Hirschsprung’s disease (HD) is a congenital disorder, defined by absence of the neuronal ganglion cells in a portion of the intestinal tract, usually the distal colon, because enteric neural crest cells fail to migrate completely during intestinal development. The incidence of this disorder is estimated to be 1 in 5,000 live births.

The majority of HD patients present with short segment aganglionosis and the clinical outcome of these patients is favorable (1). On the other hand, total intestinal aganglionosis, the most severe type of HD, is life-threatening and the clinical outcome is totally different (2-4).

Clinically, we pediatric surgeons are often asked by the parents of an affected child whether the patient’s siblings or offspring may have an increased risk for developing the same condition. A genetic study by Tilghman et al. may provide some evidence (5). They suspected that, multiple genetic risk factors individually contribute to increasing the risk for developing HD. The authors demonstrated that, HD has a complex suite of risk variants, ranging from common non-coding variants to rare coding variants and copy number variants. They mention that at least one identifiable genetic risk factors can be identified in approximately 72% of HD cases and approximately 21% of patients have multiple risk factors, with the genotype-specific incidence increasing by a factor of more than 100 (risk ranging from approximately 1 in 18,800 to 1 in 120) as the number of genotypic risk factors increases from zero to three. That is, the estimated risk of HD for a fetus with no identifiable risk factors is only 1 in 18,800 whereas that for a fetus with the most extreme genetic risk profile is 1 in 120, which is still not very high but much higher than the estimated incidence of HD in general. Such information is meaningful and useful for genetic counseling. However, we suggest that genetic counseling should be conducted carefully since the estimated risk mentioned earlier is overall and the authors did not find any significant genotype-phenotype associations with respect to segment length or additional anomalies.

The authors of the study we are commenting on state their primary purpose as being to enable genetic stratification of patients in order to determine how genetic susceptibility manifests in clinical disease and its penetrance. They suggest that such genetic stratification could be used to determine whether postoperative bowel function (POBF) is related to genotype. There are several studies in the literature that investigate whether surgery itself might have some detrimental effect on postoperative outcome. For instance, we investigated whether the starting point of rectal mucosal dissection in preparation for transanal pull-through might affect POBF in HD patients. We conducted a medium-term prospective comparison of bowel function between patients who had rectal mucosal dissection commencing on the anorectal line with patients who had dissection commencing above the dentate line (6,7). From this study we found that medium-term bowel function is better when the anorectal line is used, thus, we recommended that the anorectal line should be the landmark for rectal
mucosal dissection during transanal pull-through for HD (7). This conclusion is also in keeping with the findings of another one of our studies on intestinal innervation where we found strong evidence for the anorectal line to be the best landmark to ensure successful outcome of transanal pull-through by proving that the squamous-columnar epithelial junction is equivalent to the anorectal line and that the anorectal line represents the upper edge of the anal transition zone, as well as being the end-point of the enteric nervous system (8). The mechanism for good POBF can be readily appreciated and the importance of the anorectal line as an anatomic landmark cannot be overemphasized. Thus, dissection of the aganglionic layer without injuring the anorectal line and an intact anal transition zone would seem to be crucial for normal defecation in HD cases. It would be very interesting to review the operative records of each of the HD patients in the study we are commenting on to confirm histopathology results and surgical techniques used because POBF will be affected directly by surgical technique. Similarly, it would also be interesting to investigate our HD cases with problematic POBF using genetic assessment techniques to confirm the presence of any genetic disposition to poor POBF. Since all patients diagnosed with HD usually undergo surgery to remove the aganglionic segment, except for some rare cases such as total intestinal aganglionosis, it is very difficult to evaluate potential risks for POBF without taking into account the influence of surgery itself. However, if a patient with HD can be treated without conventional surgery, or if HD can be prevented by advancements in genetic research, things would be different, especially for more life-threatening conditions, such as total intestinal aganglionosis; prevention will be most meaningful.

In recent years, HD research has focused on stem/progenitor cells and cell therapy as a potential attractive option for treating HD (9,10). Genetic manipulation is another option for future therapy. Although HD is not a monogenic disease, recent advancement in gene editing systems has enabled gene-mutations associated with HD that influence neuronal cell proliferation, migration, and differentiation to be corrected. The capacity of enteric neural crest cells for migration and differentiation was restored in vitro after gene manipulation (11).

Although there are significant challenging processes and ethical issues to be discussed before clinical application, the genetic findings obtained in the study we are commenting on will undoubtedly influence the direction of further research into the future of treating HD, the etiology of HD, and possibly its prevention.
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