there are several differences in the threshold value for initiating pharmacological treatment and target BP value when treating. In 2004, the JNC 7 set the threshold defining hypertension in patients at high cardiovascular risk or presenting a major cardiovascular risk factor such as diabetes or chronic kidney disease at ≥130/80 mmHg (15). Most of the following international recommendations supported the fact that the definition of hypertension in diabetes patients should be different than that of general population to allow precocious and more efficient management of these conditions. Thus, the World Health Organization (WHO) guidelines agreed with this definition and recommend the same treatment goal for diabetes patients (16). In the same manner, the guidelines published by the ADA in 2013, changed and raised the BP targets in diabetes patients but maintained the need for lower DBP target in this population, setting the threshold for pharmacological treatment at 140/85 mmHg (14). But, some recent findings have led to the emerging theory of the J-curve phenomenon with reduction of BP, changing the so far conception that
“lower is better” for BP (17,18). This hypothesis suggests a paradoxical increase in morbidity and mortality associated with an excessive reduction in BP (19). This fundamentally affects the management of BP with an increasing caution in the reduction of BP in diabetes patients. In this context, the JNC 8 report revisited the threshold value for defining and initiating hypertensive treatment in diabetes patients, increasing the set value to 140/90 mmHg. These modifications were assumed by the ADA with little difference recognizing the fact that threshold must be set at 130/80 mmHg for some diabetes individuals (13). The majority of these modifications especially those supporting the need to raise BP threshold for treatment in diabetes patients are supported by the principle of “primum non nocere” based on the possible existence of a J-shaped curve.

**J-shaped Curve: starting point of controversy**

Despite the fact that any increase in BP is strongly and directly related to vascular mortality in adult patients starting from 115/75 mmHg (20), and evidence that lowering BP was proven effective in preventing cardiovascular complications, many observational studies have reported an increase in cardiovascular death and events when very low BP values were reached in both treated and untreated hypertensive patients (21-23). These findings led to the hypothesis of the J-shaped curve of BP (22). This theory states that the relationship between BP and cardiovascular events is not linear but rather a J-shaped curve (19). This is could be either a physiologic or pathologic state or even a consequence of antihypertensive drug treatment. Physiological data supporting this hypothesis suggested that there must be a turning point of BP below which the risk of cardiovascular events increases, because BP is essential for the blood perfusion of all organs, such as the brain, heart, and kidneys. Post hoc analysis of observational studies emphasized that in individuals with a high or very high cardiovascular risk, excessive reduction of BP may lead to a nullification of the protective effect of BP lowering on cardiovascular mortality (8). The emergence of this hypothesis, the discussion and the questions it has raised are far from finished and there is need to clarify the pathophysiology of this phenomenon. While the majority of studies conducted in recent years confirm an increase in the number of cardiovascular events and increased mortality in patients with very low BP, the assumption that the J-curve is due to anti-hypertensive treatment and the veracity of this assumption in diabetes patients should still be subject to some reservations. There is little evidence drawn from well-randomized controlled trials of the existence of the J-curve after adjustment of all confounding factors at a level at BP is usually reached by classical anti-hypertensive therapy. The lack of randomization which failed to equally distribute adverse risk outcomes between groups and the low number of patients in the groups with very low BP significantly limit the reliability conclusions drawn from these studies and above all, their expansion to the rest of population via inferences (24). Furthermore, this phenomenon has been observed in placebo-treated groups supporting the idea that a J-curve if it does exist, is probably not the consequence of antihypertensive treatment (25). The increase in non-cardiovascular events unconnected with the decrease in BP also questions the causal effect of antihypertensive treatment in the appearance of a J-curve (26). On another side, major trials conducted in diabetes patients such as UKPDS and ACCORD studies did not provide any evidence or arguments in favor of the existence of a J-shaped curve when lower BP are achieved in adult diabetes patients (4,9).

**Rationale for proofreading of previous evidence and guidelines for management of high BP in diabetes patients**

A recent article published in British Medical Journal relaunches the debate on the J-shaped curve of hypertension especially in diabetes patients with no previous CVD (12). The authors compared the risk of cardiovascular events between patients from a large Swedish adult cohort (population size of 187,106; aged less than 75 years) assigned to six groups of systolic blood pressure: 110–119, 120–129, 130–139, 140–149, 150–159, and ≥160 mmHg. They reported a significant and continuous reduction of cardiovascular mortality and events with the reduction on BP without any evidence of J-shaped curve. They found that adults diabetes patients with no previous CVD and lower SBP between 110–119 mmHg had lower mortality rates and cardiovascular events than those with a SBP between 130–139 mmHg. Thus, in diabetes patients with no previous CVD, there is significant benefit in terms of cardiovascular mortality of lowering BP at a set less than 120 mmHg in contrary with the hypothesis of the J-shaped curve. Therefore, it stresses the need to revisit the fifth recommendation of the 2014 guidelines of the JNC 8 on hypertension treatment in diabetes patients. Indeed, this
new evidence should be sufficient to reopen the debate on the J-shaped curve of hypertension especially in diabetes patients with no previous CVD.

Necessity to revisit the recent guidelines for hypertension in diabetes patients

First of all, we must take in consideration that most of the studies suggesting a J-curve shaped of hypertension were conducted in populations already presenting target organ damage such as stroke and/or coronary heart disease, therefore at greater risk of organ hypo-perfusion especially at low DBP (11,17,21,23,27,28). To date, to the best of our knowledge, there is no evidence that the increase in cardiovascular mortality found in these studies were all caused by anti-hypertensive therapy and not due to other confounding factors such as previous stroke, ischaemic heart disease or heart failure. This is supported by the study of Adamsson et al. which suggests that after adjustment for confounding factors such as previous CVD and age, the reduction of BP under 120 mmHg has no adverse outcomes (12). Moreover, the increase in cardiovascular events found in previous studies appears at a level of DBP lower than 70–80 mmHg or SBP lower than 100–110 mmHg which is 10–30 mmHg far from current guidelines targeting a BP of <140/90 mmHg (21,28). Yet, observational data suggested that, an increase of 20 mmHg in SBP or 10 mmHg in DBP is associated with a doubling of the risk of CVD death, regardless of age (29). Therefore, considering such a difference in cardiovascular risk in non-diabetes patients, associated to the fact that diabetes patients present higher cardiovascular risk than non-diabetic ones, there is a need to questioned the recent recommendations of the JNC8 which raised set value for initiating treatment from 130/80 to 140/90 mmHg in diabetes patients. On the other side, few studies supporting the J-shaped curve of BP were designed in young adults’ diabetes patients without other CVD. The ACCORD study, one of the major studies conducted in diabetes patients did not found any evidence of J-shaped curve of BP in diabetes patients at high risk for cardiovascular events while targeting a SBP of less than 120 mmHg, as compared with less than 140 mmHg. In addition, interpretation should be done with caution since, this branch of the study didn’t evaluate any benefit of excessive reduction of BP <120 mmHg on microvascular complications in regard to others organs such as kidneys and eyes (30). So, taking into consideration that high BP is also a risk factor for renal and ocular complications, if lowering BP in type 2 diabetes patients under 120 mmHg really has no benefit and no adverse outcomes on macrovascular complications, but could present an advantage regarding microvascular complications, it would be a sufficient argument for further reduction of BP in this population.

Another important point on which most studies results agree is the fact that sustained reduction and maintenance of BP of 10/5 mmHg around optimal BP is associated with the lower rate of cardiovascular events and mortality and not yet a J-shaped curve of cardiovascular events and mortality (4,20,21,23,28,29,31,32). So, even when the J-shaped curve would be proven in high risk populations without previous CVD, it will still not be an argument for targeting a BP with difference of more than 10/5 mmHg far from the optimal BP in these groups.

Finally, the controversial recommendation rising BP target from 130/80 to 140/90 mmHg was based on expert opinion and not on strong evidence as it must be the case (7). This stresses the need for more evidence to build such recommendations for a highly sensitive topic such as diabetes and hypertension association regarding their prevalence and their burden. The recently published paper by Adamsson Eryd et al. (12) provided an initial response and brings out the basis for further and similar research in this field to identify the real cause of J-shaped curve found in previous studies and to draw reliable conclusions based on strong evidence to support recommendations and guidelines for the management of high BP in adult diabetes population.

Conclusions

In the light of new evidence, there is a need to reopen the discussion about the J-shaped relationship between BP reduction and mortality. Indeed, the paradoxical increase in mortality associated with low BP could be due to concomitant disease rather than antihypertensive treatment. Lower BP than currently recommended might be associated with significantly lower risk of cardiovascular events in diabetes patients.
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