Adjuvant treatment with EGFR TKI in resected non-small cell lung cancer with EGFR mutation: all that glitters is not gold!
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Lung cancer is the leading cause of cancer death, accounting for ≥1.35 million deaths per annum worldwide, and ≥350,000 deaths per annum in Europe (1). Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is the most common histology, accounting for approximately 85% of lung cancers. Surgery is the only curative treatment for NSCLC, but only 25–30% of patients with NSCLC are eligible for surgery at time of diagnosis (2,3). The 5-year survival rates following NSCLC resection depend on pathological stage and range from 90% (stage IA1) to 41% (stage IIIA) (4,5). Because of systemic micro-metastases present at time of surgery, many patients will relapse and will need adjuvant therapies (6).

In the last years, advances in understanding molecular basis of cancer (REF), has led to development of more effective targeted therapies for patients with advanced NSCLC (7-9). In light of these results, several trials (10-12) have mostly focused on tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKI) targeting the epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) for NSCLC harboring EGFR mutation after surgical resection. However, the efficacy of adjuvant treatment with EGFR-TKIs in resected NSCLC is still under debate as EGFR-TKI has failed to significantly improve outcome in two trials (10,11), except gefitinib among Chinese patients with EGFR mutation-positive NSCLC (12). Overall, the results of these studies suggest that, even in the early stages, adjuvant treatment with EGFR-TKI may be effective only in those patients whose tumor expresses an EGFR activating mutation. However, in the absence of efficacy data in terms of overall survival, at present, molecular target drugs cannot be recommended in the adjuvant treatment of NSCLC outside clinical trials. Thus, the Chinese Society for Translational Medicine (13) reviewed the current evidences published in literature, aiming to provide “strong” or “weak” recommendations on the following controversial issues (I) routine evaluation of EGFR mutation in all patients resected for non-squamous NSCLC; (II) EGFR-TKI as standard of care in EGFR-mutant NSCLC patients undergoing surgery; (III) annual follow-up with brain MRI and bone scans in addition to regular chest CT scan; and (IV) osimertinib as first-line treatment in patients experiencing postoperative recurrence and metastasis. Several experts from different parts of the world were then invited to review these recommendations, and gave their criticisms.

First, EGFR mutation should be routinely evaluated in all patients resected for non-squamous NSCLC (strong recommendation). However, 6 out of 11 invited experts did not agree, and supported the routine evaluation of EGFR mutation profiling only in selected cases such as patients with locally advanced disease or patients with recurrence. In our opinion, this issue remains under debate based on the
current literature. The European and Japanese Guidelines did not recommend the routine use of EGFR-TKI as adjuvant treatment in patients resected for NSCLC (14). Yet, RADIANT (10), and EVAN (11), despite an increase of disease free survival, did not show a proven improvement of overall survival with adjuvant EGFR TKIs in resected NSCLC.

Second, platinum-based chemotherapy is still the standard of care for EGFR-mutant NSCLC patients who require adjuvant systemic therapy after resection, and EGFR TKIs could not replace it (strong recommendation). All invited experts confirmed the role of cisplatin-based adjuvant chemotherapy as the standard of care for patients with resected NSCLC, irrespective of any tumour mutational status and we agree with this recommendation based on the results of several studies and meta-analyses (15,16), and Randomized Controlled Trials (17,18). Despite ADJUVANT study (12) demonstrated the significant benefits of adjuvant EGFR-TKI treatment, we believe that these results should be evaluated with caution before drawing definitive conclusions for the following reasons: (I) disease free survival in ADJUVANT study was much shorter than in other trials; (II) it is well known that pneumonectomy is associated with higher morbidity and mortality compared to lesser resection such as lobectomy or sublobar resection (19,20). However, ADJUVANT study compared to other studies presented a lower rate of patients undergoing pneumonectomy (3 vs. 25%); (III) in ADJUVANT study the median number of chemotherapy cycles received was four, and dose reductions were not clearly defined while in previous studies the planned four cycles was completed only in about 60% of patients; (IV) in ADJUVANT study, the pattern of relapses was not reported, despite EGFR mutated patients presenting a higher incidence of brain and bone metastases than control population. Furthermore, both the gefitinib and chemotherapy group presented similar 3-year disease-free survival. On the other hand, EGFR TKIs could be a valuable alternative in patients who are considered at high-risk for standard chemotherapy and that the administration period of EGFR TKIs should be not less than 2 years.

Third, since EGFR-mutated patients had an increased risk of systemic disease including bone and brain metastases, brain MRI and bone scans as a supplement to chest CT scan should be performed every year (strong recommendation). By contrast, all experts recommended intensive follow-up with brain MRI and bone scans only in selected cases such as patients with Stage III or those with clinical symptoms suggestive of metastatic relapse, and we agreed with this recommendation. Guidelines from different scientific societies suggest only physical examination every 3 months [American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO)] or annual CT scan as stated by the American College of Radiology (ACR), National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN), American College of Chest Physicians (ACCP), and Euroopean Society Medical Oncology (ESMO) (21). In fact, there is no evidence that an early detection of metastatic relapse significantly influences overall survival and an intensive surveillance programme is certainly more expensive (22).

Fourth, Osimertinib should be the preferred first-line treatment in patients with postoperative recurrence and metastasis (strong recommendation). Nine out of 10 invited experts were in agreement with this recommendation based on the results of FLAURA trial (23), reporting better overall survival, and lower toxicities of osimertinib compared to first- or second-generation EGFR-TKIs (i.e., gefitinib, erlotinib or afatinib). Only one expert suggested that FLAURA results (22) should not be generalized to all patients since the survival benefit was mostly observed in patients with stage IV disease, but not for patients with recurrence after resectable lung cancer. As osimertinib is more expensive than first- or second-generation EGFR-TKIs, three experts, we supported the need for evaluation of EGFR exon 19 del or L858R mutation by re-examination of tissue or liquid biopsy at the time of recurrence before starting treatment with Osimertinib to determine the feasibility of this treatment. In our opinion, Osimertinib should be considered as the first-line treatment in EGFR-mutated patients with postoperative recurrence and metastasis. In FLAURA study, the better Progression-Free survival (PFS) of osimertinib compared with the first-generation EGFR-TKIs was confirmed across all predefined subgroups, including specific types of EGFR mutations (exon 19 deletion and exon 21 L858R point mutation) and the presence or absence of baseline brain metastases. Yet, osimertinib was better tolerated than first generation TKIs since it was associated with lower rates of grade 3–4 adverse events than other EGFR-TKIs (34% vs. 45%), respectively. Thus, it may be particularly indicated in patients who may be debilitated due to surgical resection of lung cancer.

In conclusion, the recommendations of Chinese Society for Translational Medicine (13) are an additional armamentarium to physicians when selecting patients with resected NSCLC and EGFR mutation to identify those who will benefit from adjuvant treatment with EGFR TKIs.
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