The use of a 3D-printed individualized navigation template to assist in the anatomical reconstruction surgery of the anterior cruciate ligament
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Background: To explore the location accuracy and early clinical outcomes of using a 3D-printed individualized navigation template to assist in the reconstruction of the anterior cruciate ligament (ACL).

Methods: A single center randomized control study was conducted. Patients with ACL injury were treated with a conventional operation or an operation assisted by a 3D-printed individualized navigation template (the 3D group). The primary endpoint was the accuracy of the actual reconstruction compared with the planned position.

Results: There were 20 and 23 participants in the conventional group and the 3D group, respectively. There were no differences in the bone tunnel position between the actual postoperative position and the preoperative design in the 3D group (P>0.05). Compared with the 3D group, the positioning of the femoral tunnel was more inferior and shallower in the conventional group (P<0.05). The position of the tibia tunnel was closer to the anterior and medial edge of the tibial platform in the conventional group compared to the 3D group (P<0.05). The intraoperative positioning time was shorter in the 3D group than in the conventional group (3.3±1.0 vs. 5.9±1.8 minutes, P<0.001). The Lysholm and International Knee Documentation Committee scores did not differ between the two groups (P>0.05 for both), and all patients improved after surgery (P<0.001).

Conclusions: The 3D-printed individualized navigation template showed good location accuracy and resulted in reduced intraoperative positioning time compared to the traditional method for ACL reconstruction.
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Introduction

Anterior cruciate ligament (ACL) rupture is a common injury in sports medicine (1-3). Increased physical activity and participation in various types of sports has escalated the incidence of ACL ruptures (1-3). Arthroscopic ACL reconstruction is the main treatment for ACL ruptures (4). Recently, reconstruction after ACL rupture has changed from an isometric reconstruction to a more anatomical one (5). Biomechanical studies have shown that anatomical reconstruction can restore the rotational
stability of the knee to near normal, and some clinical studies have demonstrated that anatomical reconstructions can achieve better outcomes than traditional isometric reconstructions (6-10).

With the introduction of precision medicine (11,12), global sports medicine studies have begun to explore more accurate methods of reconstructing the ACL. Nevertheless, there are some differences in the location of the ACL insertion among different patients due to varying developmental conditions (13). Therefore, it is not easy to accurately determine the ACL anatomical insertion point during ACL reconstruction. Jaeker and colleagues (14) demonstrated that non-anatomical femoral tunnel positions were detected in 77.2% of the study cohort and non-anatomical tibial tunnel positions were found in 40.1% of patients. It has also been suggested that the successful placement of the anatomical bone tunnel is not solely dependent on whether the transtibial or anteromedial drilling techniques are used. Therefore, individualized ACL anatomical reconstruction is particularly important.

Computer-assisted surgery (CAS) can be applied in ACL reconstruction (15,16). The use of computer-assisted navigation has been shown to assist in accurate positioning during ACL reconstruction surgery (17). Nevertheless, the clinical application of computer-assisted technologies for the accurate positioning of ACL reconstructions has rarely been reported. In this study, we developed an individualized 3D-printed navigation template for ACL reconstruction.

The aim of this study was to explore whether this novel technology could improve the location accuracy of the bone tunnel in ACL reconstruction surgery, and thereby improve clinical outcomes.

We present the following article in accordance with the CONSORT reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-20-7515).

Methods

Patients

Patients with ACL rupture who were admitted to the Department of Sports Medicine in the First Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University between August 2018 and January 2019 were considered for this study.

Patients aged between 18–40 years old, who were diagnosed with an isolated ACL rupture and were compliant and cooperative were included in this study. Patients were excluded if they presented with injury to the meniscus or other ligament, systemic ligamentous laxity, severe knee deformity or flexion contracture deformity, or autoimmune diseases.

The 43 included participants were randomly divided into the control group or the experimental group using a computer-generated random number table. The participants in the control group were treated with the conventional operation, and those in the experimental group had a 3D-printed individualized navigation template to assist the operation. All participants underwent arthroscopic ACL reconstruction (using the hamstring tendon as the graft) by the same experienced chief physician who has 19 years of related experience.

Preparation of the individualized navigation templates

Under the premise that there is no reliable method of locating the ACL insertion position in patients with ACL rupture and the fact that the human limb structure is mostly symmetrical, this study reconstructed the injured ACL based on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) images of the ACL and the bones on the healthy knee. Preoperatively, the bilateral knee joints were scanned with a 1.5-T MRI system (GE Healthcare, Waukesha, WI, USA) to obtain the three-dimensional fast spin echo (3D-FSE) sequence images of the knee joint. The obtained data were stored as Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) files and imported into Mimics Research 19.0 (Materialise, Belgium) for image manipulation. The built-in ‘Point Registration’ method (image fusion technology) in the software was used to fuse the bilateral knee models with the axis as the benchmark. Then the ‘Mirror’ method was used to obtain the mirror image model of the healthy ACL, which was then used to simulate the affected ACL. We postulated that the intersecting points between this model and the affected knee bone were the insertion points of the affected ACL (Figure 1).

After obtaining the insertion points of the affected ACL, we saved the model in SLT (stereolithography) format, then imported it into Materialise Magics 21.0 (Materialise, Belgium) to design the navigation templates (Figure 2A,B). Finally, the navigation template model were imported into the medical image 3D reconstruction entity planning system [WASTON, model: ARP300A(B)] in the GCode format. Polylactic acid (PLA) materials (non-toxic materials that can be safely used in clinical work) were used to print the model, which was then disinfected with low-temperature plasma prior to clinical use.
Surgical methods

Patients in the 3D group were administered routine anesthesia and ACL reconstruction aided by the 3D-printed navigation template was performed using anteromedial (AM) techniques (18). On the femur side, the knee was bent at 90°. Under arthroscopy, the template was inserted into the intercondylar notch through the anteromedial portal. The medial end of the template was placed at the turning point of the lateral edge of the cartilage of the medial femoral condyle and the lateral surface of the medial condyle. The lateral end of the template was positioned at the medial surface of the lateral condyle. A 3-mm assistant port was used under the anteromedial portal. The tip of a piece of Kirschner wire (2 mm diameter) was placed into the guide hole through this assistant port. After drilling 5 mm into the femur, the template was removed. The knee was then bent at 120°, and the Kirschner wire was drilled through the lateral cortex of the lateral femoral condyle.

On the tibia side, the upper end of the template was inserted into the intercondylar notch through the anteromedial port. Then the lower end was gently rotated

Figure 1 Construction of the affected ACL model using bilateral knee images (image fusion technology). (A) The 3D reconstruction model of the bilateral knee joints; (B) Point registration of the bilateral knee bones; (C) the healthy knee ACL mirror image was used to obtain a model of the affected ACL; (D) the affected bone and ACL model. 3D, three-dimensional; ACL, anterior cruciate ligament.
into the tendon incision, and the position was adjusted so that the navigation template fitted closely to the bony structure. A piece of Kirschner wire (2 mm diameter) was drilled through the guide hole under arthroscopy (Figure 2C,D).

After the tunnels were established, the graft was pulled through the tunnels. An Endobutton (Smith & Nephew, London, United Kingdom) was used for femoral fixation. After tensioning the graft 20 times while the knee underwent cycling by an assistant, a biocompatible screw (Smith & Nephew, London, United Kingdom) the same size as the tunnel was used for tibial fixation. A tibial fixation staple was also used. The position and tension of the graft were verified after reconstruction (Figure 2E).

The surgical procedure used for the conventional group was exactly the same as for the 3D treatment group, except without the use of a 3D-printed positioning tool.

**Analysis of the accuracy of the center point position of the bone tunnel**

The computed tomography (CT) data of the affected knee were collected at 1st day post-operation, and a 3D model was constructed. The grid method (19,20) was used to quantify the position of the center point of the actual bone tunnel compared with the intended position (Figure 3A,B). The accuracy of the position was the primary endpoint.

**Postoperative evaluations**

The function of the knee joint was investigated by the same rehabilitation physician for the 3D group and the conventional group. The Lysholm score and the International Knee Documentation Committee (IKDC) score were used to assess the affected knee before the operation, and at 6 and 12 months post-operation. X-ray
and MRI of the affected knee were routinely performed after the operation.

**Statistical analyses**

Statistical analyses were performed using SPSS 19.0 software (IBM, Armonk, NY, USA). The categorical variables were presented as numbers (percentages) and compared using the chi-square test. The continuous variables that were normally distributed according to the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test were presented as mean ± standard deviation. Data were analyzed using the independent-samples t-test (between groups), paired t-test (within groups), and analysis of variance (ANOVA) together with the Student-Newman-Keuls (SNK) post-hoc test (multiple within-group analyses). P values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

**Ethical considerations**

All procedures performed in this study involving human participants were in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013). This study (clinical trial registration number ChiCTR1900024005) was approved by the Medical Ethics Committee of The First Affiliated Hospital of Kunming Medical University [(2018) Ethics Audit L No. 21]. All patients provided written informed consent.

**Results**

**General data**

The complete follow-up rate was 100% (n=43) at 6 months post-operation and 95.3% at 12 months post-operation. The two participants who were lost to follow-up included one in the conventional group (n=20) and one in the 3D group (n=23). The average age of the participants was 26.0±4.1 years. In the cohort, 56.1% were male and there was no statistical difference in the male to female ratio between the conventional group and the 3D group (P>0.05, Table 1). Sports injury accounted for 87.8% of the cases. The 41 patients with complete follow-up data were included in the final analysis. The median follow-up was 14.1 months with a range of 12–15 months (Figure 4).

**Center point accuracy of the bone tunnel**

The distance between the center of the tunnel and the anatomic landmark was assessed according to the definitions used by Zantop and colleagues (21). There were no differences in the central points of the bone tunnels between the actual postoperative position and the preoperative design in the 3D group (P>0.05, Table 2). The accuracy of the tunnel positioning was also assessed using an anatomical coordinate system to describe the location (Figure 5A,B). The position of the femoral tunnel in the conventional group was more inferior and shallower (P<0.001), and the position of the tibia tunnel was closer to the anterior and medial edge of the tibial platform (P<0.001, Table 3) compared to the 3D group.

**Secondary endpoints**

The intraoperative positioning time was shorter in the 3D group compared to the conventional group (3.3±1.0 vs.
5.9±1.8 minutes, P<0.001, Table 1). The Lysholm scores and IKDC scores at each time point postoperatively were significantly better than the preoperative scores in both groups (P<0.001 for all comparisons). However, there were no differences between the conventional group and the 3D group (Table 4). The range of motion for the affected knee returned to normal 12 months after the operation for both groups. The postoperative MRI scans revealed that none of the patients in either group showed signs of ligamentous laxity or other soft tissue injuries.

### Complications

Incision swelling was not observed in any of the patients, and all participants achieved primary healing after the operation. There were no complications, such as vascular injury, deep vein thrombosis, and joint cavity infection. However, three patients (one in the conventional group...
Table 2 Comparison of the actual tunnel position with the expected position obtained from the model (n=22)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of bone tunnel insertion point</th>
<th>Preoperative design</th>
<th>Postoperative actual position</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Femoral tunnel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth (d/D) (%)</td>
<td>27.8±4.4</td>
<td>27.6±4.2</td>
<td>0.651</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (h/H) (%)</td>
<td>36.4±8.6</td>
<td>36.0±8.1</td>
<td>0.643</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibial tunnel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sagittal plane (s/S) (%)</td>
<td>38.6±1.6</td>
<td>38.1±2.2</td>
<td>0.271</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronal plane (c/C) (%)</td>
<td>47.5±1.7</td>
<td>47.2±1.9</td>
<td>0.265</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

d/D, h/H, s/S, and c/C can be seen in Figure 3A and B. d/D, percentage of the depth of the femoral tunnel insertion point; h/H, percentage of the height of the femoral tunnel insertion point; s/S, percentage of the sagittal plane of the tibial tunnel insertion point; c/C, percentage of the coronal plane of the tibial tunnel insertion point.

A
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Figure 5 A comparison of the center point position of the femoral insertion point (A) and the tibial insertion point (B) in the 3D group and the conventional group.

Table 3 Comparison of post-operative tunnel position between two groups (n=41)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of bone tunnel insertion point</th>
<th>Conventional group (n=19)</th>
<th>3D group (n=22)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Femoral tunnel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Depth (d/D) (%)</td>
<td>30.4±3.7</td>
<td>27.6±4.2</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (h/H) (%)</td>
<td>40.7±6.4</td>
<td>36.0±8.1</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tibial tunnel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sagittal plane (s/S) (%)</td>
<td>36.5±2.6</td>
<td>38.1±2.2</td>
<td>0.038</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coronal plane (c/C) (%)</td>
<td>45.3±2.5</td>
<td>47.2±1.9</td>
<td>0.010</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

d/D, h/H, s/S, and c/C can be seen in Figure 3A and B. d/D, percentage of the depth of the femoral tunnel insertion point; h/H, percentage of the height of the femoral tunnel insertion point; s/S, percentage of the sagittal plane of the tibial tunnel insertion point; c/C, percentage of the coronal plane of the tibial tunnel insertion point.
and two in the 3D group) suffered from hypoesthesia of the infrapatellar nerve. Postoperatively, no adverse symptoms such as locking and limited extension were reported in the affected knee.

**Discussion**

ACL reconstruction surgery is complicated by anatomical variations among individuals (13,14) and as such, CAS might be beneficial (15,16). Therefore, the present study aimed to explore the benefits of using 3D-printed individualized navigation templates during ACL reconstruction surgery. The location accuracy and early clinical outcomes were examined. The data suggested that the 3D-printed individualized navigation templates resulted in improved location accuracy and shorter intraoperative positioning times compared to the traditional method of ACL reconstruction.

Accurately locating the ACL anatomical insertion point is challenging (14). Surgeons mainly locate this by using bone markers visualized under arthroscopy, but it is difficult to achieve a perfect ACL anatomical reconstruction, and the operation may not always be successful (22). Many researchers have explored novel methods to accurately locate the ACL insertion point, and found that using a fluoroscopy navigation system, a laser location system, or a reconstruction navigation system based on 2D-3D registration could achieve an accurate bone tunnel position (23–25). Ni and colleagues (26) used CT data to determine the ACL insertion location in 20 cases of cadaveric knee joints. This data was then applied to the design of a 3D-printed navigation template which was used to locate the bone tunnel position. They noted that the mean deviation of the actual position from the preoperative design was 0.57 mm for the femoral end and 0.58 mm for the tibial end. Despite the encouraging results, their navigation system necessitated an increased intraoperative radiation dose, and the procedure was relatively complex, thus limiting its clinical application and appeal. In addition, Ni and colleagues used relatively large bone anchors in the femur and tibia, and in clinical practice this may cause significant damage to the patients. Therefore, the results from this latter study do not have great clinical significance.

According to Zantop and colleagues (21), the anatomical femoral tunnel position in CT scan measurements should be within a range of 18.5–29.3% for depth and 22.3–53.6% for height, and the tibial tunnel position in the sagittal plane should be within a range of 30–44%. Recently, Parkinson and colleagues (27) suggested that the central point of the normal ACL tibial insertion should be located 39% from the anterior and 48% from the medial side on a standardized tibial grid system. In our study, similar results were obtained using the grid method. In the preoperative design, the ACL femoral anatomical insertion was 27.8% in depth and 36.4% in height [compared with a median of 23.9% and 37.9%, respectively, in studies by Zantop and colleagues (21)]. The ACL tibial anatomical insertion was located 38.1% from the anterior and 47.2% from the medial side of the tibia, in agreement with previous studies (27). This suggested that it is feasible to confirm

| Table 4 Comparison of the knee joint function between the two groups (n=41) |
|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Function scores             | Conventional group (n=19)   | 3D group (n=22)             | P               |
| Lysolm score                |                             |                             |                 |
| Pre-operation               | 46.89±4.08                  | 46.68±4.25                  | 0.871           |
| 6 months post-operation     | 80.21±5.37                  | 81.16±4.23                  | 0.347           |
| 12 months post-operation    | 81.26±5.31                  | 82.00±4.39                  | 0.303           |
| Statistics                  | F=295.465, P<0.001          | F=474.825, P<0.001          |                 |
| IKDC score                  |                             |                             |                 |
| Pre-operation               | 45.58±5.75                  | 45.86±5.15                  | 0.868           |
| 6 months post-operation     | 82.94±3.48                  | 83.91±3.15                  | 0.339           |
| 12 months post-operation    | 85.68±5.58                  | 87.23±5.60                  | 0.380           |
| Statistics                  | F=374.845, P<0.001          | F=520.621, P<0.001          |                 |

IKDC, International Knee Documentation Committee scores.
the ACL insertion location of the affected knee using the methods adopted in this study. At the same time, there was no difference between the actual position of the bone tunnel center and the preoperative design used in the 3D group, suggesting that the navigation template designed in this study demonstrated good accuracy. When compared with the 3D group, the position of the femoral tunnel was more inferior and shallower in the conventional group, and the position of the tibia tunnel was closer to the anterior and medial edge of the tibial platform. The depth of the femoral insertion was outside the recommended range (21,27). Therefore, we can infer that the 3D group might achieve a better ACL insertion position than the conventional group. The ‘Mirror’ method resulted in the individualized and precise determination of the position of the anatomical insertion point in ACL reconstruction surgery.

The use of 3D printing to produce individualized devices that assist in medical treatments is inexpensive and has many advantages, including shorter operation times, and improved accuracy of location or orientation (28-31). The present study demonstrated that a 3D-printed navigation template could be used directly to locate the center point of the bone tunnel during the operation. This reduced the intraoperative positioning time required to determine the ACL insertion point compared to conventional methods. At 6 and 12 months after the operation, patients had better Lysholm and IKDC scores, suggesting that the function of the knee joint had recovered well. Patients did not present with limited knee extension during follow-up, which might indirectly indicate that the graft did not collide with the intercondylar notch, and the reconstructed bone tunnels were in a good position. In addition, no incision swelling, infection, or other discomforts were reported in the experimental group, indicating that the PLA materials used for the template in this study can be safely used in the clinical setting.

Once MRI data has been collected, the preparation of the individualized navigation templates takes about 6 hours. In the present study, the cost of the template was covered by the research project, but the estimated costs to the patients would be about 300 renminbi (RMB), or about 42 United States dollars for each patient. Hence, the low costs would justify the improvement in patient outcomes.

**Limitations**

This study was limited by its small sample size, which may have caused bias in the inclusion of the participants. Larger studies from multiple centers would be needed to confirm the results. A longer follow-up period would be beneficial to fully evaluate the outcomes and safety of using the 3D template. Due to the short follow-up period, only early outcomes could be assessed. In addition, there are also some limitations that should be considered when using the 3D template method. Firstly, an improper insertion angle of the navigation template and radian for the handle of the guide plate will affect the accuracy of the intraoperative location. Secondly, if the patient has an injury of the bilateral ACLs, the mirror image model cannot be generated as there is no healthy ACL, and thus, the accurate central position of the anatomical insertion of the affected ACL cannot be obtained. Hence, further research is needed regarding the design of the guide plate to allow an appropriate insertion angle. In addition, alternative designs methods will need to be explored for patients with bilateral ACL ruptures.

**Conclusions**

The use of the 3D-printed individualized navigation templates designed in this study resulted in improved location accuracy and shorter intraoperative positioning time compared with the conventional method for ACL reconstruction surgery. Satisfactory early clinical outcomes were achieved after the operation, but further follow-up is required to explore its long-term outcomes.
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