MET deregulation in breast cancer
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Background: Mesenchymal-epithelial transition (MET) is an oncogene encoding for a trans-membrane tyrosine kinase receptor activated by the hepatocyte growth factor (HGF). MET has a normal function in organ development during embryogenesis and in tissue homeostasis during adult life. Deregulation of HGF/MET signaling pathway is frequently observed in many cancer types, conferring invasive growth and tendency to progression. MET deregulation is due to gene amplification or increased copy number, gene mutation, receptor over-expression or ligand autocrine loops activation. These events lead to migration, invasion, proliferation, metastatic spread and neo-angiogenesis of cancer cells, suggesting that anti-HGF/MET agents may represent a potential antitumor strategy. In breast cancer (BC), preclinical and clinical data demonstrated the role of HGF/MET signalling pathway in carcinogenesis, disease progression and resistance features.

Methods: For this review article, all published data on HGF/MET in BC were collected and analyzed.

Results: Several evidences underline that, in early BC, MET over-expression has an independent negative prognostic significance, regardless of method used for evaluation and BC subtypes. Available data suggest that MET is a relevant target particularly in basal-like (BL) and in triple negative BC. Moreover, preclinical and retrospective data support the critical role of MET deregulation in the development of resistance to target-agents, such as anti-HER2 strategies.

Conclusions: MET is a promising new target in BC. Several anti-MET agents are under investigation and ongoing clinical trials will clarify its relevance in BC treatment.
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Introduction

Mesenchymal-epithelial transition (MET) factor oncogene is located on chromosome 7q31 and encodes for the dimeric tyrosine kinase receptor of the hepatocyte growth factor (HGF), also known as scatter factor (SF). The ligand binding to MET induces dimerization and cytoplasmic auto-phosphorylation of the receptor kinase domain, favouring a cascade of intracellular signalling involved in invasive cell programs (1) as illustrated in Figure 1. In normal tissue, MET-regulated pathways have a key role for critical physiologic functions, including embryogenesis, angiogenesis, cell growth and wound healing (2,3). The activation of HGF/MET axis has been described as a relevant process for cancer initiation and progression, leading to invasiveness, cell survival, neo-angiogenesis, cell migration and metastatic spread (4). MET is frequently deregulated in cancer and the main mechanisms include gene amplification or increased copy number (GCN), germinal or somatic mutation, receptor over-expression. These molecular events have been described in a wide spectrum of human malignancies, such as non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) (5,6), gastric cancer (7), oesophageal
cancer (8), endometrial cancer (9), hepatocarcinoma (10), head and neck cancer (11), colorectal cancer (12) and kidney cancer (13). In these cases, aberrant HGF/MET signalling pathway confers aggressive phenotype characterized with high risk of progression and poor outcome. In addition, MET deregulation is often involved in acquired resistance to targeted agents (12,14,15).

In breast cancer (BC), preclinical and clinical studies highlighted the role of MET deregulation on carcinogenesis and development of aggressive phenotypes, as suggested by the higher incidence of mammary invasive carcinomas in mouse models harbouring MET mutations (16). Moreover, an imbalance in MET expression between neoplastic lesion and adjacent normal tissue is associated with aggressive behaviour of in situ ductal carcinoma (DCIS) (17). Across all BCs, MET is over-expressed in at least 20-30% of cases, with difference related to methods and scoring system used for biomarker testing (18). Several investigations showed a preferential, but not exclusive, expression in basal-like (BL) subgroup, mostly represented by triple negative breast cancer (TNBC). However, up-regulation of HGF/MET axis represents a strong and independent predictor of poor outcome and aggressiveness, irrespective of histological subtype (19,20). Available data suggest that molecular events, such as MET mutation or amplification, are rare in primary BC tumours (21,22). Finally, in advanced stage disease, MET deregulation plays a critical role in cancer progression and development of acquired resistance to target agents, including trastuzumab (23).

Aim of this review is to discuss available data on MET deregulation and its therapeutic implications in BC.

**MET/HGF expression as a prognostic factor in BC**

During the 90s, several studies showed a potential prognostic relevance of HGF/MET expression in BC. In 1994, Yamashita and colleagues measured the intra-tumour immunoreactive (ir)-HGF concentration of 258 primary human BCs. Patients with high ir-HGF concentration had a significantly shorter relapse-free survival (RFS) and overall survival (OS) when compared to those with low ir-HGF concentration. In multivariate analysis, ir-HGF level was the most important independent factor in predicting RFS and OS, greater than lymph node involvement (24). Interestingly, the authors described,
at the time of relapse, an increased rate of MET over-expression also in patients with previously negligible value, suggesting a possible selection of MET-positive clones in the process of progression (25). Subsequently, using a tissue microarray, Kang and co-workers confirmed the strong and negative prognostic relevance of MET expression in a cohort of 330 node-negative breast carcinomas. In the study, also matriptase and HAI-I, an HGF-activator expressed on mammary epithelium and its cognate inhibitor respectively, were associated with poor patient outcome. The prognostic impact of MET expression was independent by the traditional BC biomarkers, as confirmed in the multivariate analysis (26). Recently, using a reverse phase protein array, Raghav et al. assessed, once more, the negative role of MET expression on patient outcome among 257 BCs cases. The level of MET and phoshpo-MET, its activated form, had a strong prognostic impact in BC patients, while no significant differences in mean expression of both biomarkers were seen among the different subtypes (19).

In summary, collecting all these clinical data together, MET over-expression results as a robust negative prognostic factor for BC patients, regardless of evaluation method used or cancer subtypes.

Role of MET in BLBC and TNBC

BLBC accounts for 10% to 20% (Figure 2) of all BCs and represents an aggressive subgroup of mammary carcinoma, with worse prognosis and limited therapeutic options. BLBCs are characterized by high histological grade and mitotic indexes, pushing borders and large areas of necrosis. IHC features of BL tumours usually include lack of hormonal and human epidermal receptor-2 (HER2) expression, positivity of one or more basal cytokeratins (e.g., CK5/6, CK14 and CK17) and/or expression of HER1 [also named epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR)]. Among cancers with BL features, TNBCs are the main prominent with a global incidence of approximately 15% (28). Currently, chemotherapy is the only modality of systemic therapy available for patients with BL and triple-negative disease, although, in the small group of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutated BC patients, poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) inhibitors may have a therapeutic role (29). For such reasons, the identification of targetable biomarkers is an urgent clinical need and a major theme of discussion (28).

In preclinical models, genomic and proteomic analyses led to dichotomize BC into two main groups: the luminal and the BL subtype (30,31). In gene expression profile of BC cell lines, comparison between BL and luminal cluster showed differential expression of MET gene (30,31). Clinical studies confirmed these findings. In 2007, Garcia and colleagues analyzed 930 BC specimens by using IHC, demonstrating a strong association between high MET levels and expression of basal-cluster features, such as CK5, CK6, caveolin 1, c-KIT and p63 (32). On these bases, MET over-expression could be considered as an additional constituent of BL phenotype. Similar results were obtained in some other studies conducted in both early and metastatic diseases, thus confirming that MET is preferentially expressed in BLBC (31,33). In addition, these studies suggested that high MET expression levels correlated with worse prognosis. Recently, Ho-Yen and colleagues analyzed 1,274 primary tumour samples of early BC aiming to evaluate the relationship between MET IHC expression and BC subtypes (34). Authors found
that MET was independently associated with BL feature (odds ratio = 6.44; P=0.005). More interestingly, MET overexpression negatively affected RFS in all subtypes [hazard ratio (HR) = 1.85; P=0.027], with a trend toward reduced OS in BL tumors (33). Similarly, a strong correlation between BL features and MET over-expression (57.5%, P<0.001) has been found by Kim and co-workers, which analyzed 924 BCs specimens using IHC (35). The study also confirmed the prognostic impact of high-MET expression levels in terms of recurrence (DFS, P=0.010) and survival (OS, P=0.001).

More interestingly, the same authors demonstrated that, in TNBC cell lines, MET levels were high and MET inhibition by RNA-interference reduced cell proliferation and migration, suggesting the potential therapeutic role of MET inhibition in TNBC patients (35).

Finally, Zagouri et al. retrospectively evaluated MET expression in a series of 170 TN tumors, showing high expression levels in approximately half of cases (52%) (36). As previously described in BLBCs (34), MET overexpression significantly predicted shorter survival (adjusted HR for death 3.74; P=0.002) and also associated with poorly differentiated carcinomas (P=0.02).

Recently, as previously described, a large meta-analysis including more than 6,000 BC cases confirmed that, especially in TNBC patients, MET over-expression is significantly associated with worst survival (27).

**MET and acquired resistance to targeted agents**

Several evidences suggest that MET deregulation plays a critical role in the development of acquired resistance to targeted agents through a functional interaction, the so-called “cross-talk”, with other TK receptors, particularly with EGFR family. In a preclinical model, Engelman and colleagues demonstrated that MET amplification was responsible for acquired resistance to first-generation EGFR TK inhibitors in up to 20% of EGFR-mutant NSCLCs (37). Similarly, Bardelli et al. showed that MET amplification driven de novo and acquired resistance to anti-EGFR monoclonal antibodies cetuximab and panitumumab in metastatic colorectal cancers, both in vitro and in vivo models (12).

As HER2 belongs to EGFR family and represents a therapeutic target in BC (38), several studies have investigated the potential impact of MET status in modulating efficacy of anti-HER2 strategies. In 2007, Lindeman and colleagues firstly demonstrated that MET over-expression occurred in approximately a quarter of HER2-positive BC cases, suggesting that HER2 and MET could have a synergistic effect in promoting tumour growth and aggressiveness (17). Therefore, in a preclinical model, Shattuck et al. reported that a significant proportion of HER2-positive tumours also displayed high levels of MET expression by Western blot analysis (23). In addition, authors demonstrated that MET could contribute to resistance to the anti-HER2 monoclonal antibody trastuzumab. Indeed, in cell lines MET inhibition, either through RNA interference-mediated depletion or small molecule-mediated inhibition, increased sensitivity to trastuzumab while MET activation protected cells from the anti-apoptotic effects of trastuzumab by preventing drug-mediated p27 induction. More recently, a preclinical study evaluating MET/HER2 “cross-talk” in human BC, confirmed that a relevant percentage of HER2 positive cases co-expressed MET and HER2, despite an intratumoral heterogeneity (39). In a double positive (MET+/HER2+) BC cell-line the authors showed that MET depletion resulted in increased HER2 activation and, conversely, HER2 depletion resulted in MET activation. Moreover, functional analysis of TK receptors activation during HER2 knockdown indicated that MET signaling was a compensatory pathway of resistance.

In 2013, we retrospectively analyzed a cohort of 130 HER2-positive metastatic BC patients, aiming to evaluate the impact of MET and HGF GCN in predicting trastuzumab-sensitivity (40). Increased MET or HGF GCNs were detected in approximately one-fourth of cases and were significantly associated with higher risk of treatment failure. Indeed, MET FISH-positive cases (n=36) had both significantly higher trastuzumab failure rate (P=0.001) and shorter time to progression (HR = 1.74; P=0.006) than MET FISH-negative cases. Also HGF FISH-positive status (n=33) significantly associated with higher risk of failure (P=0.007) when compared with HGF FISH-negative cases.

In summary, our data suggested that in HER2 positive BCs, MET/HGF GCNs increased the risk of trastuzumab failure, thus supporting the investigation of dual HER2 and MET inhibitors in such population. Notably, our experience confirmed the absence of MET amplification in HER2-positive cases, as reported in previous other investigations (22,40).

**Anti-MET agents under investigation in BC**

There are at least four possible strategies that are useful for blockade of the HGF/MET pathway, including...
agents interfering with HGF binding to MET, anti-MET monoclonal antibodies, small molecule MET TK inhibitors, and small molecule inhibitors of the downstream pathways. Data from preclinical studies suggest that the modality of HGF/MET activation (i.e., autocrine/paracrine stimulation, gene amplification or gene mutation), could predict the class of agents more likely to interrupt the signalling pathway. A list of anti-MET agents currently under investigation in metastatic BCs patients is shown in Table 1. Notably, the majority of these agents are TK inhibitors and restricted to BL/TN BC patients.

Preliminary results from an ongoing 2-stage single arm trial with foretinib demonstrated a potential activity in metastatic TNBC (NCT01147484). In the first cohort of patients evaluable for response (n=15) the MET TK inhibitor showed a disease control rate (DCR) of 47% (n=7), including one partial response and six stable disease (SD). Interestingly, 6/8 MET IHC positive cases obtained SD. Stage 2 of accrual is currently enrolling (41).

Recently, also cabozantinib monotherapy showed evidence of antitumor activity in TNBC (42). In a single-arm phase II trial (NCT02260531) including 35 patients with advanced TNBC, the multiple receptor TK inhibitor demonstrated an overall response rate (ORR) of 11% and 34%, respectively. Preliminary results on exploratory biomarker analysis suggest a possible correlation between baseline plasma MET levels and PFS.

## Conclusions

In the last years, many progresses have been made in the understanding of HGF/MET signaling pathway in cancer development and progression. Literature data also supported its critical role in mammary tumours and several studies have clearly demonstrated that high level of MET expression correlated with worse prognosis, both in early and advanced stage.

Treatment of metastatic BC is now based on hormonal and HER2 status. Today, endocrine treatments as well as anti-HER2 agents, alone or in combination with chemotherapy, offer to metastatic BC patients the concrete possibility to prolong survival and preserve their quality of life. Unfortunately, for patients defined as TN, therapeutic options remain limited and largely unsatisfactory, with long-lasting disease control representing a major challenge. As MET over-expression often correlates with poorly differentiated and aggressive disease including BL/TN BCs, MET inhibition could be beneficial in this particular group of patients and results of ongoing trials exploring the activity of anti-MET agents are urgently awaited.

Finally, “cross-talk” of MET with other TK receptors seems in part explain the failure of target agents, particularly trastuzumab. As a consequence, combination of anti-HER2 and anti-MET strategies could represent a suitable option to delay or possibly overcome acquired resistance, at least in those cases displaying MET alteration, such as amplification or high GCN. At this proposal, it is important to remember that only a proper selection of patients, through prognostic/predictive models and validated biomarker, can lead to identify those individuals who may maximally benefit from tailored treatments, such as anti-MET agents.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Targets</th>
<th>Clinical trial</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tivantinib (ARQ197)</td>
<td>TK inhibitor</td>
<td>MET (non-ATP kinase), GSK3α and GSK3β</td>
<td>NCT01575522 (TNBC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabozantinib (XL184)</td>
<td>TK inhibitor</td>
<td>MET, VEGFR-2, RET, c-KIT, AXL, TIE-2 and FLT1/3/4</td>
<td>NCT01738438 (TNBC); NCT02260531 (TNBC/HER2+/HR+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foretinib (XL880)</td>
<td>TK inhibitor</td>
<td>MET, RON, AXL, VEGFR-2, FGFR2, PDGFR, c-KIT, TIE-2 and FLT3</td>
<td>NCT01147484 (TNBC); NCT01138384 (HER2+)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onartuzumab MetMab</td>
<td>Monoclonal antibody</td>
<td>MET</td>
<td>NCT01186991 (TNBC)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MET, mesenchymal-epithelial transition factor; VEGFR-2, vascular endothelial growth factor 2; RET, rearranged during transfection; c-KIT, mast/stem cell growth factor receptor (SCFR), also known as proto-oncogene c-KIT; AXL, encoding for tyrosine-protein kinase receptor UFO; TIE-2, tyrosine kinase with Ig and EGF (epidermal growth factor) homology domains; FLT 1/2/3, encoding for vascular endothelial growth factor receptor 1/2/3; RON, a tyrosine kinase receptor of MET family, also known as macrophage stimulating 1 receptor (MST1R); FGFR2, fibroblast growth factor receptor; PDGFR, platelet-derived growth factor receptor; HR+, hormonal receptor positive breast cancer.
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