Tetralogy of Fallot: cardiac imaging evaluation
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Abstract: Thanks to advances in pediatric cardiology, most infants with tetralogy of Fallot (TOF) now survive into adulthood. This relatively new population of adult patients may face long-term complications, including pulmonary regurgitation (PR), right ventricular (RV) tract obstruction, residual shunts, RV dysfunction, and arrhythmias. They will often need to undergo pulmonary valve (PV) replacement and other invasive re-interventions. However, the optimal timing for these procedures is challenging, largely due to the complexity of evaluating RV volume and function. The options for the follow-up of these patients have rapidly evolved from an angiography-based approach to the surge of advanced imaging techniques, mainly echocardiography, cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR), and computer tomography (CT). In this review, we outline the indications, strengths and limitations of these modalities in the adult TOF population.
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Introduction

Tetralogy of Fallot (TOF) is the most common cyanotic congenital heart disease (CHD); its prevalence is estimated at around 5/10,000 births (1). Furthermore, due to the success of pediatric cardiac surgery and pediatric cardiology, the population of adults with TOF is growing steadily, with over 90% of children with CHD now surviving into adulthood (2). This relatively new population of adult patients, which now outnumbers the pediatric TOF population, poses further challenges for clinicians. Cardiac imaging has rapidly evolved, from relying exclusively on cardiac catheterization and chest radiography (Figure 1) to today’s more advanced imaging techniques: echocardiography, cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR), and cardiovascular computer tomography (CT) (3). Because of the complex nature and diversity of these population, as well as the limitations of each modality, it is increasingly recognized that an expert multidisciplinary team with a multimodality imaging approach is necessary for their clinical management (4).

Preliminary assessment

The adult TOF population is heterogeneous. Each patient is highly unique depending on several factors which will lead the imaging technique for their evaluation.

While TOF is classically defined by four hallmark findings, many patients exhibit a range of associated defects, including branch pulmonary stenosis (PS, in 40% of cases), right aortic arch (25%), ascending aortic dilatation with or without aortic regurgitation (15%), atrial septal defect (10%—called ‘pentalogy of Cantrell’), and anomalous coronary origin (8%), among others (5).

Furthermore, there is great variation in the status of previous interventions, which determines the expected complications. Most patients have undergone complete repair, and eventually may present the expected late
pulmonary regurgitation (PR) leading to right ventricular (RV) dilatation and dysfunction (6). In older patients, staged repairs were more common, and occasionally the adult patient may present to consultation with only the initial palliative shunt, such as the Waterson, Potts or Blalock-Taussig procedures; in time, these may become obstructed or deteriorated. It is also more common for older patients to have had extensive trans-annular patching at the pulmonary valve (PV), while younger patients are more likely to have been treated with an infundibulum-sparing approach, which may reduce late RV dilatation and dysfunction (7). And rarely, adult patients may have had neither palliative nor repair procedures, particularly in low income countries or with no access to health care; these patients have the worst prognosis. Finally, as the survival of repaired TOF patients increases, some of them will eventually develop acquired heart disease (8), and may require functional assessment of ischemia or imaging of their coronary arteries. Hence, a comprehensive understanding of a patient’s medical and surgical record is necessary to guide individualized diagnostic imaging (4).

**Echocardiography**

The transthoracic echocardiogram (TTE) is the initial and main imaging technique in TOF, both pre- and post-operatively (9). It is the first-line modality throughout the patients’ lifetimes; from fetal diagnosis to evaluation of late complications in older adults. TTE has the advantage of being inexpensive, universally available, and with no known biologic adverse effects. Standard clinical practice is to guide initial clinical management with TTE; in many patients it may even be the only imaging technique needed for follow-up. Annual TTE should be performed in patients with significant PR or aortic root dilatation; asymptomatic patients with an uncomplicated evolution may be followed less frequently, every two years (10). However, the echocardiographic examination in these patients requires advanced training and should be methodical and comprehensive, as summarized in Table 1.

Current guidelines (4,8) recommend a standardized echocardiographic protocol in TOF patients. The precise evaluation of PR—a universal finding—and the main driver of RV dilatation and dysfunction, is essential. Theoretically, PR may be quantified with the same methods as aortic regurgitation. Proposed values for severe regurgitation include an effective regurgitant orifice area (EROA) greater than 115 mm$^2$, regurgitant volume >115 mL, pressure half time <100 ms, a PR index <0.77, jet width >65% of the right ventricular outflow tract (RVOT) width, and diastolic flow reversal in PA branches (Figure 2) (11); however, these values are not robust and in clinical practice there is no

---

**Table 1** Echocardiographic assessment of repaired TOF (4,8,9)

| Residual RVOT obstruction; aneurysm |
| Main and branch PAs diameters |
| RVOT and Right ventricle to PA conduits |
| Native or prosthetic pulmonary regurgitation |
| Residual valvular or supravalvular pulmonary stenosis |
| RV volume, pressure, hypertrophy, and function |
| LV size and function |
| Tricuspid regurgitation |
| Residual VSD and ASD |
| Aortic root and ascending aortic dimensions |
| Aortic regurgitation |
| Systemic-to-pulmonary collateral vessels |

TOF, tetralogy of Fallot; RVOT, right ventricular outflow tract; PA, pulmonary artery; RV, right ventricle; VSD, ventricular septal defect; ASD, atrial septal defect.
widespread accepted method for quantifying PR with TTE. Furthermore, RV dilatation, the functional consequence of PR, is sensible but not specific for severe PR (12). For these reasons, grading PR severity by TTE remains challenging. Furthermore, while PR is the most common valvulopathy, other frequent findings are functional tricuspid regurgitation, aortic regurgitation, and residual pulmonary or subpulmonary stenosis.

Regarding RV function, the prognostic value of standard 2D TTE measurements in TOF patients is limited by paucity of data. Tricuspid annular plane systolic excursion (TAPSE), values have been shown to be lower in TOF and to become progressively reduced after surgery compared to age-matched controls (13). While 2D TTE ‘normal’ thresholds in TOF are difficult to determine, TAPSE, fractional area change (FAC), and tissue Doppler S’ velocity are recommended by guidelines during follow-up (4,8). However, it is also recognized that these parameters alone weakly correlate with CMR values of RV function (13,14).

In most patients 2D TTE is insufficient for effectively evaluating the complex, nonstandard, retrosternal shape and function of the RV. 3D echocardiography overcomes this limitation and is accurate and reproducible for assessment of both RV volumes and function; furthermore, it is feasible in up to 90% of patients, with high correlation to CMR values (15,16). Hence, 3D echocardiography RV volumes and EF are now preferred over 2D measurements (8). However, this technique requires software and equipment that aren’t widely available (15).

There has also been recent interest in non-geometric

Figure 2 Different degrees of PR after TOF complete repair. Left: Mild PR. Center: Moderate PR. Right: Severe PR. Note the wide jet and steep deceleration of the continuous wave doppler curve compared to the mild PR curve. TOF, tetralogy of Fallot; PR, pulmonary regurgitation.
indices of RV function, such as the myocardial performance index (17), electromechanical dyssynchrony, (18) and myocardial deformation, with limited clinical applicability. RV strain is a reliable method for evaluating subclinical myocardial damage and function. Previous studies have shown that RV strain deteriorates postoperatively but later recovers (19), with possible long-term deterioration (20). Whether this information is clinically relevant is still to be determined.

Limitations of the technique

The pediatric assessment in repaired TOF patients can usually be done entirely by TTE thanks to their excellent acoustic windows. Unfortunately, as patients age and transition into adolescence and adult age, image resolution tends to worsen (21). Artifacts created by previous chest surgery may also contribute to poor acoustic windows. Additionally, accurate evaluation of RV size and function is often not possible, even with 3D assessment (15). Hence,

Table 2 CMR protocol in repaired TOF (4,8,12)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Anatomical sequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Right ventricle and RVOT morphology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic override severity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aortic arch</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Cine sequences               |
| Biventricular volumes and function |
| Presence of Pulmonary regurgitation |
| Presence of RVOT aneurysm     |

| Phase contrast sequences     |
| Pulmonary regurgitation      |
| Aortic regurgitation         |
| Pulmonary-to-systemic blood flow |

| Angio-MR                     |
| Great vessels                |
| Pulmonary artery stenosis    |
| Surgical fistulas            |
| Late gadolinium enhancement  |
| Replacement fibrosis         |

CMR, cardiac magnetic resonance; TOF, tetralogy of Fallot; RVOT, right ventricular outflow tract.

Figure 3 Cine CMR 4 chamber view of a patient with repaired TOF and positive clinical evolution. The RV is mildly dilated and with mild RV hypertrophy. CMR, cardiac magnetic resonance; TOF, tetralogy of Fallot; RV, right ventricular.

CMR remains the preferred method for RV quantitative assessment in most patients (3).

Magnetic resonance

CMR is fundamental for the surveillance in the adult patient with CHD. While TTE can provide most of the information necessary for serial follow-up in TOF, CMR is indicated in several scenarios: When TTE is of suboptimal quality, when there is discrepancy between TTE and clinical evaluation, for tissue characterization, and as an alternative to invasive catheterization (21). CMR is usually not needed during the first decade of life, as TTE is diagnostic in most patients (8). The exception are the patients with pulmonary atresia and aortopulmonary collaterals, who do need additional imaging for characterization. The value of CMR increases at adolescence. In stable, asymptomatic TOF patients, baseline CMR should be performed at the transition from the pediatric to the ACHD programs; afterwards it should be indicated routinely every 3 years. On patients with more advanced, complicated disease, CMR follow-up interval should be performed every 1 to 2 years (10). The goals of CMR in TOF are summarized in Table 2.

In clinical practice, CMR’s principal advantage over TTE is the accurate quantification of biventricular size and function, and it is the gold standard for volume and flow assessment in TOF (12) (Figure 3). Optimal timing for PV replacement in PR is challenging, and current recommendations rely on RV volumes, which are performed with cine steady-state free precession (SSFP)
sequences. Generally, PV replacement should be indicated before reaching an RV end-diastolic indexed volume of 160 mL/m$^2$ or an RV end-systolic indexed volume of 80 mL/m$^2$ (10,22). These are CMR thresholds considered a point of no return beyond which RV function and reverse remodeling recovery would be expected to be limited after PV replacement (23,24). On the other hand, intervening too early potentially exposes patients to reinterventions later in life. Consequently, since the indication for PV replacement depends on CMR, it should be indicated in all patients prior to deciding intervention. Cine sequences also allows us to assess RVOT wall motion and geometry, including obstruction to flow, detect valvular regurgitation and stenosis (Figure 4) and residual shunts, and evaluate aortic dilatation.

CMR is the gold standard for blood flow measurements, particularly quantification of regurgitant volume in PR. The phase contrast sequence provides measurement of anterograde and retrograde flow to establish regurgitant fraction and establish PR severity (8) (Figure 5). A regurgitant fraction >40% is considered severe. Phase contrast also permits assessment of aortic regurgitation, and in patients with residual shunts, estimation of pulmonary-

**Figure 4** CMR cine images depicting a patient with PS in systole (left) and diastole (center). The doppler curve (right), with a maximum velocity close to 5 m/s, resembles an aortic stenosis curve, and corresponds to severe PS. CMR, cardiac magnetic resonance; PS, pulmonary stenosis.

**Figure 5** Regurgitant fraction calculation in a patient with repaired TOF and severe PR. The first image displays the imaging plane, perpendicular to the proximal main pulmonary artery. The second image shows volume and direction of flow over time. TOF, tetralogy of Fallot; PR, pulmonary regurgitation.
to-systemic blood flow (Qp:Qs) (25) (Figure 6) However, aortic or tricuspid regurgitation, common in TOF, limits the use of this technique.

Contrast-enhanced CMR angiography is ideal for assessing the arterial and venous systems, particularly the pulmonary arteries for residual stenosis. In these cases, differential PA branch flow with phase-contrast measurement aids in determining intervention. RVOT aneurysms, the permeability of surgical fistulas, coronary artery anomalies, and the aortic root and ascending aorta are also evaluated with this technique (4).

Finally, CMR can characterize cardiac tissue. Late gadolinium enhancement detects focal fibrosis and is a marker for risk of RV dysfunction, ventricular arrythmias and sudden cardiac death after TOF repair (26). More recently, increased extracellular volume calculated with pre- and post-gadolinium T1 measurements has also been associated with RV volume overload and arrhythmias, suggesting measurements of diffuse fibrosis may help stratify patient risk (27).

**Limitations of the technique**

Its principal disadvantage is higher cost compared with TTE. Limited availability is offset in developed countries, where TOF patients are usually followed in tertiary centers. While CMR quality is not affected by acoustic windows, there may be artifacts arising from steel implants. Additionally, some patients may carry non-compatible pacemakers or defibrillators, in whom CMR is contraindicated. Finally, there is a small risk of nephrogenic systemic fibrosis with gadolinium contrast in patients with advanced renal disease (14).

**Computer tomography**

While CMR in TOF is performed much more often than CT, the former is superior in several aspects. Its main advantage is that multidetector CT (MDCT) has the highest spatial resolution of all imaging techniques (3). This allows a more detailed assessment of small vessel anatomy, including pulmonary veins, distal pulmonary artery branches, and aortopulmonary collaterals (25).

It is also useful for coronary artery imaging, since an anomalous coronary origin occurs in 8–12% of TOF patients (Figure 7) (28). The most common finding is the left anterior descending artery arising from the right coronary artery and passing through the RVOT. This variation precludes surgical procedures on the RVOT. Coronary CT may also exclude significant ischemic heart disease in selected cases.

In practice, MDCT in TOF is commonly indicated in...
the older patient with planned reinterventions in whom it may substitute invasive angiography. In these patients MDCT permits assessment of calcification burden in surgical conduits (unlike CMR), information which might be useful for the interventionist (8). MDCT is also the imaging modality of choice for serial evaluation of stent patency, integrity, morphology, and position (29).

Finally, CT is being used for accurate measurement of the RVOT before transcatheter PV implantation (30). Finally, CT is the imaging technique of choice for anatomic information in patients with contraindications to cardiac MRI, though functional information comes at the expense of retrospective gating, with higher radiation dose (12).

Limitations of the technique
Its chief limitation is that CT exposes the patient to ionizing radiation, which increases the risk of cancer (31). This is inconvenient in a relatively young population which will need repeat exposures. It also is unable to provide information on blood flow, unlike CMR and TTE. These modalities also have higher temporal resolution than CT (14). Finally, contrast agents also carry a risk of renal injury in some patients (8).

Conclusions—multimodality imaging
The previous discussion highlights the large spectrum of severity and diverse imaging goals in TOF patients. While the three major imaging techniques have strengths and limitations, none is adequate for a comprehensive approach to these patients. Therefore, a multimodality approach considering each patient’s specific needs should be sought. Echocardiography is the first and most frequently used technique for serial evaluation in most patients. In young patients, with good windows and few expected complications, it may be the only test needed. However, as patients age, multiple complications may arise, and in many cases the echocardiogram will be insufficient for guiding management. Specific issues where CMR becomes important are the timing of PV replacement, accurate blood flow assessment, tissue characterization, and evaluation of extra-cardiac and great vessel anatomy. On the other hand, MDCT is important as a substitute for invasive angiography, when more detailed assessment of cardiac and small vessel anatomy is needed, as with the coronaries, and for assessment of stents and conduits. It is also an alternative for patients in whom CMR is contraindicated or inconvenient. In summary, echocardiography should be routine for every patient, CMR should be used regularly in patients with complications, and MDCT in selected patients to answer specific questions.
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