Radiomics predict postoperative survival of patients with primary liver cancer with different pathological types
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Background: Radiomics can be used to determine the prognosis of liver cancer, but it might vary among cancer types. This study aimed to explore the clinicopathological features, radiomics, and survival of patients with hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), mass-type cholangiocarcinoma (MCC), and combined hepatocellular-cholangiocarcinoma (CHCC).

Methods: This was a retrospective cohort study of patients with primary liver cancer operated at the department of hepatobiliary surgery of the First Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang University from 07/2013 to 11/2015. All patients underwent preoperative liver enhanced MRI scans and diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI). The radiomics characteristics of DWI and the enhanced equilibrium phase (EP) images were extracted. The mRMR (minimum redundancy maximum relevance) was applied to filter the parameters.

Results: There were 44 patients with MCC, 59 with HCC, and 33 with CHCC. Macrovascular invasion, positive ferritin preoperatively, positive AFP preoperatively, positive CEA preoperatively, Correlation, Inverse Difference Moment, and Cluster Prominence in model A (DWI and clinicopathological parameters) were independently associated with overall survival (OS) (P<0.05). Lymphadenopathy, gender, positive ferritin preoperatively, positive AFP preoperatively, positive CEA preoperatively, Uniformity, and Cluster Prominence in model B (EP and clinicopathological parameters) were independently associated with OS (P<0.05). Macrovascular invasion, lymphadenopathy, gender, positive ferritin preoperatively, positive CEA preoperatively, Uniformity_EP, GLCMEnergy_DWI, and Cluster Prominence_EP in model C (image texture and clinicopathological parameters) were independently associated with OS (P<0.05). Those factors were used to construct three nomograms to predict OS.

Conclusions: Clinicopathological and radiomics features are independently associated with the OS of patients with primary liver cancer.
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Introduction

Primary liver cancer can be classified as intrahepatic cholangiocellular carcinoma, hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC), and combined hepatocellular-cholangiocarcinoma (CHCC) (1). Mass-type cholangiocarcinoma (MCC) is the main subtype of intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (2). CHCC has the lowest frequency among primary liver cancers, accounting for 0.4–14.2% (3). Currently, the prognosis of liver cancer is based on clinical and biochemical features such as HBeAg, AFP, HBV-DNA load, prothrombin, creatinine, hypertension, statin treatment, cirrhosis, tumor number, tumor size, encapsulation, microvascular invasion, and surgical margins (4-6), but their prognostic value is limited.

The prognosis of CHCC is worse than that of HCC and cholangiocarcinoma, and survival is lower (7,8). Nevertheless, the prognosis of CHCC in relation to HCC and cholangiocarcinoma may vary (9). It has been suggested that vascular invasion, tumor size, tumor location, tumor multifocal, tumor stage, and lymph node metastasis are key factors affecting the prognosis of CHCC (10,11), while another study suggested that high levels of α-fetoprotein (AFP) (≥400 IU/L) is an independent factor affecting the prognosis of CHCC (10).

Texture analysis (TA) of tumor images can be objectively measured and has a high value in the characterization, evaluation of therapeutic effects, and prognosis of tumors (12,13). In lung cancer, radiomics characterization is an independent biomarker for assessing the disease-free survival (DFS) of patients with early non-small cell lung cancer (14).

The radiomics signatures that could predict the prognosis of liver cancer still remain to be defined. Hence, this retrospective cohort study explored the key factors affecting survival after radical surgery for liver cancer, by analyzing the clinicopathological features, radiomics, and survival of three different pathological types of primary liver cancer, i.e., HCC, MCC, and CHCC. We present the following article in accordance with the STROBE reporting checklist (available at http://dx.doi.org/10.21037/atm-19-4668).

Methods

This retrospective cohort study was approved by the institutional review board of the First Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang University (No.: 2017-712). Informed consent was waived because of the retrospective nature of the study.

Patients

Patients with primary liver cancer operated at the department of hepatobiliary surgery of the First Affiliated Hospital of Zhejiang University from July 2013 to November 2015 were included. The inclusion criteria were: (I) complete resection of the primary liver cancer; (II) MCC, HCC, or CHCC confirmed by surgical pathology; (III) without distant metastasis; (IV) preoperative magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and contrast-enhanced MRI performed on a 3.0-T Signa HDxt (GE Healthcare, Waukesha, WI, USA); and (V) available follow-up data. The exclusion criteria were: (I) significant MRI artifacts affecting diagnosis; (II) lesions ≤5 mm, affecting image texture feature extraction; (III) incomplete clinical data; (IV) received liver transplantation; (V) recurrence of liver cancer; or (VI) lost to follow-up or incomplete data.

Clinicopathological data

The clinical data were extracted (by JZ) from the medical charts. All patients had a detailed clinical history and a complete physical examination. Postoperative tumor pathology was assessed by two experienced pathologists to give a final diagnosis. The patients were grouped based on the original histopathological diagnosis.

MRI parameters

MRI was performed using a 3.0-T Signa HDxt (GE Healthcare, Waukesha, WI, USA) MRI system and an 8-channel phased-array surface coils. The parameters of the breath-hold T1WI pressure-sensitive LIVA scan sequence was: repetition time (TR)/echo time (TE) (3.1/1.5 ms), matrix 320×256, layer thickness 5 mm, and field-of-view (FOV) 40×32 cm. The intravenous injection of 0.1 mmol/kg of the contrast agent Gd-DTPA was performed using a high-pressure syringe at 2.5 mL/s; 15 mL of normal saline were injected at the same rate. The arterial phase, portal phase, and equilibration phase (EP) liver images were collected after 20, 60, and 150 s of contrast media injection, respectively. The scanning parameters of diffusion-weighted imaging (DWI) were: TR 9,000 ms, TE 60.5 ms, FOV 3,828.5 cm, matrix 128×128, layer thickness 5 mm, and diffusion coefficient b value 1,000 s/mm².

Image analysis

Analysis of the target area heterogeneity was performed
using dedicated AK software (Analysis Kit, GE Healthcare, Waukesha, WI, USA) to obtain a series of image features. All magnetic resonance enhanced EP images (DICOM format) containing liver lesions were imported into the AK software. All layers of all lesions were manually delineated as regions of interest (ROIs) (15) and segmented by an attending radiologist with 10 years of work experience. The software automatically combined the lesions at all levels and calculated the quantitative image feature parameters in the ROI (Figure S1). Considering that the three types of primary liver cancer have different enhancement features, EP was chosen because all lesions have the clearest images and display limited variability among them.

Radiomics

The minimum redundancy maximum relevance (mRMR) method and the elastic network algorithm were used to select the 384 feature parameters and DWI-acquired image features. If there was a missing value in the image feature column, the missing value was filled with the mean of the column. Five parameters remained after mRMR de-redundancy and elastic network dimension reduction (Correlation_angle90_offset1, Quantile0.975, Correlation_angle45_offset7, Inverse Difference Moment_All Direction_offset4_SD, and Cluster Prominence_angle0_offset7). In addition, 384 image features were acquired from the EP. If there was a missing value in the image feature column, the missing value was filled with the mean of the column. Five parameters remained after mRMR de-redundancy and elastic network dimension reduction (Max Intensity, Haralick Correlation_angle135_offset7, uniformity, Cluster Prominence_angle135_offset7, and Inertia_angle135_offset7). The DWI and EP each acquired 384 image features. If there was a missing value in the image feature column, the missing value was filled with the mean of the column, and seven parameters remained after mRMR de-redundancy and elastic network dimension reduction (Haralick Correlation_angle135_offset7_EP, Correlation_angle45_offset7_DWI, uniformity_EP, Max Intensity_EP, GLCM Energy_angle0_offset7_DWI, Cluster Prominence_angle0_offset7_DWI, and Cluster Prominence_angle135_offset7_EP).

Follow-up

The patients were followed by routine outpatient examination until death or November 30, 2017. Overall survival (OS) was the time from surgery to death.

Statistical analysis

There was no sample size calculation as all eligible patients during the study period were included. Statistical analysis was performed using R (glmnet package, cv.glmnet function). Continuous variables are presented as means ± standard deviation and were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA) with the least significant difference (LSD) post hoc test. Categorical variables are expressed as frequencies and were analyzed using the chi-square test, Fisher's exact test, or Kruskal-Wallis test. Because of the large differences in the numerical range between quantitative feature parameters of radiomics, the standard score method (z-score) was used to standardize the variables. Because of the redundancy between the quantitative image feature parameters of tumor lesions, the mRMR feature selection algorithm was used to calculate the correlation and redundancy between features, and then the elastic network algorithm was applied. The feature parameters were selected using the 5-fold cross-validation method. OS is presented as median (range) and Kaplan-Meier survival curves and was analyzed using the log-rank test at 6 months, 1 year, and 3 years. Four multivariable Cox regression models were established to determine the prognostic factors. The selection of the parameters to be included was based on the significance in the univariable analyses, as well as on the known clinical significance of parameters, according to the literature and the authors’ experience. All clinical indicators were tested for collinearity. The four models were: Model A, DWI, and clinicopathological features; Model B, EP, and clinicopathological features; and Model C, DWI, EP, and clinicopathological features; Model D, clinicopathological features only. Based on the results of the factors affecting the OS, four nomograms were established, and a decision curve analysis was performed in order to determine the clinical net benefit of those nomograms. Two-sided P values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

Results

Characteristics of the patients

There were 44 patients with MCC (45 lesions, one patient had two lesions), 59 with HCC (59 lesions), and 33 with CHCC (36 lesions, one patient had two lesions, and one patient had three lesions) (Table 1).
Radiomics features

The optimized lambdas ($\lambda$) of MRI, EP, and DWI were $0.04608123$, $0.06285455$, and $0.06285455$ [ln($\lambda$): $-3.077349$, $-2.766932$, and $-2.766932$], respectively (Figure S2).

OS according to the pathological types of primary liver cancer

The median OS of MCC, HCC, and CHCC was 29.8 (1.7–50.3), 30.8 (1.0–52.7), and 25.5 (1.0–51.3) months, respectively. The OS rates at 6 months, 1 year, and 3 years were 88.6% (39/44), 86.4% (38/44), and 63.6% (28/44); 94.9% (56/59), 89.8% (53/59), and 76.3% (45/59); and 96.9% (32/33), 81.8% (27/33), and 48.5% (16/33), respectively (P=0.020). The differences in OS were significant between HCC and CHCC (P=0.005) (Figure 1).

Multivariable analysis and nomogram of OS

Macrovascular invasion, tumor size, positive ferritin preoperatively, positive AFP preoperatively, positive CEA preoperatively, Correlation, Inverse Difference Moment, and Cluster Prominence in model A (five DWI feature parameters and 12 clinicopathological features) and were independent risk factors for OS (P<0.05) (Table 2). Lymphadenopathy, gender, positive ferritin preoperatively,
positive AFP preoperatively, positive CEA preoperatively, Uniformity, and Cluster Prominence in model B (five EP feature parameters + 12 clinicopathological features) and independent risk factors affecting OS (P<0.05) (Table 3). Macrovascular invasion, lymphadenopathy, gender, positive ferritin preoperatively, positive CEA preoperatively, Uniformity_EP, GLCMEnergy_DWI, and Cluster Prominence_EP in model C (seven image texture feature parameters + 12 clinicopathological features) and independent risk factors affecting OS (P<0.05) (Table 4). Table 5 shows the model that only includes the clinicopathological variables. Gender, lymphadenopathy, the largest tumor diameter, ferritin, AFP, and CEA were independent risk factors of OS. These factors were used to construct three nomograms (Figure 2). By calculating the total area under the curve, the net benefit of nomogram c (blue line) was significantly higher than the nomograms a (red line), b (green line) and d (yellow) (Figure 3). The supplementary model with clinicopathological variables only had the lowest net benefit (yellow line) globally.

**Discussion**

This study aimed to explore the clinicopathological features, radiomics, and survival of patients with HCC, MCC, and CHCC. The results suggest that clinicopathological and radiomics features are independently associated with the OS of patients with primary liver cancer.

The histopathological features of HCC and CHCC are completely different, and their prognosis is also different, but the prognostic factors associated with those tumors are inconsistent. Whether radiomics could be helpful for prognosis needs to be verified. Many authors suggest that the prognosis of CHCC is poorer than that of HCC. Maeda et al. (16) showed that the clinical background of CHCC and HCC is similar, but that CHCC has poor prognosis and survival compared with HCC because of its higher metastatic potential. Consistently, in the present study, the median survival of the patients was 25.5 months, significantly lower than for those with HCC (30.8 months). The survival of the CHCC group was lower than that of the other two groups, while the survival of the patients with MCC was similar to those with HCC. The multivariable Cox regression model revealed that elevated preoperative AFP, ferritin, and CEA levels, tumor size, macrovascular invasion, lymphadenopathy, gender, Correlation, Inverse Difference Moment, Cluster Prominence, Uniformity, and...

---

**Table 2** Elastic net and multivariable Cox model analysis of OS for primary hepatic carcinomas using a combination of clinicopathological features and texture parameters derived from MR-DWI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Overall survival</th>
<th>HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Macrovascular invasion*</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.296 (0.099–0.882)</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The largest tumor diameter ≤3 cm*</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.413 (1.150–1.736)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferritin &gt;323 ng/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.484 (1.073–5.751)</td>
<td>0.034</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFP &gt;20 ng/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.005 (1.017–3.951)</td>
<td>0.045</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEA &gt;5 ng/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.372 (1.871–15.423)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation_angle90_offset1</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.198 (0.071–0.553)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inverse Difference Moment_AllDirection_offset4</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.501 (1.061–2.124)</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster Prominence_angle0_offset7</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.183 (0.071–0.471)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*, macrovascular invasion, tumor size, and lymphadenopathy were assessed by magnetic resonance imaging. AFP, α-fetoprotein; HBsAg, hepatitis surface antigen; CA199, carbohydrate antigen 19-9; CA125, carbohydrate antigen 125; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; OS, overall survival; HR, hazard ratio.
GLCMEnergy were independently associated with OS of the three groups of primary liver cancer after operation. Three nomograms were constructed. The evaluation of the survival of the three groups of primary liver cancer by DWI, EP, and clinicopathological features group was the best. Therefore, radiomics features (ClusterProminence, Uniformity, and GLCMEnergy) probably have great medical value in the evaluation of postoperative OS of patients with primary liver cancer.

AFP is the first biological indicator and the most widely used in the diagnosis, prognosis, and monitoring of HCC. Cucchetti et al. (17) found that preoperative AFP levels in patients with HCC were significantly associated with early recurrence. The occurrence of AFP positivity in patients with cholangiocarcinoma is low, and about 20–25% of patients with intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma have serum AFP levels >20 ng/mL (18). Ishikawa et al. (19) reported one case of AFP-positive intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma and found that the cancer cells contained some stem cell markers. Ishii et al. (20) showed that AFP-positive intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma possesses stem-like cells. Park et al. (21) suggested that high levels of AFP are independently associated with the prognosis of CHCC. We found that two patients (4.5%), 29 patients (49.2%), and 20 patients (60.6%) were found to have higher than normal AFP levels in the MCC, HCC, and CHCC groups. Asayama et al. (22) showed that AFP levels are similar in

Table 3 Elastic net and multivariable Cox model analysis of OS for primary hepatic carcinomas using a combination of clinicopathological features and texture parameters derived from MR-EP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Overall survival</th>
<th>HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender, male</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.394 (0.175–0.889)</td>
<td>0.025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphadenopathy*</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.197 (1.074–4.494)</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferritin &gt;323 ng/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.639 (1.210–5.753)</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFP &gt;20 ng/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.351 (1.175–4.704)</td>
<td>0.016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEA &gt;5 ng/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.885 (1.066–7.809)</td>
<td>0.037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Max intensity</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.044 (0.723–1.506)</td>
<td>0.818</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniformity</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.453 (0.276–0.745)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster Prominence__angle135_offset7</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.544 (0.331–0.895)</td>
<td>0.017</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*, used to mark the corresponding variables were evaluated by magnetic resonance imaging. AFP, α-fetoprotein; HBsAg, hepatitis surface antigen; CA199, carbohydrate antigen 19-9; CA125, carbohydrate antigen 125; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; OS, overall survival; HR, hazard ratio.

Table 4 Elastic net and multivariable Cox model analysis of OS for primary hepatic carcinomas using a combination of clinicopathological features and texture parameters derived from MR-DWI and MR-EP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Overall survival</th>
<th>HR (95% CI)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender, female</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.375 (0.161–0.873)</td>
<td>0.023</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Macrovascular invasion*</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.297 (0.096–0.921)</td>
<td>0.036</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphadenopathy*</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.337 (1.130–4.830)</td>
<td>0.022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFP &gt;20 ng/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.671 (1.348–5.293)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEA &gt;5 ng/mL</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.112 (1.390–12.162)</td>
<td>0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uniformity_EP</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.420 (0.253–0.695)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLCMEnergy__angle0__offset7_DWI</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.550 (1.167–2.060)</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cluster Prominence__angle135_offset7_EP</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.562 (0.335–0.943)</td>
<td>0.029</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*, used to mark the corresponding variables were evaluated by magnetic resonance imaging. AFP, α-fetoprotein; HBsAg, hepatitis surface antigen; CA199, carbohydrate antigen 19-9; CA125, carbohydrate antigen 125; CEA, carcinoembryonic antigen; OS, overall survival; HR, hazard ratio.
patients with HCC and CHCC, and are hepatocellular-derived, while Lee et al. (23) suggested that the levels of AFP in CHCC is lower than that in HCC. The results of this study are slightly different from the above reports. Indeed, the positive rate of AFP in CHCC was significantly higher than in HCC. The OS of CHCC was lower than that of HCC, which was positively correlated with the AFP positive rate.

Ferritin is an intracellular protein that stores iron and plays a key role in iron homeostasis. Increased serum ferritin levels are seen in patients with increased iron stores, necrotizing liver inflammation, and systemic inflammatory response (24). Hann et al. (25) showed that elevated levels of ferritin in patients with chronic liver disease was a risk factor for HCC and that, similar to AFP, it can be used as a serum marker for the evaluation of HCC. Uchino et al. (26) found that extremely high or low serum ferritin levels were an independent risk factor for HCC in men with chronic hepatitis C. The use of ferritin as a biomarker to evaluate the survival to liver cancer has not been reported in the literature, but it has been reported as an independent prognostic factor in other areas. For example, Urano et al. (27) found that sorafenib treatment can prolong the OS of patients with low iron status. Sorafenib and deferasirox reduce iron content and may become a new type of treatment for HCC combined with chemotherapy. Weismuller et al. (28) showed that serum ferritin levels could predict the mortality rate of patients waiting for liver transplantation and death after liver transplantation. In the present study, 8 (20.5%), 14 (23.7%), and 9 patients (27.3%)
had higher than normal ferritin levels in the MCC, HCC, and CHCC groups, without significant differences among the three groups. Furthermore, the multivariable Cox regression models showed that ferritin was independently associated with OS in the three groups of primary liver cancers, indicating that higher positive rates of ferritin were associated with shorter OS. The reason may be that ferritin increases liver load and liver necrotizing inflammatory response in patients with liver cancer, resulting in shortened survival.

CEA is a glycoprotein derived from the endoderm. CEA elevation can be seen in various digestive tumors such as cholangiocarcinoma, gastric cancer, colon cancer, and pancreatic cancer. Konomi et al. (29) showed that the CEA levels are helpful to distinguish between benign and malignant liver and biliary diseases, and higher CEA values indicate that there are malignant tumors besides the remaining common bile duct stones, usually pancreatic head cancer or cancer of the duodenum ampulla. In liver-related tumors, CEA is highly expressed in metastatic liver cancer, cholangiocarcinoma, and CHCC, but is mostly negative in HCC. Juntermanns et al. (30) showed that the OS of patients with cholangiocarcinoma and high CEA serum levels before the operation was lower than that of patients with lower CEA serum levels and that the unresectable rate of these patients was significantly higher. According to a previous study, only CEA, not CA19-9, is an independent predictor of survival after surgery, and CEA may help identify patients with bile duct cell carcinoma with poor prognosis after tumor resection (31). In the present study, there were six (13.6%), three (5.1%), and three patients (9.1%) with higher than normal CEA levels in the MCC, HCC, and CHCC groups. The multivariable Cox regression model showed that CEA was an independent risk factor affecting the OS of the three groups after the operation.

The incidence of HCC is high among male patients. Gender is an independent factor affecting prognosis after hepatectomy, and the survival time of male patients is shorter than that of women (32). The male gender is related to the high lymph node metastasis rate in patients with early intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma (33). Similarly, Lee et al. (34) showed that the prognosis of CHCC was worse than that of HCC and cholangiocarcinoma, and the recurrence time of tumor in male patients was shorter after the operation than that of females. Yang et al. (35) found in a multivariable analysis that the median OS of women with HCC was significantly longer than that of men. In the present study, the male-to-female ratios were 1.44 (26/8), 5.56 (50/9), and 1.75 (21/12) in the MCC, HCC, and CHCC groups, respectively, and the multivariable Cox regression model showed that gender was an independent risk factor for OS.

The survival and prognosis of patients with liver cancer and lymph node metastasis are significantly worse than those without lymph node metastasis. Some patients have no liver tumor recurrence after hepatectomy but die from extensive lymph node metastasis. Therefore, the TNM7 directly classifies lymph node metastasis (N1) of liver cancer into stage IVa because its survival rate is similar to that of distant metastasis (M1) (36). Lymphadenopathy in the present study was evaluated by MRI. Although lymphadenopathy and the presence of lymph node metastasis are not entirely consistent, it still reflects the lymph node metastasis of liver cancer to some extent. Park et al. (37) showed that the overall accuracy of lymph node metastasis by CT axial imaging is 57%, while the accuracy of MRI was higher than that of CT. Hepatic hilar or post-portal lymphadenopathy in the MCC, HCC, and CHCC groups was found in 18 (40.9%), 10 (16.9%), and 13 (39.3%), respectively. The lymphadenopathy rate of the HCC group was lower than that of the other two groups, which was consistent with OS, but there was no significant
difference in the lymphadenopathy rate between the MCC and CHCC groups. The multivariable Cox regression model showed that lymphadenopathy was an independent risk factor for the survival of the three groups of primary liver cancer.

TA is the basis of image histology research. It can measure the characteristics of tissue heterogeneity that cannot be observed by the human eye and can quantitatively display the subtle changes of image pixel values and their arrangement. TA can objectively measure the unevenness of the grayscale distribution without being affected by subjective analysis, professional level, and inherent limitations of traditional medical image interpretation. Cluster prominence is a measure of the skewness and asymmetry of the intensity of horizontal distribution in an image and is inversely proportional to the amount of asymmetry in the image.

Vargas et al. (38) predicted the prognosis and survival of patients with high-grade serous ovarian cancer by delineating and segmenting the CT tumor focus of each stage before surgery. After extracting the imaging features, Cluster Prominence was significantly related to short OS and incomplete surgical resection. The average texture features of Cluster prominence_angle 135_offset 7 in MCC, HCC, and CHCC were 4.14×10^7, 3.52×10^7, and 4.75×10^7 in the present study. The Cluster prominence in the EP of the HCC group was the lowest, indicating that the horizontal distribution intensity in HCC images was less skewed and asymmetric, which may be related to the good prognosis and survival of HCC. Uniformity is a measure of the sum of squares of each discrete value in the region of interest of the image. This is a measure of image heterogeneity, in which greater uniformity means greater heterogeneity. Bae et al. (39) suggested that the quantitative imaging values extracted from CT images of dual-energy spectrum iodine contrast maps could help predict the pathological invasion of lung adenocarcinoma. In multiple logistic regression analyses, uniformity was significantly different between lung adenocarcinoma grades II and I. In the present study, the mean value of uniformity of EP in MCC, HCC, and CHCC was 0.784, 0.813, and 0.815. Among them, the mean value of the CHCC group was the largest, indicating that the region of interest of the CHCC image was more homogeneous or heterogeneous than in the other two groups, which may be related to the poor prognosis of CHCC. Energy reflects the uniformity of image gray distribution and texture thickness. If all values of the co-occurrence matrix are equal, the energy value is small; on the other hand, if some of these values are large and others are small, the energy value is large. Chen et al. (40) found no difference in GLCM_Energy between the false and the progression of glioblastoma. In the present study, the mean value of GLCM_Energy in MCC, HCC, and CHCC was 0.0017, 0.0009, and 0.0031, respectively. The CHCC group had the largest mean value, indicating that the difference in texture thickness of the region of interest in the image was more obvious than in the other two groups, which may be related to poor survival after surgery. Correlation is a measure of the similarity of spatial gray level co-occurrence matrix elements in the row or column direction. The mean values of texture feature (Correlation) of diffuse images in MCC, HCC, and CHCC were 0.0005, 0.0007, and 0.0004. Among them, the Correlation value in the CHCC group was the smallest, indicating that the pixel value of the image region of interest matrix is more different than that of the two other groups and may be related to the poor prognosis of the tumor. The Inverse Difference Moment reflects the homogeneity of the image texture and measures how much the image texture changes locally. Zhang et al. (41) recently showed that extracting MR texture features (T2WI sequence) before tumor treatment had the potential to predict the therapeutic response to colorectal liver metastasis, among which texture features (Correlation and Inverse Difference Moment) showed significant differences between the response and non-response groups. In the present study, the mean values of Inverse Difference Moment in MCC, HCC, and CHCC were 0.078, 0.097, and 0.067, respectively, with the HCC group having the largest mean value, indicating a lack of change among different regions of the texture of the ROI in the image, which is more uniform locally than MCC and CHCC, and may be related to good prognosis after operation.

Radiomics is a novel field of research that mostly arose in the last decade with the development of artificial intelligence and pattern recognition tools. Radiomics is, therefore, still in its infancy. Nevertheless, the future looks good for the development of radiomics tools for precision medicine (15). At present, there is no one-to-one correspondence between specific radiomics features and specific clinicopathological features. So far, research identified patterns of radiomics features with cancer survival, which is itself determined by numerous factors (38,42-44). Radiomics is a new research domain, and much work still needs to be done. The advantages of radiomics have been shown for other types of cancer (38,42,44). Vargas et al. (38) showed in ovarian cancer that inter-site
similarity entropy, similarity level cluster shade, and intersite similarity level cluster prominence were associated with survival. Huang et al. (42) showed that the MRI-derived GLCM inverse difference moment normalized (IDMN) and the PET-derived GLCM cluster prominence were among the key features in the predictive models for recurrence-free survival. Lee et al. (44) showed that for the nonenhancing lesion, skewness and variance ratios of relative CBV texture were associated with OS. For the kinetic TA, the Haralick correlation was associated with OS. Nevertheless, data are still missing for liver cancer. Only Cozzi et al. (43) identified nine clinical and 13 radiomics features with multiple and complex cross-correlations with survival in HCC.

There are shortcomings to this study. Because it was a retrospective study from a single medical center, differences in treatment may have an impact on the results. Indeed, 20 patients with HCC received transcatheter arterial chemoembolization (TACE), while only one and two patients with MCC and CHCC received TACE. The follow-up time was short. The proportion of patients lost to follow-up is large (21/80 for patients with HCC, 29/65 for patients with CHCC, and 18/65 for patients with MCC). Cirrhosis could not be included among the analyzed parameters because this data was not consistently reported in the charts and because this feature could not be determined based on imaging in many patients. In addition, PFS was not evaluated. Of course, the results might only apply to patients with HCC, CHCC, and MCC, and not to all patients with liver cancer. In addition, the study was carried out at a single center in Chinese patients, limiting the generalizability of the results.

In conclusion, positive AFP, ferritin, and CEA preoperatively, macrovascular invasion, tumor size, gender, and some radiomics features are independently associated with postoperative OS of patients with primary liver cancer. Radiomics features such as Correlation, Inverse Difference Moment, Cluster Prominence, Uniformity, and GLCMEnergy may be potential imaging biomarkers for the postoperative OS of primary liver cancer.
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Figure S1 Extraction process of the morphological features. (A) Magnetic resonance enhanced equilibrium phase image; (B) manual delineation of the tumor; (C) three-dimensional segmentation of the lesion. Obtaining the quantitative parameter characteristics.

Figure S2 Elastic network dimension reduction diagram. The elastic network method was used to reduce the image feature parameters of the (A) diffusion weighted imaging (DWI), (B) enhanced equilibrium (EP), and (C) DWI and EP. The 5-fold cross validation method was used to determine the optimized lambda (\(\lambda\)). The optimized \(\lambda\) was 0.04608123, 0.06285455, and 0.06285455 [\ln(\lambda): -3.077349, -2.766932, and -2.766932] and the number of features corresponding to the value is five, five, and seven, respectively.