Do IncRNAs and circRNAs expression profiles influence discoid lupus erythematosus progression?—a comprehensive analysis
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Background: Long noncoding RNAs (lncRNAs) and circular RNAs (circRNAs) are involved in the progression of discoid lupus erythematosus (DLE), but an understanding of their underlying mechanisms remains elusive. To explore the expression profiles of lncRNAs and circRNAs in DLE, we surveyed the lncRNA/circRNA and mRNA expression profiles in the epithelia of oral DLE and adjacent normal tissues.

Methods: The lesional and non-lesional lower lips of three DLE patients were analysed by RNA-seq. The principal functions of the significantly deregulated genes were identified using Gene Ontology (GO) and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) pathway enrichment analyses. And the correlated expression networks (coding-noncoding co-expression and lncRNA-transcription factor-mRNA) were evaluated as well.

Results: Hundreds of significantly changed lncRNAs and mRNAs and dozens of significantly changed circRNAs were identified. IncRNA Inc-MIPOL1-6 and IncRNA IncDDX47-3 expressions were correlated with immune response-related genes, including IL19, CXCL1, CXCL11, and TNFSF15. Up-regulated IncRNA-TF network consists of 8 TFs and 74 related lncRNAs. The IncRNA-TF-gene trans-regulation consisting of 204 IncRNAs, 39 TFs, and correlated 3 genes.

Conclusions: These results demonstrate that lncRNAs and circRNAs can influence the progression of DLE. Certain mRNAs/lncRNAs/circRNAs may have substantial value in DLE diagnosis and therapy.
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**Introduction**

As a chronic inflammatory cutaneous disease, discoid lupus erythematosus (DLE), unless diagnosed and treated in a timely fashion, can lead to disfiguring scarring and skin atrophy (1,2). DLE lesions occur in approximately 6–10% of patients with systemic lupus erythematosus (SLE) (3,4). Although there are similarities in histology, their clinical course and prognosis are different, suggesting different pathogeneses (5). DLE’s pathophysiology has not been extensively investigated and is likely related to a complex of environmental, genetic, and immune cell interactions. Recent studies have found that dendritic cells, natural killer cells, and toll-like receptors play a dominant role in this process. Other evidence has also shown that, compared with healthy and involved DLE skin, there are several signaling pathways related to T helper (Th) type 1 in lesional DLE (4). However, the understanding of key pathogenic pathways is far from clear.

An increasing number of high-throughput deep sequencing results have found that only a small proportion of the human genome is transcribed into protein-coding mRNAs, while most genome is transcribed into noncoding RNAs (ncRNAs) (6-8), which are considered a new type of long noncoding RNA (lncRNA) with a length of more than 200 nucleotides (9-11). Recent evidences suggest that lncRNAs are involved many pathophysiological mechanisms, and are frequently deregulated (12-14) in autoimmune thyroid disease and rheumatoid arthritis (RA) (9). However, the functional and genomic profile of lncRNAs in DLE remains unclear. As a new topic in scientific literature, noncoding and circular RNAs (circRNAs) with a covalently closed loop structure (15,16) have been already mostly described in eukaryotic cells (17). They can occur in any part of genome and regulate gene expression (17,18). In recent years, circRNAs have been found to have numerous functional microRNA binding sites and occupy the role of miRNA regulators of gene expression. Accumulating evidence show that circRNAs are part of various physiological and pathological conditions, including cancer, prion infection, neurological disorders, and atherosclerotic vascular diseases (17-21). Despite this knowledge, little is known about the functional roles of circRNA in DLE, and the expression profiles of the noncoding RNAs role in the progression of DLE remain elusive.

We therefore evaluated the differential pattern expression of lncRNA/mRNA/circRNA in order to explore the molecular profiles of non-coding RNAs in DLE by high-throughput RNA-sequencing (RNA-seq). We initially analyzed their pathways, Gene Ontology (GO) items, functional coding-noncoding co-expression networks, and TF-lncRNA-enriched mRNA networks. Our results from this analysis demonstrate that numerous lncRNAs and circRNAs have potential to be considered diagnostic and therapeutic markers for DLE. Finally, the functions of the lncRNAs and circRNAs were predicted by evaluating the differences of expression in lncRNA/circRNA/mRNA co-expression networks. These results provide further insight into the underlying pathogenesis of DLE and offer targets for DLE therapy.

**Methods**

**Patients and lower lip samples**

After written consent was given, a punch biopsy of 6 mm was performed in each of the 3 patients affected by DLE from the lesional and non-lesional lower lips. All samples were evaluated by histology. The local ethics committee of Shanghai Ninth People’s Hospital approved this study.

**RNA collection, library preparation, and sequencing**

Total RNAs of DLE and normal control samples were extracted using the TriZol Isolation Kit (Ambion). The libraries were constructed and sequenced on the Illumina sequencing platform (HiSeqTM 2500 or other platforms) according to the manufacturer's instructions.

**Differential expression**

The statistical significance of differentially expressed lncRNAs/circRNAs/mRNAs between the DLE group and normal control group was identified by P value and FDR filtering (fold change ≥2.0, P<0.05, and FDR <0.05). The overview of the characteristics of expression profiles was shown by hierarchical clustering.

**Correlation analysis**

The Pearson correlation coefficient (PCC ≥0.90, P value <0.01, and FDR <0.01) between genes was calculated to perform the co-expression analysis according to their expression levels.

**GO and pathway analysis**

GO and Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes
(KEGG) pathway analysis were performed as previously described.

**Cis and trans regulation prediction**

As previously described (22), mRNAs co-expressed with lncRNAs which significantly overlapped with the target genes of a given transcription factor were enriched, and the lncRNAs-TF mRNA network was established.

**Results**

**The expression of mRNA, lncRNA, and circRNA profiles**

Thousands of transcripts were detected by the RNA-seq in DLE and adjacent normal tissues (Figure 1). A total of 555 mRNAs (Figure 1A) and 507 lncRNAs (Figure 1B) were found to be differentially expressed with fold change $\geq 2.0$, $P<0.05$, and FDR <0.05. Among them, 204 and 351 mRNAs were upregulated and downregulated, respectively. A total of 198 and 309 lncRNAs were upregulated and downregulated in 3 DLE tissues compared with adjacent normal controls, respectively. Moreover, filtering analysis (fold change $\geq 2.0$, $P<0.05$, and FDR <0.05) identified a total of 62 (30 up-regulated and 32 down-regulated) differentially expressed circRNAs (Figure 1C) in DLE compared with normal tissues. Furthermore, a total of 161 lncRNAs showed fold change $\geq 10$ (up: 57, down: 104). HCP5 was the most upregulated lncRNA in DLE with a fold change of almost 206, compared with the adjacent normal tissue. Hierarchical clustering demonstrated that mRNA, lncRNA, and circRNA expression patterns among DLE tissues were distinguishable and different from those in the matched normal tissues.

Accordingly, the detected lncRNAs were widely distributed in all chromosomes including the sex chromosomes X and Y (Figure 1D). According to their relation with protein-coding genes, the lncRNAs were divided into four categories (Figure 1E): antisense, sense overlapping, intergenic, intronic notably. Intergenic lncRNAs were the largest category (65.0%). From further analysis, the percentages of intergenic lncRNAs in up-regulated and down-regulated lncRNAs in DLE compared with controls was 76.4% and 51.2%, respectively.

**GO and KEGG pathway analysis**

GO enrichment analysis of differentially expressed mRNAs indicated their role in dramatically regulating lncRNAs. Our data showed that the up-regulated mRNAs associated with biological processes, cellular components and molecular function, were immune response, integral components of plasma membrane, and chemokine activity, respectively (Figure 2A). Meanwhile, the down-regulated transcripts were most related to retina homeostasis, apical plasma membrane, and ligand-gated sodium channel activity (Figure 2B). Notably, based on GO terms, the immune and inflammatory response is important in the progression of DLE.

KEGG pathway enrichment analysis of differentially expressed mRNAs was performed to reveal the pathways and molecular interactions related to genes. We found 20 pathways associated with upregulated mRNAs and 20 related to downregulated mRNAs in the KEGG pathway enrichment analysis. As shown, the staphylococcus aureus infection and viral myocarditis signaling pathway were the top pathways in upregulated protein-coding genes (Figure 2C), whereas the top enriched KEGG pathway was aldosterone-regulated sodium reabsorption and salivary secretion for downregulated transcripts (Figure 2D). The result suggests that these pathways might be critical to the progression of DLE.

To determine whether circRNAs regulate the transcription of parental genes, the genes producing differently expressed circRNAs were divided by GO analysis. Compared to adjacent normal tissues, the gene expression profile of linear counterparts of differentially up-regulated circRNAs in the DLE group favored protein ubiquitination (biological progress), golgi membrane (cellular component) and transcription factor activity, and sequence-specific DNA binding (molecular function) (Figure 2E). Meanwhile, GO enrichment analysis of downregulated transcripts showed that the closely related GO terms were intracellular signal transduction (biological progress), apical plasma membrane (cellular component), and RNA polymerase II regulatory region sequence-specific DNA binding (molecular function) (Figure 2F). These results suggest that these molecular functions and biological process could be involved in DLE progression.

**lncRNA/mRNA expression and function**

As the functionality of most lncRNAs has thus far not been annotated, the functional prediction of lncRNAs was based on the annotation of the co-expressed mRNA function. To build a CNC network, we chose 37 significantly expressed
Figure 1 Expression profiles of IncRNAs, mRNAs, and circRNAs. (A,B,C) Hierarchical clustering of all differentially expressed IncRNAs (A), mRNAs (B), and circRNAs (C) in DLE groups and control groups from DLE and adjacent normal tissues. (D) A circos plot showing IncRNAs on human chromosomes. The outermost layer of the circos plot is a chromosome map of the human genome. The second circle shows the distribution of differentially expressed IncRNAs on the chromosomes, with the red line indicating up-regulation and the green line indicating down-regulation. The third circle is the histogram of differentially expressed IncRNAs at different positions, with red indicating up-regulated IncRNAs and green indicating down-regulated IncRNAs. The higher the column, the higher the number of differentially expressed genes. The fourth circle shows the distribution of differentially expressed mRNAs on the chromosomes, with the same color distribution as IncRNA. The innermost circle was the histogram of differentially expressed mRNAs at different positions, with the same color distribution as IncRNA. (E) Different types and counts of IncRNAs (fold change ≥2.0, P<0.05 and FDR <0.05). Four types of IncRNAs have been classified according to the relationship and genomic loci with their associated coding genes. IncRNAs, long noncoding RNAs; circRNAs, circular RNAs.

coding genes in the DLE group according to the degree of correlation (Figure 3). The mRNAs were involved in several biological processes, including immune response, immunological synapse, and T cell activation. The network indicated that up-regulated IncRNA Inc-MIPOL1-6 was positively correlated, and down-regulated IncRNA Inc-
DDX47-3 was negatively correlated with $IL19$, $CXCL1$, $CXCL11$, and $TNFSF15$, which are involved in immune response. The co-expression network suggested that mRNA or lncRNA might be associated with one to dozens of lncRNAs, and the regulation between lncRNAs and mRNAs is implicated in DLE.
Cis and the trans-regulating function prediction of lncRNAs

We further analyzed how the dysregulated mRNAs might play a cis or trans-regulatory role in lncRNA genes according to co-expression. We then built a correlated expression network to identify the relation between the 8 mRNAs and their adjacent coding gene. The 8 differentially expressed mRNAs were chosen to hunt their nearby coding...
genes. The co-expressed lncRNAs were defined as co-regulated genes with one differentially expressed mRNA on the same chromosome within 300 kb (Figure 4). This network could provide valuable clues about the mRNAs adjacent to the lncRNAs genes.

To further evaluate the role of lncRNAs in DLE, according to the enrichment with cumulative hypergeometric test, we searched the TFs correlated with lncRNAs and constructed a co-expression network by combining differentially expressed lncRNAs with TFs. We then predicted the trans-regulatory functions of lncRNAs by the TFs that could regulate their expression. Some lncRNAs might be involved in particular pathways regulated by TFs, supposing lncRNAs could have trans-regulatory functions. Therefore, we analyzed the co-expressed mRNAs with these TF-regulated mRNAs and lncRNAs. With the threshold of FDR <0.01 and P<0.01, each lncRNA could connect with one to a dozen TFs and each pair of lncRNA-TF resulted in the enrichment of several genes (Figure 5A)—a discovery which may provide critical data for subsequent research. As shown in Figure 5A, dysregulated lncRNAs were found to correspond to 8 TFs. Next, we further introduced mRNAs to build the ternary network of TF-lncRNA-mRNA base on TF-lncRNA binary analyses (Figure 5B). The results demonstrate that most of the lncRNAs participated in pathways regulated by TFs (STAT4, ETV6, and ZNF597), suggesting that these TFs could be correlated with the pathogenesis and development of DLE.

**Discussion**

DLE is the most common form of cutaneous lupus erythematosus, accounting for approximately 80% of cases (1,4). Traditional research in gene regulation has focused on protein-coding genes, and a large number of non-coding RNAs including lncRNAs and circRNAs have been described, (9,19,22). In particular, emerging evidence of deregulated lncRNA expression covering SLE (23-26) has implied that abnormal lncRNA expression may be involved in the progression of DLE. Recently, circRNAs were also reported to have a potential role in SLE (27-30). Thus far, however, a systematic analysis of noncoding RNA (lncRNAs and circRNAs) differential expression profiles in DLE has not been conducted. To further identify the functions in DLE, we evaluated lncRNA and circRNA expression profiles in the genome of 3 patients affected by DLE and matched adjacent tissues using high-throughout sequencing.

We characterized lncRNA and circRNA expression using RNA-seq. A total of 507 (up: 198, down: 309) lncRNAs and 161 (up: 57, down: 104) circRNAs showed significant differential expression in DLE. Most of the deregulated lncRNAs (65%) belonged to the intergenic category. We further found that the differential expression of lncRNAs was highlighted on each chromosome, suggesting that each chromosome was associated with different degrees of
Figure 5 Query IncRNAs and connection of enrichment transcription factors. (A) Up-regulated IncRNA-TF network consists of 8 TFs and 74 related IncRNAs; connected by 100 edges. (B) The IncRNA-TF-gene trans-regulation consisting of 204 IncRNAs, 39 TFs, and correlated 3 genes. IncRNAs, long noncoding RNAs.
abnormality in DLE progression. As reported previously, our data also revealed a 13-fold up-regulation of macrophage migration inhibitory factor (MIF) in DLE. It was been shown that MIF has a crucial role as a regulator in acute and chronic immuno-inflammatory conditions like atherosclerosis, RA, and more recently, SLE (31,32).

Of note, our data also showed that a 205-fold increase of lncRNA HCP5 in DLE, which was also reported to be associated with disease development in SLE (33). Other dysregulated lncRNAs of the present study were also found and verified in other studies. However, most of the differentially expressed lncRNAs are reported here for the first time.

Compared with the adjacent normal controls, the most significant annotation results which were derived from GO items were immune response, inflammatory response, and T cell co-stimulation in the DLE group, suggesting that deregulated mRNAs may play a crucial role in the pathways of regulation for DLE. KEGG pathway analysis revealed that 20 pathways could contribute to the pathogenesis of DLE including staphylococcus aureus infection and viral myocarditis signaling pathway. This further suggests that the dysregulated activation of the immune system function is strongly correlative with DLE.

With the construction of the CNC co-expression network, many lncRNA expression levels were found to be associated with the expression of several mRNAs. Therefore, we established a CNC network to deeply analyze the connections between dysregulated lncRNAs and mRNAs. Some major linked mRNAs have been described as being connected to DLE, including lnc-DDX47-3. We thus supposed that lncRNAs may be related to the pathogenesis of DLE via a regulation of gene co-expression (IL19, CXCL1, CXCL11, and TNFSF15).

Finally, we constructed another network of lncRNA-TFs. Emerging evidence has shown that some TFs are involved in the progression of DLE. Therefore, a composite analysis of TFs and differential co-expression genes may help to better understand the pathogenesis of DLE. In this study, we found that STAT4, ETV6, and ZNF597 were the most important TFs. Several studies identified a few of them as possible factors promoting the progression of DLE, but, the underlying mechanisms for this process remain elusive. Several STAT4 genes targets are reported to be enriched to functional pathways in the type I interferon system, and to have key roles in inflammatory response (34-36). Therefore, STAT4 has ability to influence the regulation many target genes, which may be closely related to their relationship with DLE. However, further study is needed to investigate the relationship between lncRNA-TFs and DLE.

In summary, we underlined a profile of dysregulated mRNAs/lncRNAs/circRNAs which may be prospectively useful in clinical practice for identifying possible markers with critical roles in the development of DLE. These results have revealed that certain mRNAs/lncRNAs/circRNAs may have substantial value in DLE diagnosis and therapy.
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