Successful management of H1N1 related severe acute respiratory distress syndrome with noninvasive positive pressure ventilation
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Abstract: Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) is a life threatening complication of H1N1 pneumonia. According to the Berlin conference guidelines, severe ARDS requires management with early invasive mechanical ventilation. Whether noninvasive positive pressure ventilation (NIPPV) should be attempted in patients with H1N1 pneumonia is still a matter of debate. We report the case of one patient with severe ARDS without other organ failure. The patient was managed successfully using NIPPV. Endotracheal intubation was avoided and the patient was discharged from the intensive care unit (ICU) after 10 days with a successful outcome. NIPPV can be useful in patients with isolated severe H1N1 ARDS provided early improvement of the oxygenation parameters is achieved. Patients with multiple organ failure or with persistent severe hypoxemia under noninvasive ventilation should be electively intubated and started on invasive mechanical ventilation.
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Introduction

Acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) is a common cause of acute respiratory failure in critically ill patients. It is induced by an acute injury of the alveolar-capillary barrier (1). Until recently, the most accepted definition of ARDS was that proposed in 1994 by the American-European Consensus conference (AECC) which defined it as an acute condition characterized by bilateral pulmonary infiltrates and severe hypoxemia involving a PaO2/ FiO2 ratio ≤200 mmHg in the absence of evidence for cardiogenic pulmonary edema (2). As many issues regarding the validity and reliability of this definition have been raised, the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine with recommendations from the American Thoracic Society and the Society of Critical Care Medicine updated the definition of ARDS in 2011 and named it the Berlin definition (3). Based on this definition, patients with ARDS can be classified into three classes according to their level of hypoxemia, i.e., mild (PaO2/FiO2 ratio 200–300 mmHg with positive end expiratory pressure (PEEP) or continuous positive airway pressure (CPAP) ≥5 cmH2O), moderate (PaO2/FiO2 ratio 100–200 mmHg with PEEP ≥5 cmH2O) and severe (PaO2/FiO2 ratio ≤100 mmHg with PEEP ≥5 cmH2O) (3). Mechanical ventilation is an essential component of the management of patients with ARDS. According to Berlin classification, noninvasive positive pressure ventilation (NIPPV) should be attempted only in patients with mild ARDS whereas invasive mechanical ventilation should not be delayed in the moderate or severe classes (4). We herein report a case of a patient with H1N1 pneumonia who met the criteria of severe ARDS and who was successfully managed with NIPPV. Ethical approval was granted by the local ethical committee.

Case presentation

A 66-year-old female, who is known to have diabetes mellitus, hypertension and dyslipidemia, was presented...
to our hospital with a 2-day history of productive cough, shortness of breath and fever. She has never had symptoms suggestive of chronic respiratory disease. Her chest examination revealed bilateral coarse crepitation. Her oxygen saturation was initially 96% on 4 L/min of oxygen via nasal cannula. The chest X-ray showed bilateral heterogeneous opacities of the mid and lower zones. The throat swab results came out positive for H1N1 infection. The patient was initially admitted to the high dependency unit and she was started on oseltamivir, and levofloxacine.

On the third day of admission to the hospital, the patient's oxygen requirements significantly increased. NIPPV was commenced and she was subsequently transferred to the intensive care unit (ICU).

In the ICU, the patient was fully conscious, lying comfortably in bed. She was neither diaphoretic nor febrile. Her respiratory rate was 18 breaths per min, her heart rate was 93 beats per min and her blood pressure was 110/60 mmHg. She was maintained on NIPPV with the following settings: Bilevel positive airway pressure (BiPAP) mode, inspiratory positive airway pressure (IPAP) of 12 cmH₂O, expiratory positive airway pressure (EPAP) of 5 cmH₂O and FiO₂ of 60%. Her arterial blood gases showed a pH 7.50, PaO₂ 60 mmHg, PaCO₂ 34 mmHg and HCO₃⁻ 27 mmol/L, which indicate a PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio of 100. The echocardiogram and the other laboratory work-up were unremarkable. A chest computed tomography (CT) revealed bilateral lower lobes, middle lobe and lingular segment dense ground glass opacities (Figure 1).

The patient's PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio continued to improve gradually during her 10-day stay in the ICU along with improvement in the chest X-ray findings (Table 1). She was gradually weaned off the NIPPV and transferred to the ward.

**Discussion**

Following the pandemic of the novel influenza A virus (H1N1) in April 2009, the available data suggests that the mortality rate in critically ill H1N1 infected patients is almost 17% (5). Most of these mortalities are due to refractory hypoxia related to ARDS followed by hemodynamic impairment and multi-organ failure (5,6).

Lung supportive therapies represent the mainstay of treatment of ARDS, including mechanical ventilation, prone ventilation and High frequency oscillating ventilation (7). Lung-protective ventilator strategies including low tidal volume ventilation of 6 mL/kg ideal body weight, plateau pressure <30 cmH₂O and the use of PEEP are considered standard practice in the care of patients with ARDS (8). However, only few studies investigated the effectiveness of noninvasive ventilation in ARDS patients (9-11). Our case report suggests that NIPPV can be attempted in well selected patients with severe ARDS. Rocker et al. (10) reported a similar result in a prospective study including 12 episodes of ARDS in 10 hemodynamically stable patients. In fact, the use of NIPPV was successful in 66% of the ARDS episodes. However, none of these patients had an influenza A H1N1 related ARDS. In a large prospective, observational and multicenter study including 685 patients with confirmed influenza A (H1N1) viral pneumonia, Masclans et al. (12) reported that NIPPV was successful in 40.7% of the 177 patients who were managed without immediate intubation. Lower APACHE II and SOFA scores, hemodynamic stability and the absence of acute kidney injury were identified as independent factors predicting NIPPV success. However, the proportion of the patients who met the criteria of severe ARDS was not mentioned.

In this case report, we are focusing on the importance of the patient’s clinical parameters which are not considered in the Berlin criteria of ARDS. Our case has presented with a few days history of respiratory symptoms, her PaO₂/FiO₂ ratio was less than 100 mmHg with CPAP of more than 5 cmH₂O. Her chest X-ray showed bilateral infiltrates, and her echocardiogram was normal, which places her in the severe ARDS category of the Berlin definition.

![Figure 1](Chest computed tomography showing bilateral diffuse alveolar infiltrates.)
There was no associated organ failure and the oxygenation progressively improved after starting NIPPV.

Although the guidelines published on behalf of the European Respiratory Society and the European Society of Intensive Care Medicine were clearly indicating the use of mechanical ventilation for severe ARDS caused by H1N1 pneumonia (13), our case report, as well as other reports and studies (14-18), suggest that NIPPV can be useful in well selected patients. Hence, we postulate that the absence of other organ dysfunction associated with the acute respiratory failure as well as an early improvement of the oxygenation parameters with NIPPV may warrant an attempt of noninvasive ventilation as a first line ventilatory strategy to manage patients with severe influenza A H1N1 related ARDS. However, invasive mechanical ventilation should not be delayed once one of these two criteria are not verified.
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