Identification of critical radioresistance genes in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma by whole-exome sequencing
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Background: Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) is one of the most lethal cancer due to insufficient actionable molecules. Radiotherapy (RT) plays a vital role in the treatment of ESCC, while radioresistance is a significant challenge to RT and results in locoregional and distant failure.

Methods: Radioresistance is a complex involving confounding factors, and its genetic mechanism is challenging to study. Postoperative recurrence after RT is more likely to be due to genetic causes than recurrence in unoperated patients. Therefore, two independent cohorts of ESCC patients who had received postoperative radiotherapy (PORT) and had opposite prognoses were set up, and whole-exome sequencing (WES) technology was applied. We compared the differences in the mutant spectra between the two groups.

Results: The mutation rate was slightly higher in the relapsed group than in the stable group [average mutation rate, 1.15 vs. 0.73 mutations per megabyte (Mb)], while the mutation types and proportions in the two groups were not significantly different. In particular, three mutated genes (TTN, MUC19, and NPIPA5) and two copy number alterations (CNAs) (1q amplification and 14q deletion) were identified to be associated with poor RT prognosis, while MUC4 was a favorable factor.

Conclusions: These radioresistance biomarkers may supply insight into predicting the radioresponse. Further, these findings offer the first data on the mutational landscape of ESCC radioresistance.
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Introduction

Esophageal carcinoma (EC) is the seventh most common and the sixth most lethal cancer worldwide (1). Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma (ESCC) is the most common histology and is endemic in East Asia. The prognosis of EC is abysmal, and the overall 5-year survival rate is approximately 20% (2). Immunotherapy is a promising treatment for ESCC, but the effective rate is still low, and most of it is used in the second line of advanced esophageal cancer. In China, ESCC ranks fourth in cancer-related deaths, with an estimated case number of over 375,000 deaths from EC occurring in 2015 (3).
Radiotherapy (RT) has historically played a pivotal role in the management of EC; however, the efficacy of radiation monotherapy is inferior. Radioresistance results in high locoregional recurrence and metastasis (4), possibly the chief reasons for its poor efficacy (5). For decades, many related studies have been performed (6-9). In the era precision medicine, different from cancer species that could be treated with targeted drugs, due to insufficient of biomarker assays to predict which patients are radiosensitive and which are radioresistant, radioresistance-associated predictive biomarkers of ESCC are more urgently needed.

As a complex disease with multiple genes, the pathogenesis of tumor may be related to the variation of exon group. Whole-exome sequencing (WES) technology has the advantages of low cost and high efficiency, which makes it have considerable application prospect in the study of disease mechanism (10). After introducing of WES, many driver genes of ESCC pathogenesis and several important signaling pathways have been identified (11-15). However, radioresistance is a complex phenomenon involving cancer stem cells (CSCs), intrinsic radiosensitivity, human papillomavirus (HPV) status, hypoxia, and repopulation (16). Subsequent studies attempting to identify radioresistance-associated genes in the treatment-naïve setting are unlikely to be successful because various factors are expected to affect the radiation response. However, for postoperative patients treated with esophageal resection and en bloc lymphadenectomy, only a few remaining tumor cells and other confounding factors are expected. Comparing the sequencing data of postoperative ESCC patients with opposite prognoses who received postoperative radiotherapy (PORT) is a more suitable approach, and the effects of genetic alterations on radioresistance can be more easily distinguished.

In this study, two cohorts of ESCC patients who received PORT and had opposite prognoses were set up. We characterized and compared the somatic alterations by WES. This study revealed different mutational signatures and frequently altered genes underlying ESCC radioresistance, easing a comprehensive understanding of radiation oncology.

We present the following article/case in accordance with the MDAR reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-20-5196).

Methods

Samples and clinical data

Two independent cohorts, including 23 ESCC patients from the Affiliated Hospital of Nantong University (Jiangsu, China), were enrolled in this study. Cohort 1, the relapsed ESCC group, included 12 patients with an early relapse of ESCC who had received PORT. Cohort 2, the stable ESCC group, included 11 ESCC patients who had received PORT and were confirmed to be in stable condition. Paraffin-embedded tumor samples, adjacent nontumor specimens, and tissues from recurrent tumors of those individuals were obtained from the pathology department. The tumor samples of all affected individuals had a tumor cell content of at least 40–50%. In this retrospective WES study, the inclusion criteria were as follows: (I) all patients had undergone radical surgical treatment and PORT; (II) the histological types of all ESCC cases had been determined; (III) postoperatively, all patients had pathological stage I to III disease according to the American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) 8th edition cancer staging manual; (IV) no patient had proceeded with preoperative neoadjuvant therapy; (V) all patients received an adequate dose of radiation, and recurrence occurred within the radiation field, as confirmed by the attending physician; and (VI) in all patients, recurrence was determined within two years after the end of RT by biopsy, PET/CT or CT. Clinical data of the studied subjects were collected from medical records at the Affiliated Hospital of Nantong University. Patient information was collected via telephone interviews with ESCC-affected individuals or, if these individuals were deceased, through their first-degree relatives via a survey questionnaire.

Approval from the Institutional Research Ethics Committee of Affiliated Hospital of Nantong University (Jiangsu, China) (No. 2019-K078) and prior consent from patients was obtained for using the clinical materials for research purposes. The study was performed following the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in 2013).

WES and variant interpretation

WES was performed by Geneseeq Inc. (Nanjing, China) on DNA extracted from the qualified genomic DNA from 23 tumors and matched normal tissues. In brief, Illumina paired-end libraries were constructed from DNA samples and subjected to whole-exome capture using the SureSelect Human All Exon V6 + UTR r2 core design [91 megabyte (Mb), Agilent] followed by sequencing on an Illumina HiSeq 4000 platform. The captured, purified, and amplified libraries targeting the exomes from the patients were subjected to paired-end sequencing (2×100 bp) on the
Illumina HiSeq 4000 and were aligned to human genome 19 (hg19) using Burrows-Wheeler Aligner (BWA) with default settings. Single-nucleotide variants (SNVs) were defined by the DiBayes algorithm as differences from the reference human genome sequence, and variants present in the paired normal DNA or representing sequencing errors were removed. The SOLiD Small InDel Tool was used to screen small insertions and deletions. We performed ADTEx (17) to detect the somatic copy number variations (SCNVs). ADTEx is tailored for WES data; to infer SCNVs in ESCC genomes from the normalized ratio of WES data from tumor and matched non-neoplastic mucosae. Copy number gains and losses were referred to as amplifications and deletions, respectively.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analyses were performed using R (www.r-project.org) and GraphPad Prism 7.0 (GraphPad Software, Inc., San Diego, CA, USA). Progression-free survival (PFS) was determined using the Kaplan-Meier method, and the log-rank test was used to determine the differences between patients with or without mutations in the specified gene. A Cox proportional hazard regression model was used to analyze the prognostic relevance of the clinical parameters. P<0.05 was considered to indicate a statistically significant difference.

**Results**

**Clinical characteristics of the ESCC patients**

In this retrospective study, 23 pairs of tumor-normal PORT ESCC specimens (12 patients with early relapse, 11 individuals in stable condition) and 7 tissues from recurrent tumors were comprehensively characterized via WES. All patients underwent radical resection (R0), subsequent PORT, and selective chemotherapy. All patients were treated in our department with similar RT techniques and radiation doses. The demographic and clinical features of these patients are summarized in Table 1. No significant differences in clinical features were observed between the two groups. Of the 11 in-field recurrent cases, 7 were confirmed by biopsy, 3 by PET/CT, and 1 by CT. The sites of recurrence were the anastomosis in 5 patients, mediastinal lymph nodes in 5 patients, and left the main bronchus (invasion) in 1 patient.

**Dissimilarities in somatic mutation characteristics between the two groups**

We achieved a read coverage of 145-fold in the 7 tissues from recurrent tumors and 23 pairs of tumor-normal samples. The average mutation rate was 0.95 nonsilent mutations per Mb; 75.1% of the genetic variants were SNVs, 13.7% were deletions, and 10.5% were insertions. For the 12 patients with relapsed ESCC, the median nonsilent mutation rate was 1.15 per Mb (range, 0.18–2.74 per Mb). For the 11 stable tumors, the nonsilent mutation rate per Mb was 0.73 (range, 0.30–1.61) (Figure S1). This nonsilent mutation rate was slightly lower than recently reported mutation rates in Chinese patients with ESCC (2.4–2.9 mutations per Mb) (12,18,19), possibly because many low-quality mutations were filtered out through of stringent parameter settings during this sequencing process.

The mutation spectrum was compared between the two cohorts. Mutations in TP53, MUC4, and QR1CH2 were the most frequent alterations observed in this study (50%, 8%, and 17% and 64%, 55%, 36% in cohort 1 and cohort 2, respectively). In cohort 1, the relapsed ESCC group, the TP53 (50%), SYNE2 (25%), TTN (25%), MUC19 (25%), and NPIP45 (25%) genes were significantly mutated, with at least three nonsilent mutations. Moreover, we found 392 genes that were unique to the relapsed group, and the spectrum showed the top 8 of these (MUC19, NPIP45, ARID1A, FOXG1, SBSN, ZFP36L2, ZNF804B, and NEFM). In cohort 2, the stable ESCC group, TP53 (64%), MUC4 (55%), QR1CH2 (36%), RHPN2 (27%), and WNK1 (27%) were the significantly mutated genes. A total of 192 genes were unique to the stable group, and the spectrum showed the top 6 of these (WNK1, AIM1L, KIF2, KRT8, LAMAS, and SPHKAP) (Figure 1).

**Survival analysis of the two groups**

A total of 23 patients with ESCC were included in this study. In cohort 1, the relapsed ESCC group, all 12 ESCC patients, had new events, including death, after PORT. The median follow-up time for determining PFS was 8.3 months (range, 6.2–22.3 months; mean, 11.4 months). In cohort 2, the stable ESCC group, all 11 ESCC patients were confirmed to be in stable condition. The median follow-up time for determining PFS was undefined (range, 10.4–39.2 months; mean 23.8 months). According to the survival analysis,
MUC4 [log-rank P=0.043; HR, 0.1592 (95% CI, 0.0491–0.5161)], TTN [log-rank P=0.0074; HR, 0.2088 (95% CI, 0.02105–2.07)], MUC19 (log-rank P=0.025; HR, 0.2591 (95% CI, 0.03201–2.098)] and NPIPA5 [log-rank P=0.045; HR, 0.2873 (95% CI, 0.03875–2.13)] gene mutations were significant prognostic and predictive indicators (Figure 2) in ESCC. The specific mutation sites in the MUC4, TTN, MUC19, and NPIPA5 genes are shown in Figure S2.

Copy number alterations (CNAs)

Somatic copy number alterations (SCNAs) can affect gene expression by altering gene dosage, disrupting coding sequences, and changing the genome structure. Many SCNAs are associated with various diseases (20–22). To investigate SCNAs in ESCC, we first detected chromosome arm-level events in tumor samples. Consequently, a copy number gain of 1q and deletion of 14q were observed to

Table 1 Clinicopathological features of patients with ESCC

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Stable group (%) (n=11 patients)</th>
<th>Relapsed group (%) (n=12 patients)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>6 (54.5%)</td>
<td>10 (83.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>5 (45.5%)</td>
<td>2 (16.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤65</td>
<td>7 (63.6%)</td>
<td>7 (58.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;65</td>
<td>4 (36.4%)</td>
<td>5 (41.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pathological grade</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.539</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1/G1–2</td>
<td>2 (18.2%)</td>
<td>4 (33.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2/G2–3</td>
<td>4 (36.4%)</td>
<td>5 (41.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>5 (45.5%)</td>
<td>3 (25.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T classification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T1/T2</td>
<td>3 (27.3%)</td>
<td>5 (41.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>8 (72.7%)</td>
<td>7 (58.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N classification</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N0</td>
<td>6 (54.5%)</td>
<td>5 (41.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N1–3</td>
<td>5 (45.5%)</td>
<td>7 (58.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical stage</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>1 (9.1%)</td>
<td>1 (8.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>5 (45.5%)</td>
<td>5 (41.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>5 (45.5%)</td>
<td>6 (50.0%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Radiation dose</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45–50 Gy</td>
<td>6 (54.5%)</td>
<td>7 (58.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;50 Gy</td>
<td>5 (45.5%)</td>
<td>5 (41.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjuvant chemotherapy</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>6 (54.5%)</td>
<td>7 (58.3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>5 (45.5%)</td>
<td>5 (41.7%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fisher's exact test. Values of P≤0.05 show statistically significant differences. ESCC, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma.
be associated with a risk of recurrence after postoperative chemoradiotherapy. The gain of 1q was detected in 7 (30.4%) of the 23 patients with ESCC: 2 in the stable group and 5 in the relapsed group. We observed a statistically significant difference in PFS time between patients with different 1q gain statuses: the median PFS time was 7.8 months for those with 1q gain and undefined for those without 1q gain [log-rank P=0.03; HR, 0.312 (95% CI, 0.07205–1.351)] (Figure 3A).

Furthermore, the GISTIC module was used to identify the significantly amplified or deleted regions of the genome in the 23 tumors analyzed by WES. A copy number gain of 11q13.3 was observed frequently in both groups, and gains of 3q27.1, 12p13.33, 14q11.2, 14q13.3, and 17q12 were observed in the stable group, while no deletions were observed in either group (Figure S3).

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, this is the first deep sequencing study comparing favorable-prognosis and unfavorable-prognosis patients with ESCC treated with PORT to identify radioresistance-related biomarkers in esophageal cancer.
Chen et al. Radioresistance genes in esophageal squamous cell carcinoma

is one of the most important therapeutic strategies for EC. However, due to radioresistance, the proportion of infield recurrence after high dose RT may still be high, even for patients treated with PORT (23). For decades, this problem has been a significant challenge in radiation oncology. The heterogeneous nature of radioresistance has already been described by others (5,16,24). Finding predictive biomarkers is confusing because several factors may be associated with radioresistance. Therefore, new research design and advanced research technology are needed to address this issue.

In this study, using WES, we performed such an analysis of mutational features in two independent cohorts of ESCC patients who had received PORT and revealed the differences in the mutation spectrum between the two groups. We found that the mutation rate in the relapsed

Figure 2 Comparison of Kaplan-Meier estimates of PFS according to the mutation status of MUC4 (A), TTN (B), MUC19 (C), and NPIPA5 (D). PFS, progression-free survival.

Figure 3 Comparison of Kaplan-Meier estimates of PFS according to CNAs of 1q amplification (A) and 14q deletion (B). PFS, progression-free survival; CANS, copy number alterations.
group was slightly higher than that in the stable group. The mutation types and proportions in the two groups did not differ significantly; C>T transition was the primary type, similar to reported mutation types in Chinese patients with ESCC (18). Furthermore, critical genetic differences were observed, and genetic alterations specific to the relapsed group were detected, including alterations in MUC4, TTN, MUC19, NPIPA5, ARID1A, FOXG1, SBSN, ZFP36L2, ZNF804B, and NEFM. Finally, three mutated genes (TTN, MUC19, and NPIPA5) and two CNAs (1q amplification and 14q deletion) were identified to be associated with poor RT prognosis, while MUC4 was a favorable factor.

MUC4 is membrane-bound mucin that promotes carcinogenic progression and is often proposed as a promising biomarker for various carcinomas (25-28). Acting as a ligand for the interaction with ErbB, MUC4 can bind HER2 and activate several downstream signaling proteins, including ERK1/2, Akt, FAK, and c-Src, among others (29-31). MUC4 is also found in both normal and ESCC tissues, and its expression correlates with all stages of squamous cell differentiation (32). Additionally, MUC4 has been reported to play a vital role in the proliferative and migratory properties of esophageal cancer cells (33). In this study, the MUC4 missense mutation rate in the stable ESCC group was noticeably higher than that in the relapsed group (55% vs. 8%), and patients with MUC4 missense mutations had much longer PFS times than those without these mutations (Figure 2). Several papers have reported that overexpression of MUC4 causes various treatment resistance phenotypes through diverse signaling pathways (27,34,35), including antiapoptotic activity and G0/G1 cell cycle arrest. The radiation response is also associated with apoptosis and the distribution of the cell cycle. Therefore, we speculated that MUC4 missense mutation was likely to weaken postoperative radioresistance in ESCC patients. However, the functional consequences of MUC4 missense mutation in ESCC and its corresponding roles in radioresistance remain to be elucidated.

TTN, also named cardiomyopathy, dilated 1G (CMD1G), the longest known coding gene, is emerging as a significant gene in human inherited disease, and its truncation mutations are strongly related to cardiac and skeletal muscle diseases (36). TTN has recently been reported to be mutated frequently in many tumor types, including lung squamous cell carcinoma, lung adenocarcinoma, and breast cancer (37-39). In this study, the TTN missense mutation rate in the relapsed ESCC group was noticeably higher than that in the stable group (25% vs. 9%), and patients without TTN missense mutations had much longer PFS times than those with mutations (Figure 2). Lin et al. (40) reported that IncRNA-TTN-AS1, derived from the antisense strand of the TTN gene, promoted ESCC cell proliferation and metastasis. Furthermore, cell cycle analysis confirmed ESCC cells overexpressing IncRNA-TTN-AS1 exhibited a significantly reduced G1 population, and a markedly increased S phase population. According to the radiobiology theory, S-phase cells are remarkably resistant to radiation. This phenomenon could explain why patients with TTN missense mutations had short PFS times. However, more research must explain the core relationship between TTN missense mutation and radioresistance.

Both MUC19 and NPIPA5 were identified to be associated with poor RT prognosis in this study. Furthermore, MUC19 was identified as a novel gland-specific gel-forming mucin gene and mainly expressed in the mucous cells of various glands, including the primary salivary glands (41). MUC19 mutation was reported in melanoma (42), and patients with high MUC19 expression exhibited worse prognosis in breast cancer (43). However, whether MUC19 participates in the tumorigenesis or radioresistance of ESCC is still unclear. NPIPA5 mutation has rarely been reported to be associated with human tumors, which might deserve further investigations to study the functional relevance of individual mutational events.

The ARID1A gene is usually described as a tumor suppressor, which recurrently mutated in many types of cancers, including ovarian, gastric, bladder, and breast cancers (44). ARID1A has also been reported as a novel tumor suppressor gene in Barrett’s esophagus pathogenesis (45). ARID1A actively participates in the regulation of several cellular events, including cell cycle arrest (46), DNA repair, and nonhomologous end-joining (NHEJ) activity (47). All these events are among the mechanisms that could lead to resistance to radiation and chemotherapy. In this study, the ARID1A mutation was unique to the recurrence group, although the mutation rate of ARID1A was not very high (17%), and a significant association with PFS was not found. Whether the ARID1A mutation is related to ESCC radioresistance, remain to be further studied. This research supplied a fundamental basis on ARID1A and ESCC.

Also, both 1q copy number gain and 14q deletion are identified to be associated with poor RT prognosis in this study. Many previous studies (48-50) have shown that a gain of 1q is a poor prognostic factor in various malignancies, including ESCC and esophageal adenocarcinoma (51,52). In particular, Merchant et al. (49) reported that patients with...
infratentorial tumors without 1q gain have higher event-free survival (EFS) rates with surgery and conformal radiation therapy than those with 1q gain. These results were like those in this study. Patients with 1q amplification have a poor prognosis after PORT, suggesting that 1q is indeed associated with radioresistance. Also, the importance of 1q in tumor suppression has been validated in esophageal cancer (53). The conclusions of these studies were consistent with that in this study. Considering the above results, one or more putative genes located on chromosome arms 1q and 14q may be associated with radioresistance.

Although this study has a small sample size and precludes us from reaching any firm conclusions about mutations associated with radioresistance in ESCC, we can draw several potentially essential conclusions. First, our study showed that comparing the gene mutation spectrum of patients with ESCC whose prognoses are opposite after PORT is a practical approach to study the genetic mechanisms of radioresistance, which are worthy of further exploration. Second, we found that MUC4, TTN, MUC19, NPIPA5, 1q, and 14q mutations were explicitly associated with ESCC radioresistance, and these findings can potentially be used to guide subsequent studies.

Additionally, mutations participate in heterotypic interactions with one another and interact with diverse signaling pathways and identify novel putative drivers and future directions for functional studies that are challenging and remain mostly unresolved. Thus, a more homogeneous study population with well-characterized clinical data is urgently needed.
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Figure S1 The median nonsilent mutation rate in 12 relapses ESCC and 11 stable ESCC tumors. ESCC, esophageal squamous cell carcinoma.
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