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Introduction

Malignant melanoma is one of the most common malignancies. Its incidence grows rapidly at an annual rate of 3–5%. Although the incidence of melanoma remains low in China, it has increased rapidly, with approximately 20,000 new cases reported each year. The mortality of melanoma also has been increasing rapidly; in contrast, although the incidence of melanoma is also increasing in western countries, its mortality basically remains unchanged and does not rise along with the escalation in prevalence. Thus, there is still a wide gap between China and Western countries in the diagnosis and treatment of melanoma. Melanoma has become one of the diseases that pose a major threat to health of Chinese people. However, compared with other common malignant tumors, there is still a far way to go to achieve the standardized diagnosis and treatment of melanoma. In May 2007, the Chinese Society of Clinical Oncology (CSCO) formally established the CSCO Melanoma Panel with an attempt to promote the development of clinical oncology, facilitate the multidisciplinary standardized treatment for melanoma, advocate the active learning and application of currently available scientific evidences at home and abroad, and explore the development of Chinese guidelines on the clinical practices on melanoma. After consultations with multidisciplinary experts, the first edition of the Chinese Consensus on the Diagnosis and Treatment of Melanoma...
was released in 2008; in 2009, 2011, and 2013, three revisions of this consensus document were published after many multidisciplinary seminars. The past 5 years have witnessed several breakthroughs in the clinical treatment of melanoma. Melanoma has become one of the malignant tumors whose treatment patterns have changed rapidly. To adapt to the fast advances in melanoma treatment and make the clinical management of melanoma in China more standardized and internationalized, the 2015 edition of the Chinese Guidelines on the Diagnosis and Treatment of Melanoma was finalized after repeated and wide consultations with multidisciplinary experts and updated and added with much new information, with an attempt to provide the up-to-dated and reliable instructions on clinical practices based recent scientific evidences.

**Updates in these guidelines (from the 2013 edition)**

**Epidemiology**


(II) The incidence and mortality of melanoma in China in 2011 were updated (The 2011 data were based on the unpublished data in the China Cancer registry annual report).

**Legends of the melanoma diagnosis and treatment flow chart**

(I) The “satellites (if present)” was changed to “microsatellites (if present)”, and a new footnote c was added: definition of microsatellites: tumor nests at least 0.3 mm deep in the reticular layer, lipid membrane or vessel of the primary lesion and sized larger than 0.05 mm, highly relevant with the regional lymph node metastasis. Local microsatellites are staged as N2c (stage IIIb) if they are found during initial biopsy or extended examination of resection specimens. Patients with microsatellites need to receive sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB); if the result is positive, the microsatellite can be staged as N3 (stage IIIC);

(II) The unit of mitotic rate (MR) was changed from “mm⁻²” to “/mm²”. For stage IA, the “mitotic rate <1 mm⁻²” was changed to “mitotic rate 0/mm²”;

(III) For stages IB and II, new footnotes were added: “If palpation of local lymph nodes fails to yield satisfactory results, ultrasound or CT may be considered before SLNB; however, neither ultrasound nor CT can replace the SLNB. If a lymph node metastasis is suspected, a biopsy should be further performed.” The “ultrasound diagnostic criteria of lymph node metastasis” was added: peripheral perfusion, loss of central echoes (or, loss of ring-like enhancement), and balloon shape. The sensitivities and positive predictive values of these three methods were 77% and 52%, 60% and 65%, and 30% and 96%, and the combined sensitivity was 82% (source: Voit C, van Akkooi AC, Schäfer-Hesterberg G, et al. Ultrasound morphology criteria predict metastatic disease of the sentinel nodes in patients with melanoma. J Clin Oncol 2010; 28:847-52);

(IV) New footnote was added for stage III: “Patients with metastases <0.1 mm in sentinel node need not to receive regional lymph node dissection and the 5-year survival rate is 91%.” (source: van der Ploeg AP, van Akkooi AC, Rutkowski P, et al. Prognosis in patients with sentinel node-positive melanoma is accurately defined by the combined Rotterdam tumor load and Dewar topography criteria. J Clin Oncol 2011;29:2206-14);

(V) For stage IIIC tumor, new clinical trials and intratumoral drug injection were added;

(VI) For treatment of stage IV tumor, “unresectable metastatic lesions” were discussed in two parts: mutant genes and wild-type genes.

**Surgical treatment**

(I) Resection margin: For patients with skin carcinoma in situ, the resection margin was changed from 0.5 to 0.5–1 cm;

(II) Principles of lymph node dissection: “It is recommended that skin tumors of the head and neck with clinically or microscopically identified lymph node metastasis in parotid gland should be treated with parotid gland resection + cervical lymph node dissection in drainage area”.
Local therapy

For patients with unresectable stage IIIC metastatic transitional lesion, new “Clinical trials” and “Intratumoral drug injection” were added.

Adjuvant therapy

The principles 2, 3, 4, and 5 of adjuvant therapy were changed as follows: “The following two conditions must be met simultaneously: (I) less than 1.5× upper limit of normal (ULN) for lactate dehydrogenase (LDH) assay; (II) lymph node extranodal invasion and/or: (i) metastasis to ≥1 parotid lymph nodes, regardless of the size of lymph nodes; (ii) metastasis to ≥2 cervical lymph nodes and/or with the size of single lymph node ≥3 cm; (iii) metastasis to ≥2 axillary lymph nodes and/or with the size of single lymph node ≥4 cm; and (iv) metastasis to ≥3 inguinal lymph nodes and/or with the size of single lymph node ≥4 cm.”

Systemic therapy

(I) Unresectable metastatic stage IV melanoma was discussed according to gene mutations and speed of disease progression;

(II) Among cytotoxic drugs, albumin-bound paclitaxel was added;

(III) The use of CTLA-4 monoclonal antibody plus PD-1 monoclonal antibody [ipilimumab (Ipi) + nivolumab] was added;

(IV) Discussions on PD-1 monoclonal antibodies (nivolumab and pembrolizumab) were added.

Follow-up examinations

(I) The frequency of history-taking and physical examination for stage IA patients was changed from “every 3–12 months” to “every 6–12 months”; for stage III patients, the recommended chest imaging mode was changed from “X-ray or CT” to “CT” only;


Special types of melanoma

(I) The content of head and neck MM was updated;

(II) The content of gastrointestinal tract MM was updated;

(III) The content of reproductive tract MM was updated;

(IV) The content of uveal melanoma was updated;

(V) A flow chart of the management of MM was added.

Note: the update of these guidelines was based on:


(II) American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) annual meetings [2013–2015];

(III) CSCO annual meetings [2013–2015];

(IV) Articles in SCI-indexed journals and Chinese-language core journals.

Evaluation of the international guidelines and consensus on melanoma diagnosis and treatment

In Western countries, melanoma in the Caucasian populations mainly arises from skin, accounting for up to 90%. Thus, the internationally available guidelines on melanoma management are mainly focused on the melanoma of skin. The guidelines mainly include: (I) The United State NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Melanoma; and (II) National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) Clinical Practice Guidelines for the Management of Melanoma in Australia and New Zealand. All these guidelines involve the staging, diagnosis, treatment, and treatment of melanoma.

Staging of melanoma

The staging of the skin melanoma is based on the AJCC Cancer Staging Manual, 7th Edition [2010]. Except for melanoma arising from eye (conjunctiva, eyelids, and choroid), MM has no uniform staging criteria.

Diagnosis of melanoma

Both pathologic and clinical diagnostic criteria are applied in diagnosis of melanoma. The diagnostic methods
include physical examination, histopathology, and imaging (including ultrasound, CT, MRI, and PET-CT). As emphasized by NHMRC, “early diagnosis can be lifesaving”.

Treatment of melanoma

Surgery is the main treatment for early melanoma. The main surgical procedure is extended resection, whose scope is determined by T stage (depth of invasion). SLNB is recommended in melanoma patients with a tumor invasion depth of >0.75 mm. In patients with positive SLNB results (tumors in lymph nodes sized ≥0.1 mm) or with clinically diagnosed regional lymph node metastasis, regional lymph node dissection should be performed. Isolated limb perfusion (ILP) or isolated limb infusion (ILI) or intratumoral injection of drugs such as bacille Calmette-Guerin (BCG) vaccine, interferon, and talimogene laherparepvec (T-VEC) are recommended for patients with in-transit metastasis. Adjuvant therapy using 1-year high-dose interferon α-2b (INTRON A®) is indicated for post-operative stage IIB (or higher) patients at high risk of recurrence at a dosage of 20 million IU/m² d1−5 ×4 w (induction phase) and 10 million IU/m² tiw ×48 w (maintenance phase). Adjuvant radiotherapy of regional lymph nodes can increase the local control rates but has no impact on the long-term survival. Breakthroughs have been made in the management of stage IV or unresectable melanoma in recent years. BRAF inhibitor plus MEK inhibitor, anti-CTLA-4 monoclonal antibody (ipilimumab), and anti-PD-1 monoclonal antibodies (nivolumab and pembrolizumab) have been listed as the standard treatment (the treatment protocol is developed in according with BRAF gene mutation and speed of disease progression) (category 1).

Follow-up of melanoma

Due to the lack of high-level evidences that meet the requirements of evidence-based medicine, the purpose of follow-up is to timely detect local recurrence and distant metastasis, so as to support timely surgical treatment and achieve long-term survival. Meanwhile, follow-up also helps to achieve the detection (and thus timely management) of the second primary malignant melanoma and other skin cancer other than melanoma.

Glossary

In-transit metastasis: lesions that are >2 cm from the primary tumor and located between the primary lesion and regional lymph nodes, forming cutaneous, subcutaneous, or soft tissue metastatic nodules by lymphatic dissemination.

Satellite: a metastatic nodule that is within 2 cm from the primary tumor.

Regional lymph node: The first or second lymph node stations that are involved after the metastasis of a primary lesion. Typically, the regional lymph nodes in the lower limbs (including feet) are in the ipsilateral inguinal region, whereas the regional lymph nodes in the upper limbs are the ipsilateral axillary lymph nodes.

ILP or ILI: ILP is surgical treatment for the in-transit metastasis of skin melanoma metastases. During ILP, chemotherapy drug melphalan is perfused through limb artery. Heating and oxygenation are needed during ILP, making this procedure particularly challenging. During ILI, the chemotherapy drug melphalan is infused into limb artery by interventional means, during which no oxygenation is needed, making this procedure relatively easy and repeatable.

Cloquet lymph node: The most proximal deep inguinal lymph node, Cloquet’s node, is located in or adjacent to the femoral canal beneath inguinal ligament. The first station of lower limb melanoma metastasis is often the superficial femoral lymph nodes, whereas the second station is often the deep femoral lymph nodes. Melanoma can further spread to pelvic lymph nodes (in particular the external iliac lymph nodes) via the Cloquet lymph node.

Microsatellitosis: tumor nests at least 0.3 mm deep in the reticular layer, lipid membrane or vessel of the primary lesion and sized larger than 0.05 mm, highly relevant with the regional lymph node metastasis. Local microsatellites are staged as N2c (stage IIIB) if they are found during initial biopsy or extended examination of resection specimens. Patients with microsatellites need to receive SLNB; if the result is positive, the microsatellite can be staged as N3 (stage IIIIC).

Categories of evidences

Category 1

Based upon high-level evidence (e.g., randomized controlled trials), there is uniform CSCO consensus that the intervention is appropriate.
Category 2A

Based upon lower-level evidence, there is uniform CSCO consensus that the intervention is appropriate.

Category 2B

Based upon lower-level evidence, there is CSCO consensus that the intervention is appropriate.

Category 3

Based upon any level of evidence, there is major CSCO disagreement that the intervention is appropriate.

All recommendations are category 2A unless otherwise noted.

Legends of the melanoma diagnosis and treatment flow chart

The flow charts for melanoma diagnosis and treatment are shown in Figure 1, Tables 1–10.

Cutaneous melanoma

Epidemiology

Melanoma has become the fastest-growing malignant tumor in recent years, with an annual growth rate of 3–5%. In 2012, there were 232,000 new cases of melanoma and 55,000 deaths worldwide (1). The incidence of melanoma was 10.2/100,000 in males and 9.3/100,000 in females in developed areas, and the mortality was 2.0/100,000 and 1.2/100,000, respectively. In contrast, the incidence of melanoma was 0.8/100,000 and 0.7/100,000 in males and females in less developed areas, and the mortality was 0.4/100,000 and 0.3/100,000, respectively. Australia and the United States have the highest incidence of melanoma. The incidence of melanoma was 28.2/100,000 and 16.8/100,000 in males and females in the United States from 2008 to 2012, and the mortality was 4.1/100,000 and 1.7/100,000, respectively. The incidence of melanoma was 58.5/100,000 and 39/100,000 in males and females in Australia in 2011, and the mortality was 9.6/100,000 and 3.5/100,000, respectively. In contrast, the incidence of melanoma was 8.6/100,000 and 8.9/100,000 in males and females in Europe, and the mortality was 2.0/100,000 and 1.3/100,000, respectively. The incidences of melanoma are relatively low in Asian countries (compared with Western countries); however, they are growing rapidly. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), the incidence of melanoma was 0.5/100,000 and 0.4/100,000 in males and females in Asia in 2012, and the mortality was 0.3/100,000 and 0.2/100,000, respectively. However, the incidence of melanoma was 0.6/100,000 and 0.5/100,000 in males and females in East Asian countries, and the mortality was 0.4/100,000 and 0.3/100,000, respectively. Melanoma incidence in China ranks fifth among the East Asian countries. The comparisons of incidence and mortality of melanoma among some countries and areas are shown in Table 11 (1).

According to Chinese Cancer Registry Annual Report (2), the total number of new melanoma cases in China was 6,505 (3,478 males and 3,027 females) in 2011, yielding an incidence of 0.48/100,000; the melanoma incidence was 0.58/100,000 in urban areas and 0.38/100,000 in rural areas. In China in 2011, there were 2,660 deaths (1,410 males and 1,250 females) due to melanoma, yielding a mortality of 0.20/100,000. The mortality was 0.23/100,000 in urban areas and 0.16/100,000 in rural areas. In addition, both incidence and mortality are higher in urban areas than in rural areas. Among patients aged 20–85 years, the incidence of melanoma is on the rise with aging (males: 0.05/100,000–3.75/100,000; females: 0.03/100,000–3.15/100,000). The incidence and mortality of melanoma in China from 2004 to 2011 are summarized in Table 12 (2-8).

In Asians and coloured populations, melanoma arising from skin accounts for 50–70%, with the acral areas including sole, toes, ends of fingers, and subungual area being the common primary sites. In a study enrolling 522 Chinese melanoma patients, tumors arising from extremities accounted for 41.8%, followed by those occurred in mucous membrane (e.g., rectum, anus, vulva, eyes, and nasopharyngeal area; accounting for 22.6%); 10% of cases had unknown primary sites. For Caucasians, melanoma arising from skin accounted for about 90%, which is commonly seen at the skin of back, chest, abdomen, and lower limbs; melanoma arising from mucous membrane and acral areas accounted for only 1–5% (9).

The male/female ratio of melanoma in China is 1.12 in China, with the median age at diagnosis being 50–55 years. Elderly patients aged ≥65 years accounted for 17.8%. Ulceration at the primary lesion can be seen in a high proportion of melanoma patients (44.8%). The primary tumor can be thick: ≥4 mm in 40.6% of patients and 1–4 mm in 44.4% of patients. Most patients were at stage II at diagnosis and the remaining patients can be at stage III...
Stage Metastatic lesions Treatment protocol

Unresectable melanoma or distant metastatic melanoma

- Single or multiple metastatic lesions that can be completely removed
  - Complete resection of all metastatic lesions is recommended
  - Gene detection
  - Clinical trials
  - Mutant genes
  - High-dose interferon α-2b
  - Wild-type genes

- The metastasis can not be surgically removed
  - Gene status
    - Speed of disease progression
      - Rapid progression
        - Complete resection of all metastatic lesions is recommended
        - PD-1 monoclonal antibody + CTLA-4 monoclonal antibody (nivolumab* + ipilimumab*) (category 1, in selected patients*)
        - Clinical trials
      - Slow progression
        - PD-1 monoclonal antibody (pembrolizumab*/nivolumab*, category 1)
        - CTLA-4 monoclonal antibody (ipilimumab*, category 1)
        - PD-1 monoclonal antibody + CTLA-4 monoclonal antibody (nivolumab* + ipilimumab*) (category 1, in selected patients*)
        - Cytotoxic drugs ± angiogenesis inhibitors
        - High-dose IL-2*
        - Clinical trials
      - Clinical trials
    - Mutant genes
      - Rapid progression
        - BRAF<sup>v600</sup> inhibitor + MEK inhibitor (dabrafenib* + trametinib*, category 1, BRAF gene mutations)
        - CKIT inhibitors (CKIT gene mutations)
        - PD-1 monoclonal antibody (pembrolizumab*/nivolumab*, category 1)
        - BRAF<sup>v600</sup> inhibitor (vemurafenib*/dabrafenib*, category 1, BRAF gene mutations)
        - PD-1 monoclonal antibody + CTLA-4 monoclonal antibody (nivolumab* + ipilimumab*) (category 1, in selected patients*)
        - Cytotoxic drugs ± angiogenesis inhibitors
        - High-dose IL-2*
        - Clinical trials
      - Slow progression
        - PD-1 monoclonal antibody (pembrolizumab*/nivolumab*, category 1)
        - CTLA-4 monoclonal antibody (ipilimumab*, category 1)
        - BRAF<sup>v600</sup> inhibitor + MEK inhibitor (dabrafenib* + trametinib*, category 1, BRAF gene mutations)
        - BRAF<sup>v600</sup> inhibitor (vemurafenib*/dabrafenib*, category 1, BRAF gene mutations)
        - CKIT inhibitors (CKIT gene mutations)
        - Cytotoxic drugs ± angiogenesis inhibitors
        - High-dose IL-2*
        - Clinical trials

- Wild-type genes
  - Rapid progression
    - Complete resection of all metastatic lesions is recommended
    - PD-1 monoclonal antibody + CTLA-4 monoclonal antibody (nivolumab* + ipilimumab*) (category 1, in selected patients*)
    - Clinical trials
  - Slow progression
    - PD-1 monoclonal antibody (pembrolizumab*/nivolumab*, category 1)
    - CTLA-4 monoclonal antibody (ipilimumab*, category 1)
    - PD-1 monoclonal antibody + CTLA-4 monoclonal antibody (nivolumab* + ipilimumab*) (category 1, in selected patients*)
    - Cytotoxic drugs ± angiogenesis inhibitors

Figure 1 Flow chart of general rules and specific management of stage IV (skin melanoma). *, it is recommended that all patients with advanced melanoma receive gene detection before the initiation of treatment. Commercialized drugs targeting two well-studied targets, BRAF and CKIT, have been available; *, rapid progression refers that the tumor is expected to progress rapidly within 12 weeks, with LDH >1.5 times of the normal upper threshold and multiple organ metastases; or, the condition may be drugged by a clinician based on his/her clinical experiences; *, slow progression: refers to non-rapid progression; *, it is particularly recommended for patients with low PD-L1 expression; however, medication must be under the supervision of experienced physicians; *, cytotoxic drugs include dacarbazine, temozolomide, albumin-bound paclitaxel, paclitaxel, cisplatin/carboplatin, and fotemustine, and angiogenesis inhibitors include vascular endostatin and bevacizumab; *, high-dose IL-2 is not feasible in patients with organ dysfunction, poor physical performance, and/or non-treated or symptomatic brain metastases. IL-2 treatment may be considered in patients with small brain metastases and without edema (2B). However, medication must be under the supervision of experienced physicians; *, not licensed in China, for reference only, results of phase III clinical trials have been available in foreign countries.
Table 1 Flow chart of first diagnosis (skin melanoma)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical manifestations</th>
<th>Pathology report*</th>
<th>Examinations for staging</th>
<th>Clinical staging</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly suspicious mass,</td>
<td>Tumor thickness</td>
<td>History-taking and</td>
<td>Stage 0: Carcinoma in situ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>biopsy, pathologic</td>
<td></td>
<td>physical examination</td>
<td>Stage IA (without risk factor*)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>confirmation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Any local or regional</td>
<td>Stage IA (with risk factor)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>lymph nodes</td>
<td>Stage IIA (intermediate risk)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Skin examination</td>
<td>Stage IIB-IIIA (high risk)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Imaging examinationsv</td>
<td>Stage IIIB–IIIC (extremely high risk)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Assessing the risk factors of melanoma</td>
<td>(metastasis in regional lymph nodes)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stage IIIC (extremely high risk)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(in-transit metastasis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Stage IV (distant metastasis)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* for melanoma without distant metastasis (based on preliminary clinical judgment), complete resection is typically recommended during biopsy, and needle biopsy or local resection is not recommended. However, if the lesion is too large or there is already a distant metastasis, local resection will be feasible; †, the pathology report must include tumor thickness and ulceration (if any), and the remaining indicators should be obtained if condition allows; ‡, microsatellites refer to tumor nests at least 0.3 mm deep in the reticular layer, lipid membrane or vessel of the primary lesion and sized larger than 0.05 mm, highly relevant with the regional lymph node metastasis. The lesion can be staged as N2c (stage IIIB) if initial biopsy or extended biopsy of the removed specimen shows the presence of local microsatellites. Patients with microsatellites need to receive sentinel lymph node biopsy; if the result is positive, the microsatellite can be staged as N3 (stage IIIC); †, ultrasound of regional lymph nodes (cervical, axillary, inguinal, and popliteal lymph nodes), chest X-ray or CT, abdominal and pelvic ultrasound, CT or MRI, whole-body bone scan and head scan (CT or MRI), or whole-body PET-CT; ‡, risk factors include: thickness ≥0.75 mm; mitotic rate ≥1/mm²; vascular invasion; and Clark level: IV.

(25.1%) or IV (12.8%). Survival analysis showed that stage was significantly associated with survival (P<0.001). For patients with stage I, II, III, and IV melanoma, the 5-year survival rate was 94%, 44%, 38%, and 4.6%, respectively, and the median survival was 5.00, 4.25, 2.83, and 1.42 years. The thickness of primary lesion was significantly correlated with survival: the 5-year survival rate was 92% and 43% in patients with the thickness of primary lesion being ≤1 and >4 mm, respectively. The ulceration of primary lesion also has shown certain association with survival, although the difference was not statistically significant: the 5-year survival rate in patients with ulceration and those without ulceration was 69% and 42%, respectively (P=0.08) (7).

Multivariate analysis of the relationship between gene mutation and prognosis showed that both KIT gene and BRAF gene mutations are independent prognostic factors of melanoma, with the relative risk (RR) being 1.989 (95% CI: 1.263–3.131) and 1.536 (95% CI: 1.110–2.124) (P=0.003, P=0.01, respectively) (10,11).

Etiology and pathology

Excessive exposure to ultraviolet (UV) is one of the clear cause of melanoma of the skin. The sun’s UV rays can burn the skin and induce DNA mutations. Both ultraviolet A (UVA) and ultraviolet B (UVB) can induce the occurrence of melanoma. UVB is the main cause that destroys melanin cell genes and induces pathogenesis, whereas UVA can suppress certain functions of the immune system and accelerate the formation of tumors. Among Caucasian melanoma patients, the common pathogenic types (superficial spreading and nodular types) are confirmed to be associated with chronic or intermittent high-intensity UV radiation. In addition, photosensitive skin is susceptible to freckles. Individuals with a large number of ordinary nevi or dysplastic nevi or with a family history of skin cancer are believed to be at high risk of melanoma (12-14). In Asia (including China) and Africa, the primary melanoma lesions are mainly located at heel, palm, fingers, toes and subungual area that are seldom exposed to UV, and the exact etiology remains unclear. However, improper management (e.g., cutting with a knife or rope, salting, laser therapy, and cryotherapy) of pigmented nevus may include its canceration rapidly growth. Whether endocrine, chemical, and physical factors also affect the occurrence of melanoma remain unknown.
Stage 0 Extended excision of primary lesion

Stage IA (thickness ≤0.75 mm, without ulceration, and with a mitotic rate of ≥1/mm²);
stage IB (thickness ≤0.75 mm, with ulceration, and/or with a mitotic rate of ≥1/mm²)

Stage IIA

Stage IIIB−IIIC Extended excision of primary lesion ± regional lymph node dissection ± sentinel lymph node biopsy

Stage IIIC (in-transit metastasis) Extended excision of primary lesion ± regional lymph node dissection ± sentinel lymph node biopsy

Follow-ups exist in every period. See “Surgical margin” for the margin of extended resection; performed if condition allows. Sentinel lymph node biopsy is not recommended in patients with a thickness of primary tumor of ≤0.75 mm. Conventional risk factors such as ulceration, high mitotic rate, and lymphatic/vascular invasion have limited value in the sentinel lymph node biopsy for these patients. Once these risk factors occur, the need for sentinel lymph node biopsy should be based on the patients’ willingness. If the tumor thickness ranges 0.76−1.0 mm, sentinel lymph node biopsy may be considered basing on clinical findings. If palpation of local lymph nodes fails to yield satisfactory results, ultrasound or CT may be considered before sentinel lymph node (SLN) biopsy; however, neither ultrasound nor CT can replace the SLN biopsy. If a lymph node metastasis is suspected, a biopsy should be further performed. The ultrasound diagnostic criteria of lymph node metastasis include: peripheral perfusion, loss of central echoes (or, loss of ring-like enhancement), and balloon shape. The sensitivities and positive predictive values of these three methods were 77% and 52%, 60% and 65%, and 30% and 96%, and the combined sensitivity was 82%. Source: Voit C, van Akkooi AC, Schafer-Hesterberg G, et al. Ultrasound morphology criteria predict metastatic disease of the sentinel nodes in patients with melanoma. J Clin Oncol 2010;28:847-52; as described in the “Principles of lymph node dissection”. Patients with metastases <0.1 mm in sentinel node need not to receive regional lymph node dissection and the 5-year survival rate is 91%. Source: van der Ploeg AP, van Akkooi AC, Rutkowski P, et al. Prognosis in patients with sentinel node-positive melanoma is accurately defined by the combined Rotterdam tumor load and Dewar topography criteria. J Clin Oncol 2011;29:2206-14; treatment of acral melanoma in Chinese patients: stage IIIB–IIIC: 1-month treatment (15 million IU/m² d1−5 ×4 w) (2B); 1-year treatment: based on foreign standard dosage (20 million IU/m² d1−5 ×4 w, 10 million IU/m² tiw ×48 w) or Chinese experiences (15 million IU/m² d1−5 ×4 w, 9 million IU tiw ×48 w); lack of data from domestic clinical trials; see “Principles of adjuvant radiotherapy”; see “Principles of follow-up”; intratumor drug injection: it can locally ablate tumors and induce systemic anti-tumor immunity. The promising drugs include T-VEC and PV-10. T-VEC is a herpes simplex virus (HSV)-1-derived oncolytic immunotherapy designed to selectively replicate in tumors; its oncolytic action can cause tumor cell rupture and thus induce systemic tumor-specific immune response and induce tumor cell death in the distant sites. In a large phase III clinical trial, compared with GM-CSF, T-VEC can significantly improve the complete response (CR) and partial response (PR) rates of injectable but unresectable stage IIIB–IV melanoma in an intention-to-treat (ITT) cohort. Thus, T-VEC has been approved by US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of melanoma; however, this drug has not been licensed in China. PV-10 is an intratumor injection drug containing 10% rose Bengal disodium. It can penetrate the tumor cell plasma membrane and selectively accumulate inside the lysosomes of tumor cells, leading to tumor cell autophagy. As shown in a phase II clinical trial, the CR rate of PV-10 in melanoma patients reached 53%. A phase III clinical trial is still on the way, and the combined treatment will be explored in a phase IV study. PV-10 has not been licensed by US FDA. The common side effects include the mild and moderate adverse reactions at the local injection site, with local pain and fever being the most common side effects. Sources: (I) Andtbacka R, Kaufflin H, Collicho F, et al. Talimogene laherparepvec improves durable response rate in patients with advanced melanoma. J Clin Oncol 2015;33:2780-8; (II) Thompson JF, Agarwala SS, Smithers BM, et al. Phase 2 study of intraliesional PV-10 in refractory metastatic melanoma. Ann Surg Oncol 2015;22:2135-42.

Table 2 Flow chart of management of stages 0-III (skin melanoma)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical staging</th>
<th>Treatment principles</th>
<th>Adjuvant therapy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stage 0</td>
<td>Extended excision of primary lesion</td>
<td>Routine health check-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IA (thickness ≤0.75 mm, without ulceration, and with a mitotic rate of ≥1/mm²); stage IB (thickness ≤0.75 mm, with ulceration, and/or with a mitotic rate of ≥1/mm²)</td>
<td>Extended excision of primary lesion</td>
<td>Routine health check-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IA (thickness 0.76−1 mm, without ulceration, and with a mitotic rate of 0/mm²); Stages IB, II (thickness 0.76−1 mm, ulceration, and mitotic rate of 0.75 mm² or thickness &gt;1 mm)</td>
<td>Extended excision of primary lesion</td>
<td>Sentinel lymph node-negative: routine health check-up</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentinel lymph node-positive: see treatment for stage III melanoma</td>
<td>Sentinel lymph node-positive: see treatment for stage III melanoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IIIC (in-transit metastasis)</td>
<td>Extended excision of primary lesion</td>
<td>Sentinel lymph node-negative: high-dose interferon-α-2b ×1 year or 1 month (2B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentinel lymph node-positive: see treatment for stage III melanoma</td>
<td>Sentinel lymph node-positive: see treatment for stage III melanoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IIIA</td>
<td>Extended excision of primary lesion</td>
<td>High-dose interferon-α-2b ×1 year or 1 month (2B) or long-acting interferon for 5 years (2B); see “Principles of adjuvant radiotherapy” for lymph node radiotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentinel lymph node-negative: routine health check-up</td>
<td>Sentinel lymph node-negative: see treatment for stage III melanoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stages IIIB−IIIC</td>
<td>Extended excision of primary lesion</td>
<td>Clinical trials; high-dose interferon-α-2b ×1 year or 1 month (2B) or long-acting interferon for 5 years (2B); see “Principles of adjuvant radiotherapy” for lymph node radiotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentinel lymph node-positive: see treatment for stage III melanoma</td>
<td>Sentinel lymph node-positive: see treatment for stage III melanoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IIIC (in-transit metastasis)</td>
<td>Extended excision of primary lesion</td>
<td>The in-transit metastasis can be surgically removed: clinical trials; high-dose interferon-α-2b ×1 year or 1 month (2B) or long-acting interferon for 5 years (2B)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentinel lymph node-negative: routine health check-up</td>
<td>Sentinel lymph node-negative: routine health check-up</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentinel lymph node-positive: see treatment for stage III melanoma</td>
<td>Sentinel lymph node-positive: see treatment for stage III melanoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Follow-ups exist in every period. See “Surgical margin” for the margin of extended resection; performed if condition allows. Sentinel lymph node biopsy is not recommended in patients with a thickness of primary tumor of ≤0.75 mm. Conventional risk factors such as ulceration, high mitotic rate, and lymphatic/vascular invasion have limited value in the sentinel lymph node biopsy for these patients. Once these risk factors occur, the need for sentinel lymph node biopsy should be based on the patients’ willingness. If the tumor thickness ranges 0.76−1.0 mm, sentinel lymph node biopsy may be considered basing on clinical findings. If palpation of local lymph nodes fails to yield satisfactory results, ultrasound or CT may be considered before sentinel lymph node (SLN) biopsy; however, neither ultrasound nor CT can replace the SLN biopsy. If a lymph node metastasis is suspected, a biopsy should be further performed. The ultrasound diagnostic criteria of lymph node metastasis include: peripheral perfusion, loss of central echoes (or, loss of ring-like enhancement), and balloon shape. The sensitivities and positive predictive values of these three methods were 77% and 52%, 60% and 65%, and 30% and 96%, and the combined sensitivity was 82%. Source: Voit C, van Akkooi AC, Schafer-Hesterberg G, et al. Ultrasound morphology criteria predict metastatic disease of the sentinel nodes in patients with melanoma. J Clin Oncol 2010;28:847-52; as described in the “Principles of lymph node dissection”. Patients with metastases <0.1 mm in sentinel node need not to receive regional lymph node dissection and the 5-year survival rate is 91%. Source: van der Ploeg AP, van Akkooi AC, Rutkowski P, et al. Prognosis in patients with sentinel node-positive melanoma is accurately defined by the combined Rotterdam tumor load and Dewar topography criteria. J Clin Oncol 2011;29:2206-14; treatment of acral melanoma in Chinese patients: stage IIIB–IIIC: 1-month treatment (15 million IU/m² d1−5 ×4 w) (2B); 1-year treatment: based on foreign standard dosage (20 million IU/m² d1−5 ×4 w, 10 million IU/m² tiw ×48 w) or Chinese experiences (15 million IU/m² d1−5 ×4 w, 9 million IU tiw ×48 w); lack of data from domestic clinical trials; see “Principles of adjuvant radiotherapy”; see “Principles of follow-up”; intratumor drug injection: it can locally ablate tumors and induce systemic anti-tumor immunity. The promising drugs include T-VEC and PV-10. T-VEC is a herpes simplex virus (HSV)-1-derived oncolytic immunotherapy designed to selectively replicate in tumors; its oncolytic action can cause tumor cell rupture and thus induce systemic tumor-specific immune response and induce tumor cell death in the distant sites. In a large phase III clinical trial, compared with GM-CSF, T-VEC can significantly improve the complete response (CR) and partial response (PR) rates of injectable but unresectable stage IIIB–IV melanoma in an intention-to-treat (ITT) cohort. Thus, T-VEC has been approved by US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of melanoma; however, this drug has not been licensed in China. PV-10 is an intratumor injection drug containing 10% rose Bengal disodium. It can penetrate the tumor cell plasma membrane and selectively accumulate inside the lysosomes of tumor cells, leading to tumor cell autophagy. As shown in a phase II clinical trial, the CR rate of PV-10 in melanoma patients reached 53%. A phase III clinical trial is still on the way, and the combined treatment will be explored in a phase IV study. PV-10 has not been licensed by US FDA. The common side effects include the mild and moderate adverse reactions at the local injection site, with local pain and fever being the most common side effects. Sources: (I) Andtbacka R, Kaufflin H, Collicho F, et al. Talimogene laherparepvec improves durable response rate in patients with advanced melanoma. J Clin Oncol 2015;33:2780-8; (II) Thompson JF, Agarwala SS, Smithers BM, et al. Phase 2 study of intraliesional PV-10 in refractory metastatic melanoma. Ann Surg Oncol 2015;22:2135-42.
Table 3 Principles of follow-up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinicopathologic stage</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stage 0: carcinoma in situ</td>
<td>History-taking and physical examination (mainly on skin and lymph nodes), annually; for suspicious pigmented nevus, routine photo-taking may be performed for comparison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IA</td>
<td>History-taking and physical examination (mainly on skin and lymph nodes), every 6−12 months; for suspicious pigmented nevus, routine photo-taking may be performed for comparison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medical imaging may be performed based on clinical findings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stages IB−III</td>
<td>History-taking and physical examination (mainly on skin and lymph nodes); for suspicious pigmented nevus, routine photo-taking may be performed for comparison</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(I) Every 3−6 months from the first year to the third year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(II) Every 4−12 months in the coming two years, and then annually based on the clinical conditions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Laboratory examinations: routine blood test, routine urine test, routine stool test, liver and kidney functions, and lactate dehydrogenase (LDH)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medical imaging (for stages IB−IIIC): ultrasound of regional lymph nodes (cervical, axillary, inguinal, and popliteal lymph nodes), chest X-ray or CT, abdominal and pelvic ultrasound, CT, or MRI, and, if clinical conditions allow, whole-body bone scan and head scan (CT or MRI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(I) Every 3−6 months from the first year to the third year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(II) Every 6−12 months in the coming two years, and then annually after the fifth year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medical imaging (for stage III): ultrasound of regional lymph nodes (cervical, axillary, inguinal, and popliteal lymph nodes), chest CT, abdominal and pelvic ultrasound, CT, or MRI, and, if clinical conditions allow, whole-body bone scan and head scan (CT or MRI) or whole-body PET-CT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(I) Every 3−6 months from the first year to the third year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(II) Every 6−12 months in the coming two years, and then annually after the fifth year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chest X-ray is not recommended in patients with stage III melanoma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IV</td>
<td>History-taking and physical examination; laboratory examinations: routine blood test, routine urine test, routine stool test, liver and kidney functions, and LDH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Medical imaging: ultrasound of regional lymph nodes (cervical, axillary, inguinal, and popliteal lymph nodes), chest CT, abdominal and pelvic ultrasound, CT, or MRI, and, if clinical and economic conditions allow, whole-body bone scan and head scan (CT or MRI) or whole-body PET-CT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(I) Every 3 months in the first year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(II) Every 6 months in the second and third year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(III) Every 6−12 months in the coming two years, and then annually after the fifth year</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 Resection margin

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tumor thickness</th>
<th>Clinically recommended resection margin</th>
<th>Tumor thickness</th>
<th>Clinically recommended resection margin</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>In situ</td>
<td>0.5–1 cm</td>
<td>2.01–4 mm</td>
<td>2.0 cm (category 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤1.0 mm</td>
<td>1.0 cm (category 1)</td>
<td>&gt;4 mm</td>
<td>2.0 cm (category 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.01–2.0 mm</td>
<td>1.0–2.0 cm (category 1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(I) Resection margin shall be adjusted according to the anatomical and functional requirements. In some special sites (such as face and ears), it will be acceptable only if a negative resection margin is ensured; (II) for malignant melanoma in situ, a negative resection margin during pathology is very important. Only a resection margin of >0.5 cm can achieve a histologically negative margin. If a histologically negative margin can not be achieved by surgery alone, local application of imiquimod or radiotherapy may be considered (category 2B); (III) a second surgery is required for patients with a post-operative positive resection margin; (IV) in clinical settings, the calculation of resection margin should be based on the real resection scope rather than the measured resection margin in morphologic specimen or under microscope by a pathologist (category 1).
The common pathological types of melanoma include superficial spreading melanoma, nodular melanoma, lentigo maligna melanoma, and acral lentiginous melanoma. The less common types included epithelioid, desmoplastic, malignant non-pigmented nevus, balloon-like cells, spindle cells, and giant congenital melanocytic nevus. Superficial spreading melanoma is the most common type in Caucasians, whereas acral lentigo melanoma is the most common type in Asians and Africans (15-17).

### Superficial spreading melanoma

Characterized by horizontal growth, the large pigmented tumor cells show pellet-like or Paget-like spread among squamous epithelium. This type is most common among Caucasians, accounting for about 70%. Usually it arises from nevus or skin pigmented spot. Generally, its

---

**Table 5** Principles of lymph node dissection

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Thorough dissection of regional lymph nodes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The involved lymph nodes must be completely removed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Typically, the numbers of dissected and examined lymph nodes were as follows: inguinal lymph nodes: ≥10; axillary lymph nodes: ≥15; cervical lymph nodes: ≥15

In the groin area, if the number of superficial femoral lymph nodes or metastatic lymph nodes is larger than 3, selective dissection of lymph nodes in iliac fossa and obturator can be performed

If pelvic imaging indicates the presence of pelvic lymph node metastasis, or if intraoperative pathology of Cloquet's node shows positive results, dissection of lymph nodes in iliac fossa and obturator should be performed

Skin tumors of the head and neck with clinically or microscopically identified lymph node metastasis in parotid gland should be treated with parotid gland resection + cervical lymph node dissection in drainage area

---

**Table 6** Principles of adjuvant radiotherapy (category 2B)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LDH &lt;1.5× ULN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node metastasis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Lymph node extranodal invasion

- Number of involved parotid lymph nodes ≥1, independent of size
- Number of involved cervical lymph nodes ≥2, and/or size of involved lymph node ≥3 cm
- Number of involved axillary lymph nodes ≥2, and/or size of involved lymph node ≥4 cm
- Number of involved inguinal lymph nodes ≥3, and/or size of involved lymph node ≥4 cm

Note: the first criteria and any item in the second criteria must be met simultaneously. Adjuvant radiotherapy can reduce the risk of local recurrence by 44%; however, it may increase the long-term toxicities (head and neck toxicities, by 33%; armpit toxicity, by 41–44%; inguinal area, by 38–67%) and shorten overall survival (P=0.12). *, evidences not available in Chinese literature. Source: Henderson MA, Burmeister B, Ainslie J, et al. Adjuvant radiotherapy after lymphadenectomy in melanoma patients: final results of an intergroup randomized trial (ANZMTG 0.1.02/TROG 02.01) [abstract]. J Clin Oncol 2013;31:abstr 9001. LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; ULN, upper limit of normal.

---

**Table 7** Principles of palliative radiotherapy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Radiotherapy of bone metastasis: palliative pain relief or prevention of pathological fracture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brain metastasis (stereotactic radiotherapy is preferred; if the number of metastatic lesions is &gt;5 and the tumor diameter is &gt;3 cm, whole-brain radiotherapy can be considered)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The value of whole-brain radiotherapy following the resection of brain metastatic lesions remain controversial and should be analyzed in an individualized manner. The relevant randomized clinical trial is still ongoing (ANZMTG 01−07, ACTRN126070005124263, NCT01503827)

Table 8 Staging of melanoma in AJCC Cancer Staging Manual 7th Edition

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary tumor (T)</th>
<th>T stage</th>
<th>Thickness</th>
<th>N stage</th>
<th>Number of metastatic lymph nodes</th>
<th>Refinement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T0</td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>N1</td>
<td>≤1.0 mm</td>
<td>2−3</td>
<td>Four or more metastatic lymph nodes, or in-transit met(s)/satellite(s) with metastatic lymph node(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>N2</td>
<td>1.01−2.0 mm</td>
<td>2−3</td>
<td>Four or more metastatic lymph nodes, or in-transit met(s)/satellite(s) with metastatic lymph node(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>N3</td>
<td>2.01−4.0 mm</td>
<td>2−3</td>
<td>Four or more metastatic lymph nodes, or in-transit met(s)/satellite(s) with metastatic lymph node(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>N4</td>
<td>&gt;4 mm</td>
<td></td>
<td>Four or more metastatic lymph nodes, or in-transit met(s)/satellite(s) with metastatic lymph node(s)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 9 Refinement of T stage (based on: without ulceration or with ulceration; MR), distant metastasis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>T stage</th>
<th>Mitotic rate</th>
<th>Ulceration</th>
<th>M stage</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>LDH</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1a</td>
<td>0/mm²</td>
<td>Without ulceration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1b</td>
<td>≥1/mm²</td>
<td>With ulceration</td>
<td>M1a</td>
<td>Distant cutaneous, subcutaneous, or nodular metastases</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2a, T3a, T4a</td>
<td>Without ulceration</td>
<td></td>
<td>M1b</td>
<td>Pulmonary metastases</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2b, T3b, T4b</td>
<td>With ulceration</td>
<td></td>
<td>M1c</td>
<td>Other visceral metastasis</td>
<td>Normal</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

LDH, lactate dehydrogenase.

Table 10 TNM stage

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical stage</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>M</th>
<th>Pathologic stage</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>M</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stage 0</td>
<td>Tis</td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td>Stage 0−IIC</td>
<td>T[1−4]a</td>
<td>N1a</td>
<td>N2a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IA</td>
<td>T1a</td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td>Stages IIIA</td>
<td>T[1−4]a</td>
<td>N1a</td>
<td>N2a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IB</td>
<td>T1b</td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td>Stages IIIB</td>
<td>T[1−4]b</td>
<td>N1a</td>
<td>N2a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T2a</td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td></td>
<td>T[1−4]a</td>
<td>N1b</td>
<td>N2b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T2b</td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td></td>
<td>T[1−4]b</td>
<td>N1b</td>
<td>N2b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T3a</td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td></td>
<td>Any T</td>
<td>N3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IIB</td>
<td>T3b</td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td>Stage IV</td>
<td>Any T</td>
<td></td>
<td>M1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>T4a</td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IIIC</td>
<td>T4b</td>
<td>N0</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stages II−III</td>
<td>Any T</td>
<td>≥ N1</td>
<td>M0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IV</td>
<td>Any T</td>
<td>Any N</td>
<td>M1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(I) Clinical staging includes microstaging of the primary melanoma and clinical/radiologic evaluation for metastases. By convention, it should be used after excision of the primary melanoma with clinical assessment for regional and distant metastases; (II) pathologic staging includes microstaging of the primary melanoma and pathologic information about the regional lymph nodes after partial or complete lymphadenectomy; (III) visit www.springer.net for more information.
appearance is irregular; its color may vary, including brown-black, pink, white, gray, or even depigmented. Its edge may become itchy. The diameter is typically larger than 0.5 cm. This type is often found at the back or in females' lower limbs. Intermittent excessive sunlight exposure may be the main cause.

**Nodular melanoma**

Nodular melanoma arises from nevus and often is manifested as rapidly growing pigmented nodule (occasionally non-pigmented nodular melanoma). In some cases bleeding or ulceration may occur. The incidence of this type is about 15% among Caucasians. While it can occur at any site and any age, it is relatively more common in elderly individuals aged >60 years and in males. It is often dome-shaped, and may look like blood blister in some cases. This type is highly malignant and grows rapidly. It often has already invaded deeply in the skin at diagnosis.

**Lentigo maligna melanoma**

Lentigo maligna melanoma is a melanoma that is manifested as the linear or nested hyperplasia of atypical melanoma cells along the dermal-epidermal junction (which can extend to the follicle walls and sweat ducts), accompanied by severe solar lesions; meanwhile, infiltration of atypical melanocytes within dermis may also be found. This is a relatively rare type, accounting for about 10%. It often occurs at areas commonly exposed to sunlight (e.g., face) in mid-aged and elderly individuals. This type is not arising from nevus; rather, it develops after many years of sunlight exposure. In its early stage, it is manifested as irregular dark skin spots, which are often mis-diagnosed as “age pigment” or “burn spot”.

**Acral lentiginous melanoma**

Acral lentiginous melanoma is rarely seen in Caucasians, accounting for about 5%. MM is often classified in this type. It has not shown association with UV. However, this is the major melanoma type in Asians and Africans. It has been reported that this type accounted for 58% of all melanoma cases in Asians and 60–70% in Africans. It is often seen in palm, heel, fingers, toes, nail bed, and mucous membrane (nasopharynx, oral cavity, and female reproductive tract). This type is often misdiagnosed due to its special and hidden sites.

Along with research advances in the relationships among the molecular biology, clinical and histological features, and gene mutations of melanoma, specific types have been found to be correlated with specific gene mutation(s). New classification is more conducive to clinical applications.

### Table 11 Incidence and mortality of melanoma in some countries/areas in 2011 (1)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country/Area</th>
<th>Incidence (1/100,000)</th>
<th>Mortality (1/100,000)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Men</td>
<td>Women</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>58.5</td>
<td>9.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>East Asia</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 12 Melanoma incidence in China from 2004 to 2011 (2-7) (1/100,000)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall incidence/mortality</td>
<td>0.4/0.23</td>
<td>0.47/0.22</td>
<td>0.52/0.25</td>
<td>0.47/0.26</td>
<td>0.54/0.32</td>
<td>0.55/0.29</td>
<td>0.48/0.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence/mortality in males</td>
<td>0.39/0.25</td>
<td>0.52/0.26</td>
<td>0.58/0.28</td>
<td>0.48/0.27</td>
<td>0.56/0.34</td>
<td>0.56/0.32</td>
<td>3.478/1.410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence/mortality in females</td>
<td>0.41/0.21</td>
<td>0.42/0.19</td>
<td>0.45/0.22</td>
<td>0.47/0.25</td>
<td>0.52/0.30</td>
<td>0.55/0.25</td>
<td>3.027/1.250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence/mortality in urban areas</td>
<td>0.45/0.25</td>
<td>0.54/0.26</td>
<td>0.57/0.27</td>
<td>0.54/0.29</td>
<td>0.59/0.38</td>
<td>0.66/0.36</td>
<td>0.58/0.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence/mortality in rural areas</td>
<td>0.24/0.19</td>
<td>0.27/0.11</td>
<td>0.31/0.18</td>
<td>0.27/0.18</td>
<td>0.36/0.12</td>
<td>0.34/0.14</td>
<td>0.38/0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Chinese Cancer Registry Annual Reports 2004 (3), 2008 (4), 2009 (5), 2010 (6), 2011 (7), and 2012 (8) [data in 2011 are unpublished data from China National Cancer Center (2)].
such as staging, prognostic prediction, and treatment planning. Currently, melanoma is usually divided into four fundamental mutation types: (I) acral type; (II) mucosal type; (III) chronic sun-induced damage (CSD); and (IV) non-CSD (including the subtypes with unknown primary lesion). The CSD mainly includes head/neck and limb melanoma, because these sites are more likely to be exposed to sunlight. CSD corpuscles may be seen under high-magnification microscope. According to foreign literature, 28% of melanoma patients may develop KIT gene variations (mutations or increased copies), 10% BRAF variation, and 5% NRAS variation. The acral and mucosal types are more like to develop KIT gene variation, followed by BRAF variation. Most non-CSD patients (e.g., melanoma of trunk) have BRAF V600E mutation (60%) or NRAS mutation (20%) (18-21).

Detection of KIT gene in 502 Chinese patients with primary melanoma showed that the overall KIT gene mutation rate was 10.8%, and the gene proliferation rate was 7.4%, among which the overall mutation rate and gene proliferation rate were 11.9% and 7.3%, 9.6% and 10.2%, 20.7% and 3.4%, 8.1% and 3.2%, and 7.8% and 5.9% for the acral type, mucosal type, CSD, non-CSD, and subtypes with unknown primary lesion). The results of this study provided theoretical basis for the use of KIT inhibitors in Chinese melanoma patients. Detection of BRAF gene in 468 Chinese patients with primary melanoma showed that the BRAF mutation rate was 25.9%; specifically, the BRAF mutation rate was 17.9% and 12.5% in acral type and mucosal type, among which V600E is the most common mutation site (87.6%). The findings of this study provided theoretical basis for the use of BRAF$^{V600E}$ inhibitors in Chinese melanoma patients (10,11).

**Pathology report**

According to the revised edition of the AJCC Cancer Staging Manual, melanoma is divided into the following three categories: localized non-metastatic melanoma (stages I–II), regional metastatic melanoma (stage III), and distant metastatic melanoma (stage IV) (20,22). For patients with localized melanoma, thickness, ulceration, and MR (especially for primary lesion with a thickness of ≤1 mm) are three most important features for predicting prognosis (20).

MR, expressed in terms of mitoses per square millimeter, is an indicator of tumor proliferation. The updated AJCC staging guidelines recommend to use the “hot spot” technology to project the MR (23,24). Barnhill et al. compared the values of MR with ulceration in predicting the prognosis of localized melanoma; multivariate analysis of MR, ulceration, and tumor thickness showed that MR (<1, 1–6, and >6) is the most independent prognostic factor. In addition, some other studies also confirmed that MR is an important prognostic factor of skin melanoma (25-28).

According to the AJCC staging system (2010 edition), patients with an MR value of ≥1 is an independent prognostic factor of poor prognosis, in particular in patients with an infiltration thickness of ≤1 mm. In these patients, MR can replace the Clark grading in differentiating stage IA and stage IB (29,30).

According to the American Academy of Dermatology, the pathology report should also include MR, vertical growth phase (VGP) (if any), tumor infiltrating lymphocytes (TIL), and degeneration (31,32). Microsatellite should also be recorded because it represents an extremely high risk of local or systemic metastasis. Patients with microsatellites should be included in stage N2c, and their prognosis is similar to stage IIIB patients. In 2013, the College of American Pathologists defined microsatellites as follows: (I) micro-metastatic lesion in the reticular layer, lipid membrane, or vessel of membrane lipid or vessel of dermis; (II) sized >0.05 mm; and (III) over 0.3 mm away from the primary lesion (33,34).

The proliferation of some melanoma cells may be difficult to diagnose. These malignancies may include atypical melanocytic proliferation, melanocytic tumor of unknown malignant potential, unspecified superficial melanocytic neoplasms, atypical Spitz tumor, and atypical cellular blue nevus. These lesions often occur in young patients. Pathologists with rich experiences should be consulted if the above conditions are suspected. For cases need to be differentiated from melanoma, the pathology report should include the prognostic factors of melanoma. For lesions that are difficult to diagnose histologically, comparative genomic hybridization (CGH) or fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) may be applied to detect the specific gene mutations (if condition allows). As suggested by a recent small-sample study on atypical Spitz tumor, compared with FISH, CGH can provide more detailed information and has higher sensitivity and specificity in determining the change in the copies of relevant chromosomes (35).

For stage II patients with lymph node metastasis, the number of metastatic lymph nodes and clinical features (e.g., palpable during physical examination) are key prognostic
factors. For patients with positive sentinel lymph nodes (SLNs), the number of positive lymph nodes as well as the tumor load of SLNs, thickness of primary lesion, MR, ulceration, and age is the most important factors in predicting survival. For patients with clinically positive lymph nodes (physical examination or imaging shows the presence of swollen lymph nodes), the prognostic factors include the number of lymph nodes, ulceration of primary lesion, and age (36). All these information must be included in the pathology report.

For patients with distant metastasis (stage IV), the location of distant metastases is the most important prognostic factor. AJCC divided the distant metastasis into three levels: metastasis to the distant soft tissue of skin; metastasis to lung; and metastasis to other viscera except the lungs (22,23). Elevated LDH IV is also considered an independent prognostic factor in stage IV melanoma patients with poor prognosis and has been included in the AJCC staging system (37-39).

The panel recommends that the pathology report must include tumor thickness and ulceration (if any), and the remaining indicators should be obtained if condition allows.

**Diagnosis**

Diagnosis of melanoma is often based on typical clinical manifestations and physical examination findings. Pathology is the final criteria for the diagnosis and staging of melanoma, and thus plays a key role throughout the diagnosis, staging, treatment, and prognosis of melanoma. Immunohistochemical staining is the main auxiliary means for differentiating melanoma. While S-100, HMB-45 and vimentin is specific indicators for the diagnosis of melanoma, HMB-45 is more specific than S-100.

**Clinical symptoms**

Most skin melanoma arises from nevus, and the early malignant symptoms of nevus can be summarized as the following ABCDE rules:

- **A**—asymmetry: one half of the spot does not match the other half;
- **B**—border irregularity: the borders of a melanoma may be uneven, blurred, or notched. In contrast, normal moles (nevus) are round or oval in shape and have sharply defined borders;
- **C**—color variation: common moles are usually one color throughout. Melanomas may have several colors or an irregular pattern of colors (mainly dirty black, but also can be brown, dark brown, blue, pink, black, or even white);
- **D**—diameter: spots with a diameter of >5–6 mm or those that have remarkably enlarged should be evaluated. Melanomas are often larger than common moles. Biopsy is recommended for spots with a diameter larger than 1 cm. Biopsy is recommended for spots with a diameter larger than 1 cm;
- **E**—elevation: in some early melanomas, the tumor may be slightly elevated.

The only shortcoming of the ABCDE rule is that it does not consider the speed of melanoma progression (e.g., the remarkable change within weeks or months). The further progression of early skin melanoma can be manifested by satellites, ulceration, recurrence, regional lymph node metastasis, and in-transit metastasis. The symptoms of advanced melanoma vary due to their different metastatic sites, which mainly include lungs, liver, bones and brain. Melanoma of eye and rectum origin can easily develop liver metastasis.

**Medical imaging**

Medical imaging should be based on the local conditions and the patients’ economic status. Ultrasound of regional lymph nodes (cervical, axillary, inguinal, and popliteal lymph nodes), chest X-ray or CT, abdominal and pelvic ultrasound, CT, or MRI, and, if feasible, whole-body bone scan and head scan (CT or MRI) are required. PET-CT may be performed in patients with good economic status, especially those with unidentified primary lesions. PET is more useful in detecting subclinical metastases. It is widely accepted that, for patients with early localized melanoma, PET is not sensitive in detecting the metastatic lesions and thus can not satisfactorily benefit the patients (40-42). PET/CT is more useful in stage III patients because it can help to identify lesions that can not be diagnosed by CT and display locations that can not be displayed by conventional CT (e.g., limbs) (43). In a systematic review that included 17 studies, PET had a sensitivity of 68–87% and a specificity of 92–98% in stage III/IV patients. In contrast, the sensitivity was 0–67% and the specificity was 77–100% for stage I/II patients (44). The results of other large meta analyses showed that PET/CT is superior to the conventional CT in detecting distant metastases (45).

**Laboratory examinations**

Laboratory examinations typically include routine blood test, liver/kidney function tests, and LDH measurement. These indicators can be used for subsequent treatment or
prognosis. Although LDH is not a sensitive indicator for metastasis detection, it can guide the prognosis. No specific serum tumor marker has been available for melanoma. Therefore, tumor marker detection is not recommended for this disease.

**Surgical treatment**

**Biopsy**

Complete excisional biopsy is recommended for suspicious pigmented lesions to obtain accurate T stage. With a cutting edge of 0.3−0.5 cm, the incision shall go through the skin line (e.g., in limbs, the incision shall be along the long axis of the skin). Direct extended excision should be avoided to prevent the damaged quality of SLNB due to the change in regional lymphatic drainage. If complete resection cannot be achieved in patients whose lesions are too large or the lesions are located at face, palm, sole of foot, ear, fingers, toes, or nail bed, resection of the whole cutaneous layer where the lesion is located or puncture biopsy may be considered. If a large tumor becomes ruptured or a metastasis has been confirmed, puncture or incisional biopsy of the lesion may be performed.

**Extended resection**

Once early melanoma is confirmed by biopsy, extended resection of the primary lesion should be performed as soon as possible. The safe cutting edge during the extended resection should be decided according to the depth of tumor infiltration: (I) the safe cutting edge is 1 cm if the lesion thickness is ≤1.0 mm; (II) the safe cutting edge is 1−2 cm if the lesion thickness is 1.01−2.0 mm; (III) the safe cutting edge is 2 cm if the lesion thickness is >2 mm; and (IV) the safe cutting edge is 2 cm, as supported by new evidences, the lesion thickness is >4.0 mm. A European multicenter, randomized study included 936 melanoma patients with tumor thickness ≥2.0 mm, in whom extended resection with a cutting edge of 2 or 4 cm was performed; the results showed the overall 5-year survival rates were similar in both groups (46). These findings were similar to the previous studies (47-49). Systematic review and meta analysis also showed that a cutting edge of 2 cm is sufficient.

**Sentinel lymph node biopsy (SLNB)**

Andtbacka et al. (50-56) carried out retrospective analysis on seven relevant studies on SLNs and found that in melanoma patients with tumor thickness of <0.75 mm, the positive rate of SLN was only 2.7%; in patients with tumor thickness of 0.75−1.0 mm, the SN-positive rate was 6.2%. Therefore, SLNB is not recommended for stage IA or IB patients with a tumor thickness of ≤0.75 mm. For stage IA or IB patients with a tumor thickness of 0.75−1.0 mm and for patients with a tumor thickness of >1 mm, SLNB can be considered, which can be performed during en bloc resection or subsequently. However, the incidence of ulceration is above 60% among melanoma patients in China, and the prognoses of these patients are often poor. Thus, if a reliable invasion depth can not be detected due to the limitations of biopsy and pathological detection technology, SLNB is recommended in melanoma patients accompanied by ulceration. SLNB is helpful for N staging; lymph node dissection should be carried out promptly once positive SLNs are identified. According to a prospective study conducted by the Rotterdam Erasmus University Cancer Center (57), if the metastatic lesion in the SLN was ≤0.1 mm in diameter, the patients' long-term survivals showed no significant difference with SN-negative patients. Thus, they believed that patients with low tumor load in SN did not need to receive extended lymph node dissection. An ongoing international multicenter study MSLT-II will further validate the feasibility of this treatment strategy. However, before the publishing of these clinical findings, regional lymph node dissection is still needed in SN-positive cases.

**MSLT-I** is an international multicenter phase III study (58), aiming to evaluate the influence of SLNB on the survival of patients with early melanoma. It found that SLNB was a very important procedure for staging; compared with the extended resection alone for primary lesions, extended resection + SLNB did not increase the disease-related survival. Compared with the control group, the SLNB group had a higher relapse-free survival (increased by 7−10%). In a retrospective analysis targeting melanomas with a medium thickness (1.2−3.5 mm), SN-positive patients had more survival benefits than patients with clinically positive regional lymph nodes (56% vs. 41.5%, P=0.04). However, such survival benefit was not found in patients with a tumor thickness larger than 3.5 mm. In addition, among SN-positive patients, patients who received immediate lymph node dissection had significantly higher survival rate than those who received delayed procedure (72% vs. 52%).

However, the MSLT-I trial did not mention the clinical relevance of SLNB for melanoma with a tumor thickness of ≤1.2 mm. Since melanoma patients with small tumor thickness generally have good prognosis, the role of SLNB
has not been determined in these patients (59). A recent study (60) confirmed that melanoma patients with a tumor thickness of less than 0.75 mm had an SN-positive rate of about 2.7%; in contrast, this figure was 6.2% in melanoma patients with a tumor thickness of 0.75–1 mm. In a multicenter study enrolling 1,250 melanoma patients with a tumor thickness of <1 mm, melanoma patients with a tumor thickness of <0.75 mm had a SN-positive rate of less than 5%, which was independent of Clark level and ulceration status (61). However, the SN-positive rate increased in melanoma patients with small thickness but with at least one risk factor (ulceration Clark level IV, nodular growth, high MR, recurrence, or aged ≤40 years) (62). In melanoma patients with tumor thickness of ≤1 mm, the relationship between positive SLNs and survival is still disputed (63-67).

In addition to tumor thickness, other factors such as Clark level (61,63,64,66), MR (64,68), ulceration (61,69), lymphatic vessel invasion (66), VGP (70,71), and TIL (72-74) can also be used to predict the positive SLNs in patients with thin melanoma. However, some data remain controversial, and whether they can be used for predicting tumor recurrence requires further verification (75-77).

Lymph node dissection
Prophylactic lymph node dissection is not recommended. In patients with positive SLNs or in patients with radiologically or clinically confirmed regional lymph node metastasis (but without distant metastasis in stage III patients), regional lymph node dissection should be performed on the basis of extended resection. The bases of the involved lymph nodes must be completely removed, and at least ten lymph nodes in the groin and at least 15 lymph nodes in the neck and armpits must be dissected. In the groin area, if clinical examination shows that at least three superficial femoral lymph nodes are involved, iliac fossa and obturator lymph node dissection should be performed. If pelvic imaging indicates the presence of pelvic lymph node metastasis, or if intraoperative pathology of Cloquet’s node shows positive results, dissection of lymph nodes in iliac fossa and obturator should be performed (56,78).

Limb in-transit metastasis: a special type in stage III patients
Limb in-transit metastasis is manifested by the diffuse metastasis in skin, subcutaneous tissues, and soft tissues between primary lesion and regional lymph nodes. It can not be completely removed by surgery. Internationally, this type is mainly treated by ILP and ILI. ILI is a local treatment approach for the oxygen-free, low-flow infusion of chemotherapy drugs, during which arterial and venous cannulation was established to create chemotherapy pathway for infusing melphalan. It requires simple devices. Since 1992, the Sydney Melanoma Center had performed ILI in over 300 patients in ten years, and its response rate for stage III melanoma was about 80%, during which no treatment-related amputation or death was reported (79). The response rate was significantly higher in patients >70 years than in those below 70 years (91 % vs. 78%, P<0.05). A recent study showed that the complete response rate reached 31% in 128 patients who had received ILI (80). In another study on ILP, higher CR rate (63 %) was achieved, and meanwhile the 5-year survival reached 38% (81). Therefore, the evidence level is 2A concerning the application of ILP/ILI for treating limb in-transit metastasis.

Surgical resection may be considered in stage IV patients with solitary metastasis
Surgical resection is recommended for localized metastasis. In patients with solitary visceral metastasis, a second examination following a short observation can be considered. If there is no new metastatic lesion, surgery can be considered; the patients may be arranged to receive adjuvant therapy or enter a clinical trial after have been identified as in a tumor-free status after surgery. The SWOG9430 study found that, in patients with stage IV solitary metastasis, the postoperative median overall survival (OS) was up to 19 months and the 5-year survival rate was 20%, which was far more than the previously reported median OS (6–8 months) of patients with stage IV disease (82).

Adjuvant therapy
Postoperative prognosis varies based on the risk factors. Generally, the postoperative patients are divided into four categories according to risk factors including depth of invasion, ulceration, and lymph node metastases: (I) stage IA (low risk); (II) stage IB–IIA (intermediate risk); (III) stage IIIB–IIIA (high risk); (IV) stage IIIB–IV (extremely high risk). Low-risk patients may survive for a long period of time, and the 5-year survival rate is about 95%. The postoperative 5-year survival rate is about 80% in intermediate-risk patients and ranges 10–50% in high-risk and extremely-high-risk patients. Patients at different degrees of risk should choose different adjuvant treatment. For some special types of melanoma, the treatment should be tailored.
Low- and medium-dose interferon did not improve the survival when used as adjuvant treatment after the resection of high-risk melanoma. Some studies showed that it may increase the survival in relapse-free patients (83–87). The panel does not recommend the use of low- and medium-dose interferon for adjuvant treatment.

Low-risk patients
No relevant clinical trial has been conducted on low-risk melanoma patients. A retrospective study with 5-year follow-up showed that few melanoma patients with tumor thickness of <0.5 mm experienced recurrence or death. No adjuvant therapy is recommended for low-risk melanoma patients; rather, efforts should be made to prevent the occurrence of new primary lesion. Watchful waiting is recommended.

Medium- and high-risk patients
The risk of recurrence and metastasis remarkably increases in medium- and high-risk patients (exceeding 25%). Many clinical trials have been conducted in this field, which included melanoma vaccines (including whole-cell vaccines, dendritic cell vaccines, peptide vaccines, neural gangliosides vaccines, DNA vaccines, and viral vaccines), low- and medium-dose interferon, chemotherapy, bio-chemotherapy, and high-dose interferon; except for high-dose interferon α-2b, all the other treatment showed no significant difference when compared with placebo. Since many phase III randomized clinical studies have proved that high-dose interferon α-2b can prolong the recurrence-free survival (RFS) and OS, the US FDA approved the use of 1-year high-dose interferon α-2b (20 million IU/m² d₁−₅ ×4 w, 10 million IU/m² tiw ×48 w) as the adjuvant therapy for high-risk patients with recurrent melanoma. In 2011, FDA approved the use of long-acting interferon-α (for 5 years), as recommended treatment for high-risk melanoma patients. Patients with primary tumor ulcer are more likely to benefit from this new strategy. However, there is no experience of using this drug in Chinese patients.

Many randomized clinical trials have evaluated the efficacy of high-dose interferon (intravenous high-dose interferon in the first month, followed by subcutaneous injection of interferon for 11 months). The ECOG1684 study is a randomized trial that compared the efficacies of postoperative interferon α-2b vs. placebo in the treatment of stage IIB or III melanoma patients with in-transit metastasis or regional lymph node involvement (88). After 6.9 years of follow-up, the PFS and OS were significantly superior in the interferon α-2b group. After 12.6 years of follow-up, although the PFS was significantly better in interferon α-2b group, the OS showed no significant difference between interferon α-2b group and placebo group. In another follow-up trial (ECOG1690) with longer follow-up period, the high-dose interferon α-2b group also had longer PFS, although the OS showed no significant superiority (89). The E1694 trial compared the efficacies of interferon α-2b and GM2-KLH21 vaccine; during the nearly 2-year follow-up, the PFS and OS were significantly superior in the interferon α-2b group than in the GM2-KLH21 vaccine group.

Another study (E1697) evaluated the role of high-dose interferon in the adjuvant therapy. Totally 1,150 cutaneous melanoma patients (T3/any T, N1a–N2a) (90) were intravenously administered with high-dose interferon for 1 month; compared with the placebo group, there was no PFS and OS benefits in the high-dose interferon group. In another phase II clinical study designed to assess the role of the maintenance doses of interferon, 194 patients were randomly divided into two groups: in the maintenance group, intravenous high-dose interferon was applied in the first month, followed by subcutaneous injection of interferon for 11 months; in the control group, patients only received intravenous high-dose interferon in the first month. Although the 2-year relapse-free survival was similar in these two groups, the maintenance group had significantly longer 1-year OS than the control group (41.5 months vs. unreached endpoint; P=0.05) (91).

The EORTC18991 study (92) enrolled 1,256 stage III patients; after the surgery, these patients were randomized into long-acting interferon-α group and watchful observation group (for 5 years). The 5-year relapse-free survival rate was higher in the long-acting interferon-α group than in the watchful observation group (45.6% vs. 38.9%), but the OS showed no significant difference. Thus, in 2011, the US FDA approved the use of long-acting interferon-α in the adjuvant therapy of melanoma patients with lymph node involvement. Two recent large-scale phase III randomized study (EORTC1892 and EORTC18991) indicated that, after the adjuvant therapy with interferon-α, the relapse rate and mortality rate were significantly lower in primary melanoma patients with ulceration than those without ulceration, suggesting the former are more likely to benefit from interferon-α. However, its underlying clinical or biological mechanisms remain unclear (93).

Several meta analyses on the adjuvant therapy with interferon-α have shown that high-dose interferon-α can
prolong relapse-free survival, although it has no definite influence on OS (94-96). One analysis reported that, 4 of 14 studies on adjuvant therapy with interferon indicated that OS was significantly improved in the interferon group, while the other studies did not find any advantage of interferon in improving OS (95,96). The panel believes that adjuvant therapy with interferon can prolong the relapse-free survival, although its influence on OS requires further investigations. The clinical use of interferon must be based on patients’ specific conditions and treatment willingness.

**Extremely-high-risk patients**

The adjuvant therapy for extremely-high-risk patients is still under investigation. While no standard treatment protocol has been available, high-dose interferon α-2b is still the mainstream treatment, which is similar to that in medium- and high-risk patients.

**Recommended interferon dosage for Chinese melanoma patients**

The standard dosage of interferon α-2b used in developed countries (20 million IU/m^2 d1−5 × 4 w, 10 million IU/m^2 tiw × 48 w; for one year) can be applied. In 2011, the *European Journal of Cancer* (*EJC*) published the results of a study on the use of high-dose interferon α-2b in 147 Chinese patients with melanoma; for extremely-high-risk stage IIIB–IIIC acral melanoma patients with the number of metastatic lymph nodes ≥3, the 1-year protocol (15 million IU/m^2 d1−5 × 4 w + 9 million IU tiw × 48 w) can be applied; for stage IIB–IIIA high-risk acral melanoma patients, the 1-month protocol (15 million IU/m^2 d1−5 × 4 w) may be applied (97).

**Adjuvant radiotherapy**

Melanoma generally is less sensitive to radiotherapy. In some special cases, however, radiotherapy remains an important treatment approach. The adjuvant radiotherapy of melanoma is mainly applied for lymph node dissection and for the postoperative complementary therapies of some head and neck melanomas (especially the nose melanoma), with an attempt to further increase local control rate. However, some contents in this part still lack evidences from Chinese literature.

For patients with risk factors, radiotherapy has certain role in controlling lymph node recurrence. Agrawal *et al.* (98) conducted the largest retrospective study on postoperative radiotherapy, in which they assessed 615 patients in terms of lymph node number, size, location, and extranodal invasion, so as to identify patients at high risk of regional lymph node recurrence. During the 5-year follow-up, local recurrence was noted in only 10.2% of patients who had received radiotherapy; in contrast, the local recurrence rate was up to 40.6% in patients who had not received radiotherapy. Multivariate analysis showed adjuvant radiotherapy could improve the local control rate (P<0.0001). Notably, radiotherapy-related diseases (in particular lymphedema) significant increased (5-year incidence: 20% vs. 13%, P=0.004). In an Australia phase III study (99), 250 patients who had undergone lymph node dissection but experienced solitary lymph node relapse were randomly arranged to receive the lymph node radiotherapy or observation. The LDH of these patients was lower than 1.5x UNL and meanwhile must meet the following conditions: parotid lymph nodes ≥1, or neck (or armpit) metastatic lymph nodes ≥2, or inguinal metastatic lymph nodes ≥3, or neck (or armpit) lymph node metastasis maximum diameter ≥3 cm, or inguinal lymph node metastasis maximum diameter ≥4 cm, or extranodal invasion. The results showed that the regional lymph node recurrence rate remarkably decreased in the adjuvant radiotherapy group (HR: 0.56; 95% CI: 0.32–0.98; P=0.041); however, the OS might be poor in the radiotherapy group (HR: 1.37; 95% CI: 0.94–2.01; P=0.12) and the local adverse reactions significantly increased (P=0.035) (100). Some other clinical studies compared the specific postoperative radiotherapy schedules (101-103), and the results showed that high-dose hypofraction radiotherapy was almost equally efficacious as the conventional radiotherapy.

The panel recognizes that adjuvant radiotherapy has certain value in increasing the local control rate; however, the radiotherapy-related adverse reactions and its possible effects, as shown in some studies, on lowering the OS make the application of adjuvant radiotherapy remain controversial.

**Systemic treatment of unresectable stage III or metastatic melanoma**

Metastatic melanoma has poor prognosis. It is estimated that the median survival is 15 months for stage M1a, 8 months for stage M1b, 6 months for bone metastasis, and 4 months for liver/brain metastasis; the overall median survival was 7.5 months, the 2-year survival rate was 15%, and the 5-year survival rate was about 5% (104). For unresectable stage III or metastatic melanoma,
systemic treatment (mainly medical treatment) or clinical trial is generally recommended. Systemic treatment options include the PD-1 monoclonal antibodies, CTLA-4 monoclonal antibodies, BRAFV600 inhibitors, CKIT inhibitors, MEK inhibitors, high-dose IL-2, and chemotherapy. In recent years, notable breakthroughs have been made in the treatment of advanced melanoma. Personalized targeted therapies and targeted immune therapies are the mainstream options. In China, chemotherapy drugs remain the important treatment approaches for advanced melanoma.

Chemotherapy drugs

Dacarbazine (DTIC)
Since 1972, DTIC has been the only chemotherapy drug approved by US FDA for treating advanced melanoma. DTIC is an alkylating agent; by connecting special parts of the DNA, it can inhibit cell division and thus cause cell death. DTIC is a drug precursor and be converted into an active compound 5-(3-methyl-1-triazeno) imidazole-4-carboxamide (MTIC) in liver. This drug is intravenously administered. Since 1992, a number of randomized clinical trials (105-110) used DTIC as control groups, and over 1,000 patients have received DTIC treatment, among whom the overall response rate was 13.4%, and few patients achieved complete remission (<5%); the median survival was 5.6–11 months. The common protocols included: 200–250 mg/m$^2$ d$_{1-5}$, repeated every three weeks; or, 800–1,000 mg/m$^2$ d$_{1}$, repeated every three weeks.

Temozolomide (TMZ)
TMZ, as a DTIC analogue, is an oral chemotherapy drug. It is converted into MTIC in vivo. Unlike DTIC, TMZ is not metabolized in the liver. TMZ can cross the blood-brain barrier (BBB), and its concentration in cerebrospinal fluid is 28–30% of that in plasma. This is particularly valuable for melanoma because the rate of brain metastases is more than 50% in the autopsy studies of melanoma patients. TMZ was initially approved for the treatment of high-grade malignant glioma; however, it can also be useful for melanoma. A European large-scale phase III clinical study (106) compared the roles of TMZ [250 mg/(m$^2$·d), for 5 consecutive days, repeated every 4 weeks] and DTIC [200 mg/(m$^2$·d), for 5 consecutive days, repeated every 3 weeks] in treating chemotherapy-naive patients. Totally 305 patients with advanced metastatic melanoma were enrolled. The results showed that the TMZ group had higher response rate than that in DTIC group (12.2% vs. 9.4%, P=0.43) and longer PFS (1.74 vs. 1.38 months, P=0.002), whereas the OS was comparable between these two groups (7.7 vs. 6.4 months, P=0.2). Although this study did not reach its initial assumptions, the efficacy of TMZ was at least comparable with that of DTIC. The most common nonhematologic toxicities were nausea (52%), vomiting (34%), pain (34%), constipation (30%), headache (22%), and fatigue (20%). The majority of adverse reactions was mild to moderate and can be controlled. Thrombocytopenia was seen in 9% of patients in both groups; specifically, grade 3/4 thrombocytopenia was seen in 7% of patients in TMZ group and in 8% of patients in DTIC group. Treatment discontinued in 3% of patients in TMZ group and in 5% of patients in DTIC group due to bone marrow suppression. The quality of life was better in the TMZ group. In the phase III trial (E18032 trial) enrolling 859 patients (111), the modified TMZ group (150 mg/m$^2$ d$_{1-5}$q2w) was compared with the DTIC group (1,000 mg/m$^2$ q21d); the former had significant higher response rate (14.5% vs. 9.8%, P=0.05), although the PFS and OS showed no significant difference. Since TMZ can cross the BBB, many clinical trials have evaluated the role of TMZ in treating brain metastasis. In a study published in 2007 (112), totally 179 treatment-naive patients with advanced melanoma were enrolled, among whom 52 had brain metastasis. The results showed that in patients who responded to systematic TMZ treatment, the median progression time of brain lesion was 7 months (range, 2–15 months), and the median survival of patients with brain metastasis was 5.6 months. Thus, this study demonstrated that TMZ had sustained effectiveness in controlling brain metastasis; in most patients with small brain metastases, radiotherapy may be postponed or may not be required. In a phase II clinical study published in 2006 (113), 117 patients with brain metastases received TMZ (200 mg/m$^2$ for 5 consecutive days, repeated 28 days, for 1 year or until unable to tolerate) as first-line treatment, among whom 25% had more than four metastatic lesions. The results showed that the overall response rate was 7% (CR in 1 case and PR in 7 cases), SD 29%, and median PFS 3.5 months. There are also many studies on the roles of TMZ combined with other drugs (mainly, the combinations of TMZ with interferon or thalidomide) (114). Notably, many clinical trials on the combination with thalidomide were terminated prematurely because such combination increased the risk of developing blood clots. Currently, the combination of TMZ with thalidomide has been forbidden in the treatment of brain metastases of melanoma patients at high risk of thrombosis.

Platinum-based anti-tumor drugs
Platinum-based anti-tumor drugs have also shown certain
efficacies in treating melanoma. The cisplatin monotherapy can achieve a response rate of 10−20%; however, the duration of treatment effectiveness is relatively short, lasting only 3 months. Generally, a dose of <80 mg/m² will decrease the response rate, whereas a dose of ≥150 mg/m² does not increase the response rate. The common toxicities include kidney toxicity, ear toxicity, neurotoxicity, vomiting, and bone marrow toxicity. Three phase II clinical trials (115-117) investigated the efficacies of carboplatin in treating metastatic melanoma and found that the response rate was similar between carboplatin and cisplatin. The main toxicity of carboplatin is bone marrow suppression, and the dose-limiting toxicity is thrombocytopenia.

**Taxanes**

The taxane compounds include paclitaxel, a diterpene compound extracted from taxus plants, and docetaxel, a compound extracted and synthesized from the needles of European yew tree. As a novel anti-microtubule agent, paclitaxel can maintain the stability of tubulin and suppress mitosis by inhibiting polymerization of tubulin to form microtubules. Many phase I/II clinical trials have explored the roles of taxanes in the treatment of advanced melanoma (118-123). It was found that paclitaxel monotherapy could achieve a response rate of 12−30%. The common protocols include: 175 mg/m², every 3 weeks; or 90 mg/m², weekly. The common toxicities include neutropenia, neurotoxicity, and fatigue.

**Albumin-bound paclitaxel (nab-paclitaxel)**

Nab-paclitaxel, a novel nano-particle encapsulated paclitaxel, is a novel anti-tumor compound. It uses soluble human albumin to coat paclitaxel and deliver the drug into tumor cells. Tumor cells secrete an SPARC protein to harvest protein in cellular junctions. The nano-particles of nab-paclitaxel are then bound to tumor cells via the SPARC protein and finally enter the tumor cells, releasing cytotoxic drugs to kill tumor cells. This design helps to avoid the potential safety problems associated with the use of polyoxyethylene castor oil as solvent during the administration of conventional paclitaxel. Meanwhile, it also improves the distribution of paclitaxel in human body, enhances the unique activities of the drug when targeting and penetrating the tumor tissue. It makes the drug highly concentrated in tumor tissue and reduces its retention in blood. As a result, nab-paclitaxel can achieve better efficacy and have less impact on normal tissues. The standard dosage of nab-paclitaxel is as follows: 260 mg/m², repeated every three weeks; optimized protocol: 100−150 mg/m², once weekly. A multicenter randomized phase III clinical trial (124) compared the safety and effectiveness of nab-paclitaxel (Abraxane) vs. chemotherapy drug DTIC in treatment-naive patients with stage IV metastatic melanoma. Totally 529 patients randomly received Abraxane (150 mg/m², once weekly, for 3 consecutive weeks, repeated every 4 weeks) (n=264) or DTIC (1,000 mg/m², once every 3 weeks) (n=265). Among these treatment-naive patients with metastatic melanoma, Abraxane significantly increased median PFS (4.8 vs. 2.5 months; HR: 0.792; 95% CI: 0.631-0.992; P=0.044); however, the OS showed no significant difference between these two groups (12.8 vs. 10.7 months, P=0.09). In the Abraxane group, toxicities with an incidence of ≥10% included neurotoxicity (25% vs. 0%) and decreased neutrophils (20% vs. 10%). In the Abraxane group, the median time required for improvement in neuropathy was 28 days.

**Nitrosoureas**

With the structure of P-chloro-ethyl nitrosourea, nitrosoureas have a broad spectrum of antitumor activity. These drugs have strong lipophilicity and can easily cross the BBB to enter cerebrospinal fluid. Thus, they have been widely applied in the treatment of brain tumors and other tumors of the central nervous system. Its main side effect is the delayed and cumulative myelosuppression. The most widely used nitrosourea is fotemustine; in Europe it has been approved for the treatment of metastatic melanoma. Many clinical trials have shown that it can achieve a response rate of about 22%. In addition, the lipid-soluble fotemustine has been confirmed to be effective for 25% of brain metastases. In a phase III clinical trial (107) comparing fotemustine (100 mg/m² every week, for 3 weeks) and DTIC (250 mg/m² daily, for 5 consecutive days, repeated every 4 weeks), 229 patients with advanced melanoma were enrolled. The responsive rate was 15.2% in fotemustine group and 6.8% in DTIC group (P=0.053). The median control duration of brain metastasis was 22.7 months in fotemustine group and 7.2 months in DTIC group. The main toxicities included delayed myelosuppression and gastrointestinal toxicities.

**Personalized targeted therapies**

**Imatinib (Kit inhibitor)**

The largest clinical study on drugs against KIT mutation was a phase II clinical trial conducted in China (125). Totally 43 advanced melanoma patients with KIT gene mutation or amplification from multiple centers across China received imatinib therapy; the results showed that the 6-month PFS rate was 36.6%, and the median PFS was 3.5 months.
Compared with patients with other exon mutations, patients with mutation in exon 11 or 13 had longer median PFS; in addition, patients with multiple \( \text{CKIT} \) variations had longer PFS than those with single \( \text{CKIT} \) variation (but without significant difference). Among these patients, 10 patients (23.3\%) achieved PR, 13 patients (30.2\%) achieved SD, whereas 20 were found to have progressive disease (PD). Although it was not as effective as \( \text{BRAF}^{V600E} \) inhibitors, this drug was found to be more promising when compared with other factors that still lack definite efficacies: the 1-year survival rate reached 51\% and the median OS was 15 months, which was significantly different from that in PD patients (P=0.036). Therefore, the panel recommends the use of imatinib in advanced melanoma patients with \( \text{CKIT} \) mutation or amplification (category 2).

**\( \text{BRAF}^{V600E} \) inhibitors and MEK inhibitors**

About half of Caucasians with metastatic melanoma have intracellular \( \text{BRAF} \) gene mutation (126). Vemurafenib is a specific inhibitor of \( \text{BRAF} \) gene mutation (127). In a phase III randomized clinical trial, 675 treatment-naive metastatic melanoma patients with \( \text{BRAF}^{V600E} \) gene mutation were randomized into vemurafenib group and DTIC group (128). Compared with DTIC, vemurafenib could significantly prolong OS and PFS (risk ratio of death: 0.37; risk ratio of death or disease progression: 0.26; P<0.001). The 6-month survival rate was 84\% and 64\%, respectively, in these two groups. Skin complications were the most common adverse reactions in the vemurafenib group, in which 18\% of patients developed squamous cell carcinoma of the skin or keratosis acanthoma and thus required surgical resection; in addition, 12\% of patients suffered from grade 2–3 skin photosensitive reactions. Joint pain is the most common non-skin adverse reaction (21\%). Based on this study, the US FDA approved the use of vemurafenib for treating metastatic or unresectable melanoma with \( \text{BRAF}^{V600E} \) gene mutation in August 2011. According to a study participated by 132 non-treatment-naive patients, vemurafenib achieved an OS rate of 53\% and a median survival of 15.9 months (129). Secondary skin lesions were seen in 26\% of patients.

Two other \( \text{BRAF} \) inhibitors have also been approved by the US FDA. A phase III clinical trial (130) compared the roles of dabrafenib and DTIC in treating melanoma patients with \( \text{BRAF}^{V600E} \) mutation. Totally 250 patients with stage IV melanoma or with unresectable stage III melanoma were enrolled in this study, with the primary endpoint being PFS. The results showed that the PFS was 5.1 months in dabrafenib group and 2.7 months in DTIC group (HR: 0.3; 95\% CI: 0.18–0.51; P<0.001). In patients treated with dabrafenib, the incidence of grade 2 or higher toxicities was 53\%, whereas grade 3–4 toxicities were rare. The most common adverse reactions were adverse skin reactions, fever, fatigue, joint pain, and headache. Compared with vemurafenib, dabrafenib-associated cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma or keratosis acanthoma were less seen. In contrast, fever was more common (11\%). During the treatment of 172 patients with \( \text{BRAF}-\text{mutant melanoma with brain metastasis} \) (131), the response rate was 39\% in treatment-naive patients and 31\% in non-treatment-naive patients.

In the MAP signaling pathway, MEK1 and MEK2 are located in the downstream of \( \text{BRAF} \) gene. Trametinib is an orally administered inhibitor of MEK1 and MEK2. In a phase III randomized clinical trial, 322 metastatic melanoma patients with \( \text{BRAF}^{V600E/K} \) gene mutation were randomized into trametinib group and chemotherapy group (132). Compared with the chemotherapy group, the trametinib group had significantly improved PFS (4.8 vs. 1.5 months; HR: 0.45; 95\% CI: 0.33–0.63; P<0.001) and 6-month OS rate (81\% vs. 67\%; HR: 0.54; 95\% CI: 0.32–0.92; P<0.01). The most common adverse reactions were adverse skin reactions, diarrhea, and peripheral edema. Unlike \( \text{BRAF} \) inhibitors, trametinib is associated with fewer secondary skin lesions. In a phase II clinical trial, the objective response rate was lower in trametinib group than in \( \text{BRAF} \) inhibitor group (133). Compared with \( \text{BRAF} \) inhibitor, trametinib achieved lower response rate in treatment-naive patients (22\% vs. 48–50\%) (128,133,134).

**Combined targeted therapy**

Although \( \text{BRAF}^{V600E} \) inhibitors can achieve relatively high initial response rates, about half of patients who are using \( \text{BRAF}^{V600E} \) inhibitor monotherapy will experience PD within 6 months. A phase III clinical trial enrolled 247 cases of \( \text{BRAF}^{V600E} \)-mutant patients with advanced melanoma and evaluated the safety and efficacy of the combination of \( \text{BRAF} \) inhibitor and MEK inhibitor (135). Patients were randomized into two groups: dabrafenib monotherapy group and dabrafenib + trametinib group. The results showed that the response rate (76\% vs. 54\%, P=0.03) and PFS (9.4 vs. 5.8 months; HR: 0.39; 95\% CI: 0.25–0.62; P<0.001) were significantly superior in dabrafenib + trametinib group than in dabrafenib monotherapy group. In addition, the incidence of secondary cutaneous squamous cell carcinoma significantly reduced (7\% vs. 19\%), although the proportion of fever dramatically increased (71\% vs. 48\%).
26%) in the combination group. In the 2015 ASCO annual meeting, the results of coBRIM study, which investigated the values of vemurafenib + MEK inhibitor (cibimetinib), was updated (136): till January 2015, after 14 months of follow-up, the PFS was 7.2 months in the vemurafenib + placebo group and 12.3 months in the vemurafenib + cibimetinib group, indicating that the combination group had significantly lower risk of progression. Vemurafenib, dabrafenib, and trametinib have not been licensed in China. However, the BRAF<sup>V600E</sup> variation rate approaches 26% among Chinese melanoma patients (11); although it is not as high as in Caucasians (about 50%), it is still meaningful for the management of Chinese melanoma patients. Therefore, in these guidelines we recommend the use of these drugs for BRAF<sup>V600E</sup>-mutant patients (category 1).

**Immunotherapy/immune targeted therapy**

**CTLA-4 monoclonal antibody (ipilimumab, Ipi)**

In a phase III study on treatment-naive patients, both Ipi monotherapy group and Ipi + DTIC group had significantly higher OS than the control group. Among the non-treatment-naive patients, the OS was 10.1 months in Ipi group and was only 6.5 months in the control group (administered with gp100 vaccine only) (P=0.003) (137). Among the treatment-naive patients, the Ipi group also had significantly increased OS than the control (DTIC) group (11.2 vs. 9.1 months, P<0.001) (138). Notably, Ipi will cause severe immune-mediated toxicities. Therefore, special caution must be taken during its usage, and any possible toxicity must be closely observed. Ipi has not been licensed in China.

**PD-1 monoclonal antibodies (pembrolizumab and nivolumab)**

The US FDA has approved the use of PD-1 monoclonal antibody as second-line treatment in Ipi- and BRAF inhibitor-resistant melanoma patients. The panel believes that pembrolizumab and nivolumab have higher response rates than lillimubum and have fewer side effects; thus, these two drugs should be considered in the first-line treatment. In a large phase I clinical trial, pembrolizumab achieved an overall response rate of 38%, although the median duration has not been reached (139). In patients who experienced PD despite Ipi treatment, the use of pembrolizumab achieved an overall response rate of 38%, although the median duration has not been reached (140). In another large-scale phase III clinical trial targeting treatment-naive patients with a wild-type BRAF genotype, the 1-year survival rate (73% vs. 42%), median PFS (5.1 vs. 2.2 months), and ORR (40% vs. 14%) were significantly superior in nivolumab group than in DTIC group (141). Both pembrolizumab and nivolumab can cause immune-mediated toxicities; although grade 3/4 toxicities are fewer than those treated with Ipi, close monitoring is still needed. The common adverse events (incidence >20%) include nausea, skin rashes, itching, coughing, diarrhea, decreased appetite, constipation, and joint pain. When severe immune-mediated pneumonia, colitis, hepatitis, hypophysitis, nephritis, and/or thyroid dysfunction occur, steroid therapy may be considered. For patients with a history of hypophysitis following the use of Ipi, hormone replacement therapy should be applied firstly before the initiation of pembrolizumab treatment.

**CTLA-4 monoclonal antibody + PD-1 monoclonal antibody**

In the 2015 ASCO annual meeting, the results of a clinical trial on the combination of PD-1 monoclonal antibody (nivolumab) and CTLA-4 monoclonal antibody (Ipi) were reported. Totally 142 patients with advanced or unresectable melanoma were randomized (at a ratio of 2:1) into Ipi (3 mg/kg, q3w ×4 w) + nivolumab (1 mg/kg), followed by maintenance treatment with nivolumab (3 mg/kg, every two weeks) or Ipi (3 mg/kg q3w ×4 w) + placebo (every two weeks). The primary endpoint was ORR, and the secondary endpoint was PFS. It was found that the ORR was 60% in the combination group and 11% in monotherapy group; in addition, the complete response rate was 12% and 0% and the PFS was 8.9 and 4.7 months in these two groups, respectively (P=0.0012). Subgroup analysis showed patients with poorer prognosis benefited more from combination therapy: The response rate was 53% vs. 0% and 62% vs. 25% in patients with elevated LDH and in stage M1c patients. Unfortunately, the grade 3/4 toxicities significantly increased in the combination group (51% vs. 20%). Except that patients with endocrine diseases needed additional replacement treatment, these toxicities can be improved by immunosuppressants (e.g., prednisone). In addition, the efficacy was similar in the combination and monotherapy groups among patients with high programmed cell death receptor ligand-1 (PD-L1) expression; in contrast, the combination group had significantly higher efficacy than monotherapy group among patients with low PD-L1 expression.

**Interleukin-2 (IL-2)**

IL-2 has complex biological effects. It mainly exerts its anti-tumor effect by enhancing CTL and NK cell lysis. As shown in some foreign studies (142-145), high-dose IL treatment (600,000-720,000 IU/kg, intravenous injection,
repeated every 8 hours, 8−14 injections per cycle, with two cycles in each treatment course) attained a CR rate of 6% and a PR rate of 16−20%, with a median duration of efficacy of 8.9 months. Up to 44% of patients who had responded to the treatment remained alive in the 6th year. However, the majority of patients could not tolerate such high dose, and the dosage was reduced in most patients. Intravenously infused high-dose IL is more toxic than subcutaneously or intravenously injected low-dose IL; under the same dose, continuous infusion is more toxic than dripping.

IL-2 treatment can be accompanied by flu-like symptoms such as fever, chills, muscle pain and fatigue; it specific toxicity is capillary leak syndrome, which is manifested as systemic edema, weight gain, pulmonary edema, pleural effusion, and ascites. Capillary leak syndrome-associated hypovolemia may cause reduced blood perfusion in kidney, gastrointestinal tract, heart, and brain, resulting in oliguria, ischemia, and ultimately dysfunction of the body. Due to the significant toxicity of high-dose IL-2, lower doses of IL-2 have been used with an attempt to reduce toxicity. However, although the toxicity was lowered after dose reduction, the response rate (often below 5%) and duration of efficacy often dropped accordingly. In a Chinese phase II clinical trial that treated advanced melanoma with recombinant human IL-2 (146), Chinese patients with advanced melanoma received high-dose IL-2 treatment, with ORR being 8.3%, PFS <2 months, PR 8.3%, CR 0, which were significantly lower than those reported in foreign literature. Although FDA has approved the use of IL-2 for the treatment of metastatic melanoma, the optimal dose and efficacy-predicting factors remain unclear and need to be addressed in multicenter randomized controlled trials.

Anti-angiogenic targeted therapy
Recombinant human endostatin injection (endostar)
As the most effective angiogenesis inhibitor ever known, endostatin can specifically inhibit endothelial cell proliferation and markedly suppress tumor growth and metastasis. However, endostatin is a protein preparation, with high costs and unstable nature. Excitingly, based on Folkman’s idea and previous basic research, Professor Luo et al. synthesized the recombinant human vascular endostatin, which successfully resolved many technical problems (e.g., protein refolding) and enabled the commercialized production and clinical application of recombinant human endostatin. Endostatin monotherapy has anti-tumor activity; in addition, it can exert remarkable synergistic effects when used in combination with the conventional chemotherapy and radiotherapy. In a multicenter, double-blind, randomized phase II clinical trial conducted in Chinese stage IIIc or IV melanoma patients who were treated with recombinant human vascular endostatin (endostar) or placebo + DTIC in the first-line treatment (147), totally 110 patients were equally randomized into group A (DTIC 250 mg/m² d1−5 + placebo d1−14) and group B (DTIC 250 mg/m² d1−5 + endostar 7.5 mg/m² d1−14), with 21 days as one cycle. Among these 110 patients, 0.9% was in stage M1a, 32.1% in stage M1a, 44.6% in stage M1b, and 23.2% in stage M1c. In group A and group B, the objective response rate was 3.7% vs. 8.9% and the disease control rate (DCR) was 33.3% vs. 53.6% (P=0.051). The median PFS was 1.5 vs. 4.5 months (HR: 0.58; 95% CI: 0.38−0.89; P=0.013). The median OS was 8.0 vs. 12.0 months (HR: 0.52; 95% CI: 0.33−0.82; P=0.005). The 1-year survival rate was 22.5% vs. 49.7%, and the 2-year survival rate was 14.3% vs. 22.2%. These two groups had similar toxicities, and the overall treatment tolerance was good. Thus, compared with DTIC monotherapy, endostar + DTIC as the first-line treatment could significantly improve PFS and OS in patients with advanced melanoma, with good treatment tolerance. Thus, the panel recommends the use of this combination in the first-line treatment of advanced melanoma.

Bevacizumab
Bevacizumab is a recombinant humanized monoclonal IgG1 antibody that can selectively bind with vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF-A) and prevent its binding with endothelial cell surface receptors (Flt-1 and KDR); by doing so, it can reduce the bioactivity of VEGF and decrease tumor angiogenesis. As a result, many small tumor blood vessels can be rapidly removed and degraded. Bevacizumab can also lead to the closing the endothelial windows and cell-cell junctions that increase the VEGF-dependent vascular permeability and thus decrease vascular permeability; thus, it can lower the pressure inside tumor tissue and thus facilitate the delivery of chemotherapy drugs into tumor tissue. Studies show that melanomas in later stages have more angiogenic factors and richer blood supply. These findings support the application of bevacizumab in treating melanoma. Several phase II clinical trials (148−150) reported the efficacies of bevacizumab-based combination regimens. In the BEAM study, 214 treatment-naive patients were randomized (at a ratio of 2:1) into paclitaxel + carboplatin + bevacizumab group or paclitaxel + carboplatin + placebo group; the results showed that
paclitaxel + carboplatin + bevacizumab group had longer PFS (5.6 vs. 4.2 months; HR: 0.78; P=0.16) and OS (12.3 vs. 9.2 months; HR: 0.79; P=0.19), although the differences were not statistically significant. In another phase II clinical trial, the 2-week bevacizumab protocol in combination with carboplatin + paclitaxel (weekly) was applied in 53 patients seeking retreatment; the results showed that the median PFS and OS were 6 and 12 months, respectively. However, the incidences of ≥3 grade hematologic toxicities remarkably increased: neutrophils decreased by up to 53%, white blood cells by 38%, and platelets by 11%. Up to 31 patients suffered from over 40 bleeding events, including grade 2 bronchopulmonary bleeding disorders and fatal central nervous system bleeding in two cases.

Management of melanoma metastases at special locations

Management of the liver metastasis of melanoma

Liver metastasis may occur in 50–80% of patients with advanced melanoma, in particular in those with melanoma arising from mucosal tissues such as choroid, nose, and rectum. The efficacy of systemic chemotherapy is poor; once liver metastasis occurs, there is limited chance for treatment and the prognosis is extremely poor. The median survival is 2–6 months and the 1-year survival rate is 13% even after active treatment. The degree of hepatic metastases progression often determines the survival of patients. Its impact on survival is even larger than the primary tumor or metastases to other organs. The application of transcatheter arterial chemoembolization for liver metastasis from melanoma was first reported in 1988. Compared with systemic chemotherapy, hepatic arterial infusion chemotherapy (HAIC) can achieve higher drug concentration in tumor tissue and reduce the toxicities (e.g., bone marrow suppression) of systemic drugs. In a retrospective study on the hepatic metastasis of ocular melanoma reported by the MD Anderson Cancer Center, USA (151), the efficacies of systemic chemotherapy, arterial infusion chemotherapy, and HAIC were compared; it was found that the response rate of systemic chemotherapy was below 1%, whereas platinum-based arterial infusion chemotherapy is the only therapeutic approach that can improve the survival (with a response rate of 36%), which was significantly superior to the other two therapeutic approaches. A domestic study (152) showed that HAIC could achieve a local control rate of 50% for liver metastasis.

Management of the brain metastasis of melanoma

Brain metastasis is also common in melanoma patients. It has been reported that (153) the incidence of brain metastasis of malignant melanoma (BMM) is 8–46%, whereas autopsy has shown that two thirds 2/3 of melanoma patients had brain metastasis. BMM is the end stage of melanoma; the disease progresses rapidly and often is the main cause of death. Surgical resection remains an important treatment for BMM. The reported median survivals after surgery ranged 5–6.7 months. Although surgical treatment is effective, its indications are quite limited. It is believed that surgical treatment is only suitable for solitary BMM; for multiple tumors, however, surgical treatment has limited value. Surgery itself has a certain degree of risk; furthermore, the spread of tumor to meninges and cerebral ventricle system following surgical resection makes the condition even more challenging. Increased intracranial pressure as well as obstructive hydrocephalus and difficult-to-control epilepsy caused by solitary and large space-occupying mass should be treated with surgery. Postoperative radiotherapy is recommended to timely kill the residual lesion and any subclinical foci that may already spread. Radiotherapy is generally recommended for multiple brain metastases. No effective treatment has been available for multiple diffuse BMM. Whole-brain radiotherapy (WBRT) remains a treatment in clinical settings. Literature in the past decades has shown that the median survival after WBRT was only 2–4.4 months, with few cases being reported. Stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS), also known as Gamma knife), was formally introduced in clinical practice in 1960s and has become widely applied since 1990s. Using a stereotactic technique, SRS projects a single large dose of rays onto the clearly defined intracranial lesion, making the lesion exposed to high-dose irradiation, whereas the radiation on normal tissue around the lesion dramatically decreases. Similar to surgical treatment, SRS also can control disease condition and improve neurological symptoms in patients with solitary or a small number of brain metastases. The main advantages of SRS include: minimally invasive; easy to perform; well tolerated by patients; and with relatively few complications. Therefore, SRS has wider indications than surgery for brain tumors. In addition, SRS is often used in combination with surgery and conventional radiotherapy and thus serves as an effective approach for patients with multiple metastases with varied sizes at different locations. Xie et al. (154) retrospectively analyzed the clinical data of 30 patients with brain metastases of melanoma who were treated in the Gamma Knife Center of Air Force General Hospital from 2006 to 2010 and found that, after a mean
follow-up period of 15.5 months, the OS rate was 53.3%, the 12-month OS rate was 20%, and the median survival was 6.25 months.

**Management of the bone metastasis of melanoma**
The management of the bone metastasis of melanoma is similar to the management of the bone metastasis of other tumors. The treatment is mainly based on the metastasis location (weight-bearing bone or not) and symptoms, and the main treatment goal is to reduce the incidence of bone events and relieve the pain. Isolated bone metastases can be treated with surgery, followed by local radiotherapy if appropriate. In addition to systemic treatment, patients with multiple bone metastases should also be provided with local therapies including surgery, bone cement, and local radiotherapy. Routine use of bisphosphonates may reduce incidence of bone events. Pain drugs may be added in patients with pain. The treatment protocol for patients with spinal cord compression depends on the general status of the patients. Surgical decompression and postoperative radiotherapy may be applied in patients with relatively good prognosis and low tumor load; radiotherapy alone, however, may be considered in patients with poor general conditions. Radiotherapy is often indicated for relieving bone pain and for treatment after internal fixation.

**Note**
The recommendations proposed in these guidelines are just for reference. The specific individualized treatment must be determined based on the clinicians’ judgment and other factors including the local health care conditions, professional level as well as patients’ needs, desires, and expectations.

**Flow chart of the management of mucosal melanoma (MM)**
Flow charts of the management of MM were shown in Tables 13, 14.

**Head and neck mucosal melanomas (MMs)**

**Epidemiology**
MM is a race-related disease, with its incidence remarkably higher in colored populations than in Caucasians. Head and neck MMs account for 25–30% of all MMs. Nasal cavity, paranasal sinuses, and nasopharyngeal areas are the most commonly involved areas, and MMs in these areas account for 0.3–2% of all malignant melanoma, 3.5–7% of nasal, paranasal, and nasopharyngeal tumors, and 70–80% of head and neck MMs (155,156).

Primary nasal, paranasal, and nasopharyngeal malignant melanomas arise from ectoderm and are transformed from melanocytes in the respiratory mucosa; nasal cavity is the most common onset site, followed by maxillary sinus, ethmoid sinus, and sphenoid sinus. Malignant melanoma of the nasal cavity is more likely to occur in individuals aged 50–80 years (mean 65 years), with a male/female ratio of 1:1–2:1 (155).

Most head and neck MM are always in their advanced stage when diagnosed, and their origins are difficult to confirm. Melanoma in the skull base is often associated with cribellum, orbital contents, and dura mater involvement (157). Lymph node metastasis rate ranges 6–22%, and nasal, paranasal, and nasopharyngeal MMs often spread to lungs and brain (158-160).

**Genes**
Few large-scale genetic studies have been conducted on MM. Many foreign studies with small sample sizes (161-163) found that the *BRAF* gene mutation rate was 0–10% in MM patients, *KIT* gene mutation rate was 5–27%, and *NRAS* gene mutation rate was 13–22%.

Recent studies have also found variations of *p16*<sup>INK4A</sup> in MM. Suzuki <i>et al.</i> detected 12 patients with head and neck MM and found gene mutations or loss of heterozygosity in six cases. Another study on primary nasal MM showed that 55.2% of MM patients had *p16*<sup>INK4A</sup> depletion (164). Gene testing for over 100 Chinese MM patients showed that the mutation rates of *KIT, BRAF*, and *NRAS* genes were was 19.2%, 12.5%, and 9.2%, respectively (10,11). Multivariate analysis showed *KIT* mutation was an independent prognostic factor of MM, with a hazard ratio of 2.696 (95% CI: 1.204–6.038) (<i>P</i>=0.016).

**Diagnosis and staging**

**Pre-operative evaluation**
In addition to routine staging examinations (e.g., head and chest CT, abdominal and pelvic CT or ultrasound, and bone scan), the scope of primary lesion and cervical lymph node metastasis (if any) must be carefully evaluated. Generally, endoscopy, enhanced CT, and (or) MRI can be used to determine primary tumor stage, CT can be
Table 13 Flow chart of first diagnosis (mucosal melanoma)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical manifestations</th>
<th>Pathology report</th>
<th>Examinations for staging</th>
<th>Clinical staging</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly suspicious, biopsy,* pathologically conformed, melanoma</td>
<td>• Tumor depth</td>
<td>• History-taking and physical examination</td>
<td>• IIIA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Ulceration (or not)</td>
<td>• Regional lymph node</td>
<td>• IVA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Mitotic rate</td>
<td>• Examination of other mucosal sites</td>
<td>• IVB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Vascular invasion (if any)</td>
<td>• Imaging*</td>
<td>• IVC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Resection margin</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Microsatellites (if any)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Immunohistochemical results</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Gene mutations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*, for melanoma without distant metastasis (based on preliminary clinical judgment), complete resection is typically recommended during biopsy, and needle biopsy or local resection is not recommended; *, the pathology report must include tumor thickness and ulceration (if any), and the remaining indicators should be obtained if condition allows; *, ultrasound of regional lymph nodes (cervical, axillary, inguinal, and popliteal lymph nodes), chest X-ray or CT, abdominal and pelvic ultrasound, CT or MRI, whole-body bone scan and head scan (CT or MRI), or whole-body PET-CT; *, no standard staging criteria has been available for MM. Here lists the AJCC staging system for head and neck MM. The staging of gynecological melanoma is often based on the malignancies at this site. Gastrointestinal melanoma can be divided into stage I and II based on muscular layer invasion (if any); melanoma with regional lymph node metastasis is staged as III, and those with distant metastasis as IV.

Table 14 Flow chart of general rules of stages III-IV (mucosal melanoma)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Clinical stage</th>
<th>Treatment principles</th>
<th>Adjuvant therapy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stage III (T3N0M0)</td>
<td>Surgical resection of primary lesion*</td>
<td>Adjuvant chemotherapy + radiotherapy of primary lesion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IVA (T4aN0M0)</td>
<td>Surgical resection of primary lesion*</td>
<td>Adjuvant chemotherapy + radiotherapy of primary lesion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IVA (T3N1M0, T4aN1M0)</td>
<td>Surgical resection of primary lesion* + regional lymph node dissection</td>
<td>Adjuvant chemotherapy + radiotherapy of primary lesion + radiotherapy of lymph node dissection area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IVB (T4b, any N, M0)</td>
<td>See systemic treatment of advance cutaneous melanoma</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stage IVC (any T, any N, M1)</td>
<td>Local palliative radiotherapy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Follow-ups exist in every period. *, the general principle is to achieve negative resection margin, which includes mucosal resection margin and deep resection margin. The mucosal border refers to the normal-shaped mucous membrane 1.5−2 cm away from the tumor border, whereas the deep border differs according to the variations in the locations of primary tumors. Typically, the resection safety is assessed based on the results of intraoperative frozen-section biopsy (with the specimen obtained deep inside the tumor bed). When the tumor invades the mandibular peristeum, the distance between the resected bone and the tumor is often 2 cm; *, a phase II clinical trial in China indicated that adjuvant chemotherapy had superior efficacy than interferon (TMZ 200 mg/m\(^2\) d1−5 + DDP 75 mg/m\(^2\) in 2 days ), whereas a phase III clinical trial is still ongoing; *, the indications are: for T3-4aN0-I and T3-4aN0: tumor bed radiotherapy (irradiation of additional 2−3 cm of the resection margin); T3-4aN1: tumor bed radiotherapy (irradiation of additional 2−3 cm of the resection margin) plus radiotherapy of cervical lymph node drainage areas. Irradiation may be initiated within 6 weeks after surgery. Radiation dose: high-risk area (number of lymph nodes ≥m of the resectio cm; extracapsular invasion of lymph nodes; and relapse after lymph node dissection): 60–66 Gy/6–6.5 weeks; intermediate- and low-risk areas (suspicious subclinical lesions): 44–50 Gy/4–5 weeks. Postoperative adjuvant radiotherapy is not recommended for gastrointestinal and gynaecological melanomas; *, for stage IVB/C head and neck mucosal melanomas, the preferred option is to encourage patients to participate in clinical trials; local radiotherapy of the primary lesion can also be considered. For high-risk areas (primary lesions and metastases in lymph nodes): 66–70 Gy/6–7 weeks; intermediate-/low-risk areas (suspicious subclinical lesions): 44–50 Gy/4–5 weeks. The dosage and radiotherapy plan should be adjusted based on the patient’s physical status and metastasis. Esophageal mucosa melanoma patients who can not tolerate the surgery, refuse the surgery, or are already in stage IV can be provided with radiotherapy of primary tumors of the esophagus, with the radiotherapy dose being 60–66 Gy/6–7 weeks; however, the efficacy of radiotherapy alone is often poor. Palliative radiotherapy is not recommended for gastrointestinal and gynaecological melanomas.
used for observing bone damage, and MRI may be used for observing soft tissue involvement, particularly brain involvement. Ultrasound and enhanced CT have similar values in assessing cervical lymph nodes. These techniques should be used flexibly in different hospitals. The nasal mucosa has rich blood supply; therefore, strip biopsy deep inside the nasal cavity should be avoided to prevent tumor spread during the filling/compressing maneuvers following bleeding. Preoperative biopsy should be avoided for melanotic MM. Intraoperative frozen-section examination is recommended; however, it is highly depended on the skills and experiences of the pathologists. For highly suspicious amelanotic MM, preoperative biopsy combined with intraoperative frozen-section examination is recommended. The head and neck mucosal lymphatic drainage is very complicated; in particular, the upper neck has pharyngeal lymph chain, which is composed of rich lymph node tissues. Therefore, it is extremely difficult to locate the SLNs of nasal, paranasal, and nasopharyngeal MMs, and SLNB is not recommended as a routine test in these patients.

**Preoperative staging**

No widely recognized staging system of head and neck MM has been available. AJCC, Kish, and TNM staging systems are often used, among which the AJCC staging system (7th edition) [2009] is most commonly applied:

Stage IIIA: T3aN0M0;
T3: mucosal lesion;
T4a: mid-term lesion involving deep tissue, bone or cartilage;
T4b: advanced lesion involving the brain, dura mater, skull, and posterior cranial nerves (IX, X, XI, and XII), maseteric space, internal carotid artery, prevertebral space, or mediastinal structures;
N1: regional lymph nodes;
M1: distant metastasis;
Stage IVA: T4aN0M0, T3N1M0, T4aN1M0;
Stage IVB: T4b, any N, M0;
Stage IVC: any T, any N, M1;

Note: different from conventional staging method, this tumor staging approach has no T1 and T2, emphasizing that this tumor is highly malignant.

**Multidisciplinary treatment (MDT)**

MDT strategies including complete preoperative evaluation, reasonable surgical procedure and resection scope, and necessary postoperative therapies are recommended. While surgical treatment is preferred for early-stage head and neck MM, MDT is emphasized for advanced disease. The principles of MDT are as follows (165-167):

Patients with stage III MM: the primary lesion must be thoroughly removed; radiotherapy of the primary lesion or close follow-up is recommended after the surgery;

Patients with stage T4aN0 MM: the primary lesion must be thoroughly removed; radiotherapy of the primary lesion is recommended after the surgery;

Patients with stage T3−T4aN1 MM: the primary lesion must be thoroughly removed; regional cervical lymph node dissection should be performed in patients with regional lymph node metastasis, and additional radiotherapy should be performed on the primary lesion and neck after surgery;

Stage IVB: patients should be encouraged to enter clinical trial or receive palliative radiotherapy or systemic therapy;

Stage IVC: patients should be encouraged to enter clinical trial or receive systemic therapy; or, hospice care should be provided.

**Pre-operative evaluation**

The essential preoperative evaluation includes the range of primary lesion, lymph node metastasis, and distant metastasis, among which whether the primary is resectable and whether there is any distant metastasis is core links of the whole treatment protocol. Unresectable primary lesion or distant metastasis is contraindications of surgery.

(I) Primary lesion assessment: for head and neck MM, enhanced CT and/or enhanced MRI should be performed to judge the range of tumor. Invasion of skull or localized meninges by nasal, paranasal, or nasopharyngeal tumor is not a contraindication of surgery. When the tumor invades local brain tissue, the resectability of the tumor and any possible complications following the resection should be evaluated together with neurosurgeons. If the tumor is surrounded by large cervical vessels, these vessels may be peeled off or directly ligated; or, reconstruction of the internal carotid artery may be performed. However, the complications following direct ligation and high reconstruction of the internal carotid artery must be taken into consideration;

(II) Local metastasis evaluation: ultrasonography and enhanced CT have similar values in cervical lymph node evaluation. It is recommended that each hospital may flexibly apply the relevant rules according to their specific conditions;
(III) Distant metastasis evaluation: head and chest CT, abdominal ultrasound or enhanced CT/MRI, and whole-body bone scan may be applied. If economic conditions permit, PET-CT full is recommended because it can clarify systemic metastasis and correct local lymph node staging.

Surgical treatment
Surgical treatment includes the resection of primary lesion and local metastasis; surgical treatment is preferred for stage T3 and T4a head and neck MM.

Resection of primary tumor
For the resection of early nasal, paranasal, and nasopharyngeal MM, both the conventional nasal lateral wall dissection approach and the endoscopic approach can achieve the complete resection of the tumor. The endoscopic surgery is superior because it allows the surgeon to find the microsatellites and the nearby pigmented spots and meanwhile it is featured by small surgical trauma and quick postoperative recovery.

Appropriate indications must be selected for endoscopic resection. Endoscopic operation must meet the basic principles (e.g., en bloc resection, no local squeezing, etc.) of open surgical resection of malignant tumors.

Appropriate patients must be selected during endoscopic surgery. The general treatment principles include en bloc resection, no local squeezing, and ensuring a negative margin. The range of mucosal resection of lesions includes normal mucosa 1.5−2 cm away from the tumor border (including satellites). Some MM patients may also have mucosal pigmentation, which can also be removed if the pigmentation is localized. If the pigmentation is diffuse and cannot be removed, it should be closely followed. The ranges of the deep resection of lesions vary; generally, whether the resection is complete is judged according to the results of intraoperative frozen-section examination. For nasal, paranasal, and nasopharyngeal MM, the tumor bed is often bony and thus the resection margin cannot be learned by intraoperative frozen-section examination. In these cases, the safe resection margin will be the adjacent anatomic area with normal imaging findings around the tumor. If the tumor has invaded the mandibular periosteum, partial, horizontal, or vertical resection of the mandible may be performed (168), with the cutting edge 2 cm away from the tumor.

Patients tend to have a poor prognosis if one of the following factors exists (169): (I) already in clinical stage T4b, and only palliative resection is feasible; (II) the internal pterygoid muscle is diffusely involved; or, the intracranial lesion invades the pterygopalatine fossa; (III) the lesion damages the skull and involves the intracranial tissues; (IV) the lesion involves the nasopharynx or invades the eustachian tube and bilateral pharyngeal recess; (V) the lesion invades the internal carotid artery; that is, radiology shows that the tumor surrounds the internal carotid artery by over 270°; and (VI) the lesion invades neck skin, mediastinal structures, prevertebral fascia, or cervical spine; or, there is subcutaneous metastasis.

Management of cervical lymph nodes
Nasal, paranansal, and nasopharyngeal MMs have a low rate of cervical lymph node metastasis. In principle, prophylactic dissection should not be performed in these patients (169-171). Close follow-up is recommended. MMs arising from oral cavity, throat, and esophagus have a rate of lymph node metastasis. Conventional functional or radical lymphadenectomy is recommended for clinically or radiographically confirmed metastasis; if there is no clinically or radiographically confirmed metastasis, SLNB or prophylactic cervical lymphadenectomy may be routinely performed (171,172).

Management of local or regional recurrence
For patients with local recurrence, if the local re-staging does not reach R-T4b, surgical resection can still be considered. For patients with regional recurrence (i.e., localized lymph node recurrence), if there is neither relapse of primary lesion nor distant metastasis, functional or radical cervical lymphadenectomy may be considered.

Other treatment options
Radiotherapy
(I) Adjuvant radiotherapy: postoperative adjuvant radiotherapy can improve the local tumor control rates; however, no high-level evidence has indicated that postoperative radiation therapy can prolong survival. The indications include: irradiation of the tumor bed (with a safety margin of 2−3 cm) for T3−T4aN0−1 and T3−4aN0 after radical resection; irradiation of the tumor bed (with a safety margin of 2−3 cm) (172-174) and irradiation of the cervical lymph node drainage areas (11,175) for T3−4aN1.

Irradiation may be initiated within 6 weeks after surgery. The radiotherapy dosages were as follows: high-risk areas (lymph node number ≥2, diameter ≥3 cm, lymph node extranodal invasion, and local recurrence after lymph node dissection): 60−66 Gy/6−6.5 weeks; intermediate-/low-risk areas (with suspicious subclinical lesion): 44−50 Gy/4−5 weeks.
(II) Palliative radiotherapy of the primary lesion: the main purpose of palliative radiotherapy is to reduce symptoms and improve the quality of life.

Patients with stage IVB/C head and neck MMs should be encouraged to participate in clinical trials or receive palliative radiotherapy of the primary lesion: high-risk areas (primary lesion and metastases to lymph nodes): 66−70 Gy/6–7 weeks; intermediate-/low-risk areas (with suspicious subclinical lesion): 44–50 Gy/4–5 weeks. The dosage and radiotherapy plan should be adjusted according to the patients’ physical performance and the presence of any metastasis.

(III) Principles of palliative radiotherapy for distant metastasis:
(i) Radiotherapy of metastasis: palliative pain relief, or prevention of pathological fracture: 30 Gy/10 f, or 6–8 Gy/1 f;
(ii) Brain metastasis (stereotactic treatment is preferred; if the number of metastatic lesions is >5 and the diameters ≥3 cm, WBRT may be considered);
(iii) The role of whole brain radiotherapy following the resection of brain metastasis remains controversial and needs to be tailored. The relevant randomized clinical studies are now underway.

Adjuvant chemotherapy
The main purpose of adjuvant chemotherapy is to prolong RFS and OS.

In a randomized phase II study (176) on the postoperative adjuvant therapy in MM patients, which was conducted by the Tumor Hospital of Peking University, patients were randomized into three groups: watchful observation group (group A), high-dose interferon α-2b treatment group (group B: 15 million IU/m² d₁−₅ × 4 w, 9 million IU tiw × 48 w) and TMZ + DDP combined chemotherapy group (group C: TMZ 200 mg/m² d₁−₅ + DDP 75 mg/m², in 2 days). The main endpoints were RFS, OS, and safety. Totally 189 patients were enrolled, with a median follow-up of 26.8 months. It was found that the median RFS was 5.4, 9.4 and 20.8 months, respectively, in groups A, B, and C, and the estimated median OS was 21.2, 40.4 and 48.7 in these three groups. Compared with groups A and B, group C had significantly longer RFS (P<0.001) and OS (P<0.01), with tolerable toxicities.

Systemic treatment
Systemic treatment should be the mainstream treatment for unresectable IVB/IVC MMs.

(I) CTLA-4 monoclonal antibody: CTLA-4 is a member of the CD28:B7 immunoglobulin family and is lowly expressed on the surface of naive T cells and regulatory T cells (Tregs). After the naive T cell surface receptors receive stimulation, CTLA-4 in serosa competes with CD28 B7 to bind B7 and ultimately shut off T-cell signal transduction (177). Ipi, an antibody against CTLA-4, can block the effect of CTLA-4 to enhance the functions of T cells and thus induce anti-tumor immunity.

In a retrospective study reported by Micheal et al., among 33 patients with unresectable or metastatic MM, the use of Ipi achieved immune-related complete response in 1 case, immune-related partial response (PR) in 1 case, immune-related stable disease in 5 cases, and immune-related disease progression in 23 cases; the OS (beginning from Ipi treatment) was 6.4 months (178). A recent study in Italy suggested that Ipi may be a treatment option for MM patients who had previously received other treatment. A total of 855 patients [including 71 patients (8%) with metastatic MMs] were enrolled in this study. The response rate was 12% (partly in the mucosal subgroup); the immune-related DCR was 36%, and the PFS and OS were 4.3 and 6.4 months, respectively, which showed no significant difference among different melanoma subtypes (179).

(II) PD-1 monoclonal antibody: PD-1 exerts its inhibitory activity during the effector phase of T cells. PD-1 and its ligands B7-H1 and B7-DC (PD-L1 and PD-L2) interact with each other in the peripheral tissues (including the tumor microenvironment), during which it induces tumor cell apoptosis by down-regulating T cell effector functions. PD-L1 may be a possible mechanism via which melanomas evades the endogenous immune response (180). PD-1 monoclonal antibodies (nivolumab and pembrolizumab) have been approved as the first-line treatment of advanced melanoma (139,181); however, their efficacies in treating metastatic MM still need to be further evaluated in subsequent clinical trials. The panel recommends the use of Ipi, nivolumab, and pembrolizumab as the first-line treatment for advanced MMs.

(III) Personalized targeted therapies: please refer to the relevant contents in skin melanoma.

(IV) Angiogenesis inhibitors: angiogenesis provides nutrients and oxygen to the rapidly growing tumor and provides channels for tumor metastasis. Antiangiogenesis-related receptors and ligands are often overexpressed in melanoma, which is associated with disease progression and prognosis. Some clinical trials have reported the outcomes of the combinations of angiogenesis inhibitors and conventional cytotoxic drugs in treating advanced MMs.

VEGF is highly expressed in melanoma. Bevacizumab is a monoclonal antibody that can selectively bind VEGF
and block its binding with receptors. It has been widely applied in the treatment of metastatic melanoma (182-185).

In a randomized, double-blind phase II study (BEAM study), 214 metastatic melanoma patients were randomized into carboplatin + paclitaxel + bevacizumab (CPB) group or placebo group (CP) at a proportion of 2:1. In the MM subgroup, the death risk decreased by 76% in the CPB group (HR: 0.24; 95% CI: 0.05−1.27). Currently, a study on the combination of CPB as the first-line treatment of MM was ongoing in China.

Endostar®️, a typical anti-angiogenic agent, is a 20-kDa C-terminal fragment derived from type XVIII collagen. A phase II trial evaluated the efficacy of Endostar®️ combined with DTIC in the treatment of BRAF and C-KIT wild-type metastatic melanoma. Totally 110 patients (including 16 MM patients) were enrolled. Compared with the DTIC monotherapy group, the combination group had better tolerance and improved PFS and OS among metastatic melanoma patients (147).

(V) Chemotherapy: metastatic MM is relatively insensitive to chemotherapy. In a retrospective study conducted in the Republic of Korea, 95 patients with metastatic melanoma (including 3 cases of skin melanoma, 37 cases of acral melanoma, 28 cases of MM, and 7 cases of ocular melanoma) were enrolled to receive DTIC chemotherapy. The overall response rate was 26.3% (20% for MM patients), with an OS of 12.1 months (186). This was similar to the findings in Caucasians (187,188).

Paclitaxel + carboplatin can be a reasonable option for metastatic MM patients who had previously received multiple cycles of treatment. A retrospective study in the Republic of Korea compared the effectiveness of paclitaxel + carboplatin in the salvage chemotherapy for metastatic MM patients who had failed in DTIC-based chemotherapy. Of 32 metastatic MM patients who had previously received multi-line treatment, 10 (31.3%) had MMs, and all these patients had received a mean of three DTIC-based chemotherapy protocols. The median PFS was 2.53 months in all patients, and 21.9% of them achieved PR. No significant difference was observed between MM patients and cutaneous melanoma patients, indicating that paclitaxel combined with carboplatin may also be a reasonable option for metastatic MM patients who have received multi-line treatment (189).

The role of new chemotherapy drugs (e.g., albumin-bound paclitaxel) in combination with carboplatin in treating metastatic MMs remain unclear. While chemotherapy still has a low response rate in treating MM, it can still be an appropriate treatment option. Chemotherapy combined with angiogenesis inhibitors may be a reasonable option for advanced MMs.

Prognosis

The 5- and 10-year survival rates of patients with nasal, paranasal, or nasopharyngeal malignant melanoma are 20% and 28% (190). Tumors with deep infiltration, necrosis, and vascular involvement often predict poor prognosis. The rate of lymph node metastasis is low. Tumor size has small influence on survival. Both large and small tumors can be associated with distant metastasis (155). The most common metastatic sites are the lungs and brain. In patients with distant metastasis, the median survival time was 7.1 months (191). However, no data based on large sample have reported the prognosis of patients with malignant melanoma in oral cavity, pharynx, hypopharynx, or larynx.

Follow-up

Frequency of outpatient re-examinations

Every three months within 18 months after surgery; every 6 months within 18 months−3 years after surgery; every 12 months within 3−5 years after surgery; and every 12 months after 5 years. The purpose of re-examination is to rule out local recurrence, local and regional lymph node recurrence, and distant metastasis, with the distant metastasis being the focus of each re-examination.

Detection items

Monitoring of local recurrence: nasal endoscopy should be performed during each visit. Nasal, paranasal, and nasopharyngeal imaging should be performed every 6 months to learn if there is any local recurrence. Since this is a repeated procedure, enhanced MRI is recommended to minimize radiation exposure.

Monitoring of regional recurrence: neck ultrasound should be performed during each visit. When necessary, enhanced CT or enhanced MRI may be performed to learn if there is any lymph node metastasis.

Monitoring of distant metastasis: head and chest CT, bone scan, and abdominal ultrasound/CT should be performed every year; if necessary, whole-body PET-CT may be performed to judge if there is any systemic metastasis. If any symptom of distant metastasis is suspected during the follow-
up, in-depth examination should be immediately performed locally; or, whole-body PET-CT may be performed.

**Gastrointestinal malignant melanomas**

**Epidemiology**

Anorectal malignant melanoma (AMM) is the most common gastrointestinal melanoma, and melanomas arising from stomach or small intestine are extremely rare. Hereafter in this section we will focus on AMM. It has been reported that AMM accounts for 0.5−2% of all malignant melanomas (192,193) and 23.8% of MMs. Meanwhile, its incidence has shown an increasing trend. The median onset age was 60 years. The number of female patients is slightly larger than that of male patients. The most common metastatic site of AMM is liver, followed by lungs, brain, and bone (194).

**Risk factor**

Unlike cutaneous melanoma, the risk factors of AMM remain unclear. Exposure to UV, one of the main risk factors of cutaneous melanoma, is not correlated with the occurrence of AMM.

**Clinical manifestations**

AMM is often located near the dentate line, hidden, with insidious onset. Clinically it is mainly manifested as bloody stool, although other symptoms may also include perianal itching or pain, anal neoplasm, tenesmus, and change in bowel habits. Once metastasis occurs, the patient may suffer from fatigue, weight loss, anemia, groin or pelvic mass, or even intestinal obstruction. Colonoscopy may reveal black protruding Lesions, which may also be amelanotic changes in some cases. Clinically AMM may be misdiagnosed as other conditions such as hemorrhoid, skin tag or polyp.

**Diagnosis and staging**

Pathological examination should be performed for suspected AMM. The staging examinations include digital rectal examination, colonoscopy, endoscopic ultrasound, CT, MRI, and PET-CT, which can be used for assessing the tumor invasion depth, regional lymph node metastasis, and distant metastasis. Notably, MRI is a standard method for evaluating lesion area (195).

At present there are no uniform standards of AMM staging. The AJCC TNM staging method is not entirely applicable to AMM. A more commonly used staging method for AMM is as follows: stage I (local), the tumor is localized in anorectal wall or perianal skin; stage II (regional), with regional lymph node metastasis; and stage III (disseminated), the tumor becomes unresectable. The staging criteria proposed by Falch et al. (196,197) can also be useful: stage I, the tumor is localized in anorectal wall and does not reach the muscular layer; stage II, the tumor is still localized but has invaded the muscular layer of the anorectal wall; stage III, the tumor becomes locally advanced and/or with regional lymph node metastasis; and stage IV, with distant metastasis (194).

**Surgery**

Surgical resection is the main treatment for AMM. Radical resection is mainly used in patients with stage I patients and a small proportion of stage II patients. Stage III patients can only receive palliative surgery under special conditions. Current evidences do not support the use of prophylactic inguinal lymph node dissection (198).

How to select an appropriate surgical procedure is the main dispute. R0 resection is the primary goal of surgical resection. The main surgical procedures include abdominoperineal resection (APR) and wide local excision (WLE). APR can achieve better local control and negative resection margin; also, it enables the dissection of mesenteric lymph nodes. However, it requires a large surgical scope; in addition, it can not spare anal sphincter and thus impairs the patients’ quality of life. APR can also be applied in patients with intestinal obstruction and those requiring a salvage surgery. WLE requires a cutting edge of ≥10 mm. The outcomes of these two procedures do not differ significantly. If R0 resection can be achieved, WLE should be the first choice (199,200). Therefore, multiple factors including the possibility of R0 resection, risk of local recurrence, and quality of life should be considered during the selection of a surgical resection procedure.

**Adjuvant chemotherapy and systemic treatment**

Please refer to the “Head and neck mucosal melanoma (MM)”.

**Prognosis**

AMMs are highly malignant and prone to recurrence
and distant metastasis. The prognosis is associated with tumor stage. It has been reported that the 5-year survival rates of AMM patients were below 20%, and the median survival time ranged 8–19 months (194). The mean survival time of patients with localized AMM was only 34 months even after treatment, and the median survival of patients who had experienced recurrence or metastasis was below 10 months (201). Therefore, early diagnosis and treatment is extremely important. Surgery remains the most important and effective treatment method. The management of AMM patients with metastatic lesions may refer to “Metastatic cutaneous melanoma”.

Urogenital melanomas

Epidemiology

Primary urogenital melanoma accounts for about 16% of MM. It mainly occurs in the vulva, vagina, cervix, and uterus of female patients (202), whereas melanomas occurring in urethra and bladder are relatively rare. Vulvar melanoma is the most common urogenital melanoma, and it is also the second most common type of vulvar cancer (only after squamous cell carcinoma). It is mainly seen in postmenopausal women aged 60–70 years.

Clinical manifestations

Vulvar melanoma is clinically manifested as vulvar nodules, bleeding, itching, and local pigmentation, which can also be accompanied by ulceration and pain. While it can occur at any site of vulva, it is more likely to occur in a smooth mucosa such as the medial side of major lips, minor lips, clitoris, and vaginal orifice, followed by the junction (e.g., major lips) between hairy skin and smooth skin; finally, the hairy skin (e.g., pubes) (203). The vagina and cervix melanomas are mainly manifested as vaginal bleeding or mass. Although genital melanoma is uncommon, attention should be paid to the presence of any of the above symptoms or the suspicious pigmented lesions found during routine gynecological examinations. Local excision is advisable for biopsy.

Staging

There are no uniform staging standards for genital melanoma. The AJCC staging criteria for cutaneous melanoma (7th Edition) may be applied. According to the depth of tumor invasion, ulceration, and suspicious lymph nodes, Tasseron et al. [1992] divided the patients into two categories: low-risk, the depth of tumor invasion is below 3 cm, no ulceration; and no clinically suspicious lymph node; high-risk, the depth of tumor invasion is above 3 cm, with ulceration; and/or with clinically suspicious lymph node(s) (204). In addition, since the clinical manifestations and metastasis pathways of cervical, vaginal, and vulvar melanomas are similar to those of other malignancies in these locations, some authors have proposed to use the International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics (FIGO) staging systems for genital tumors instead.

Surgery

Surgical treatment for vulvar melanoma may refer to the treatment strategies for vulvar cancer. The scope of operation and handling of the lymph nodes remain controversial. The main surgical procedures include WLE, radical resection of the vulva, and bilateral inguinal lymph node dissection. An increasing number of evidences have shown that radical resection of the vulva plus and bilateral inguinal lymph node dissection can not improve the OS; thus, local extended resection should be performed when the tumor invasion depth is below 1 mm (205). According to Irvin et al., the resection margin is 1 cm when the thickness of vulvar melanoma is <1 mm; the resection margin is 2 cm when the thickness of vulvar melanoma is 1–4 mm; the resection margin should be at least 1 cm in depth, extending from subcutaneous fat to muscle fascia (206).

In recent years, the role of SLNB in the surgical treatment of vulvar melanoma has been confirmed; thus, it has gradually become a routine procedure in the management of vulvar melanoma. In patients in whom the lymph node is not palpable, tumor thickness >1 mm, or in patients in whom the tumor thickness is <1 mm but there are poor prognostic factors such as ulceration, high MR, microsatellites, vascular invasion, and Clark level IV, radioactive colloids or organic blue dyes can be injected at the primary lesion to locate the SLNs, followed by biopsy. Lymph node dissection should be performed in patients with positive SNs (207).

Recurrence and metastasis of vulvar melanoma are common. The site of recurrence is often associated with surgical procedure: patients who have undergone local resection tend to experience local recurrence, and patients who have received radical resection are more likely to suffer from distant metastasis. Scheistroen et al. reported 43 cases of melanoma of the vulva, the postoperative recurrence rate reached 63% (208). Patients with local recurrence or solitary distant metastasis still require surgical resection. Patients
with unresectable or extensive metastases, the prognosis is extremely poor, even after radiotherapy or drug therapy.

**Adjuvant chemotherapy and systemic treatment**

Please refer to the “Head and neck mucosal melanoma (MM)” and “Metastatic cutaneous melanoma”.

**Prognosis**

The prognosis of genital melanoma is poor due to high local recurrence and distant metastasis rates. The reported 5-year survival rates ranged 27–60% (209). The main prognostic factors include tumor thickness, ulceration, and resection margin (negative or not). Early diagnosis can improve survival. Therefore, when carrying out skin examinations for elderly women, the medical staff should also encourage them to undergo gynecological examinations to screen the genital melanoma.

**Uveal melanomas (UM) in eyes**

**Epidemiology**

UM is the most common primary intraocular malignancy in adults. While UM can originate from the intermediate uveitis (including iris, ciliary body, and choroid) of eye wall, over 90% of UM are choroidal tumors (210). Although ocular melanoma accounts for only 5% of all melanomas, it is the second most common type of melanoma (only after cutaneous melanoma) (211).

It has been reported that the incidence of UM ranges 4.3/1,000,000–10.9/1,000,000. According to Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results, a program under the US National Institutes of Health (NIH), the overall mean incidence of UM is 4.3/1,000,000, which is higher in males (4.9/1,000,000) than in females (3.7/1,000,000) (212). Kivelä (213) estimated that there was 6,679–7,095 new UM cases worldwide annually. UM is prone to occur in light-skinned populations. The reported UM incidences are as follows (every 1,000,000): Africans, 0.31; Asians, 0.38; Hispanics, 1.67; non-Hispanic Caucasians, 6.02 (214). Currently there is no statistical data on the incidence of UM among Chinese populations.

**Biological characteristics**

UM differs from cutaneous melanoma completely in terms of clinical feature and biological behaviors (215). For example, UM mainly spread via blood stream; in contrast, cutaneous melanoma often spread via lymphatic vessels. About half of the UM patients eventually develop hematogenous metastasis, with liver metastasis being the most common form. Without proper treatment, most patients with metastasis will die within a short period of time (6–8 months) (216). According to the Collaborative Ocular Melanoma Study (COMS), a large multicenter study participated by 43 clinical ophthalmic centers in North America, the median survival was only 3.6 months among UM patients with confirmed metastasis, and the 5-year cumulative survival rate was only 1% (217).

UM can be associated with multiple cytogenetic abnormalities (e.g., chromosome 3-monomer deletion and chromosome 8 gain) (218,219). The typical molecular abnormally of UM is GNAQ/11 mutation (220-222), whereas BRAF and NRAS mutations are rare; in contrast, the most prominent mutations in cutaneous melanoma are BRAF, CKIT, and NRAS mutations (223,224).

**Diagnosis and staging**

The combination of indirect ophthalmoscopy, ultrasound, and MRI can achieve accurate clinical diagnosis of UM. COMS reported that the clinical diagnostic accuracy was higher than 99% for UM (225). Based on the maximum base diameter and height of the tumors, COMS divides UM into three types: small, medium, and large (Figure 2) (226,227).

The posterior UM staging in AJCC staging system (7th Edition) is shown in Tables 15 and 16. With the AJCC
stage I UM as the benchmark, the metastasis/mortality rate is 3 times in stage III patients and 9−10 times in stage III patients; it is even higher in stage IV patients (228-230).

**Prognostic indicators**

Detecting haplotype chromosome 3 in UM tissue and typing UM by gene expression profiling (GEP) have been widely recognized as useful approaches for predicting prognosis in UM patients.

Cytogenetic tests: 50% of UM patients with haploid chromosome 3 will die within 3 years. Patients with diploid chromosome 3 accompanied by chromosome 8Q amplification will have extremely poor prognosis (218,219).

Molecular genetic tests: GEP can distinguish two tumors with markedly different metastatic potentials. The metastasis risk is small (<5%) for type 1 but can be extremely high (90%) for type 2 cells (231-233).

For patients with high risk of metastasis, close whole-body examinations should be performed to achieve the early identification of tiny metastases and thus grasp the chance of local resection; or, these patients may be encouraged to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primary tumor</th>
<th>Tumor size</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>Tumor base &lt;3−9 mm and height ≤6 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tumor base 9.1−12 mm and height ≤3 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1a</td>
<td>Tumor size category 1 without ciliary body involvement and extraocular extension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1b</td>
<td>Tumor size category 1 with ciliary body involvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1c</td>
<td>Tumor size category 1 without ciliary body involvement but with extraocular extension ≤5 mm in diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1d</td>
<td>Tumor size category 1 with ciliary body involvement and extraocular extension ≤5 mm in diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>Tumor base &lt;9 mm and height 6−9 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tumor base 9.1−12 mm and height 3.1−9 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tumor base 12.1−15 mm and height ≤6 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tumor base 15.1−18 mm and height ≤3 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2a</td>
<td>Tumor size category 2 without ciliary body involvement and extraocular extension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2b</td>
<td>Tumor size category 2 with ciliary body involvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2c</td>
<td>Tumor size category 2 without ciliary body involvement but with extraocular extension ≤5 mm in diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2d</td>
<td>Tumor size category 2 with ciliary body involvement and extraocular extension ≤5 mm in diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>Tumor base 3.1−9 mm and height 9.1−12 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tumor base 9.1−12 mm and height 9.1−15 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tumor base 12.1−15 mm and height 6.1−15 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tumor base 15.1−18 mm and height 3.1−12 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3a</td>
<td>Tumor size category 3 without ciliary body involvement and extraocular extension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3b</td>
<td>Tumor size category 3 with ciliary body involvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3c</td>
<td>Tumor size category 3 without ciliary body involvement but with extraocular extension ≤5 mm in diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3d</td>
<td>Tumor size category 3 with ciliary body involvement and extraocular extension ≤5 mm in diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4</td>
<td>Tumor base 12.1−15 mm and height &gt;5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tumor base 15.1−18 mm and height &gt;12 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tumor base &gt;18 mm and any height</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4a</td>
<td>Tumor size category 4 without ciliary body involvement and extraocular extension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4b</td>
<td>Tumor size category 4 with ciliary body involvement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4c</td>
<td>Tumor size category 4 without ciliary body involvement but with extraocular extension ≤5 mm in diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4d</td>
<td>Tumor size category 4 with ciliary body involvement and extraocular extension ≤5 mm in diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T4e</td>
<td>Any tumor size category with extraocular extension &gt;5 mm in diameter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
join new drug trials. For patients with low risk of metastasis, excessively frequent medical examinations should be avoided to increase their quality of life.

**Treatment**

**Local treatment in eyes**

In the COMS study, patients with medium UM were assigned randomly to enucleation group and 125I brachytherapy group; the unadjusted estimated 5-year survival rates were 81% and 82%; there was no clinically or statistically significant difference in survival rates overall. Five-year rates of death with histopathologically confirmed melanoma metastasis were 11% and 9% following enucleation and brachytherapy, respectively (234). This study provided key evidences for the local treatment in eyes for UM patients. The treatment methods can be chosen based on tumor size and location. The eye and even vision should be saved with the premise that it will not decrease the survival rate.

**Radiotherapy with scleral surface applicator**

This is the treatment of choice in most eye centers in developed countries. It is a brachytherapy, with a metal disc containing radioactive particle (125I or 106Ru) placed on the local scleral surface. Brachytherapy is recommended for small and medium UM.

**Enucleation**

Enucleation can be considered if the tumor is localized in eyeball. It is recommended for large UM patients whose eyeball is painful and visionless or has no light perception.

**Exenteration**

Exenteration may be performed in patients in whom the large tumor has penetrated the eyeball and reached the orbital cavity.

**Other treatments**

Other treatments include proton beam radiotherapy, stereotactic radiotherapy, and local excision of tumors.

**Systemic treatment**

No large randomized clinical study has demonstrated that systemic treatment can prolong the OS of patients with metastatic UM. Chemotherapy, hepatic artery embolization and chemotherapy, immunotherapy, targeted immune therapy, and individualized molecularly targeted therapy have been attempted in patients with metastatic UM; however, most of these attempts were reported only in non-randomized uncontrolled studies with small sample sizes or in stage I and II clinical trials (235,236). New and more effective treatments are urgently needed for metastatic UM. Participating in clinical trials is the preferred strategy for patients with metastatic UM.

**MEK inhibitors**

Based on the GNAQ/11 mutation, which is commonly seen in UM patients, and the activation of MAPK pathway, which is directly triggered by GNAQ/11 mutation, the MEK inhibitors have been widely recognized as promising agents in the treatment of UM. In 2014, Carvajal et al. reported the results of a randomized, multicenter, open-label phase II trial participated by 15 clinical centers in the United States and Canada, comparing the efficacies of selumetinib vs. DTIC in treating 120 patients with metastatic UM. It was found that the median PFS was 7 weeks in DTIC group and 15.9 weeks in selumetinib group. The median OS was 9.1 months in DTIC group and 11.8 months in selumetinib group. No objective response was achieved in DTIC group, and the objective response rate was 49% in selumetinib group. They concluded that, compared with DTIC, selumetinib might help to increase PFS and response rate but did not increase OS (237). A multicenter, randomized, double-blind, phase III clinical trial that further evaluate the role of selumetinib combined with DTIC in treating metastatic UM is still ongoing (238).

**Ipilimumab (Ipi)**

In a multicenter phase II clinical trial reported by DeCOG in 2015, after the metastatic UM patients were orally administered with Ipi at a dosage of 3 mg/kg for 4 weeks, the median OS was 6.8 months (95% CI: 3.7–8.1) and the median PFS was 2.8 months (95% CI: 2.5–2.9). The authors concluded that Ipi has limited role in treating metastatic UM (239).
PD-1
The relevant clinical trial is still ongoing, and the results worth waiting for.
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