Acute kidney injury and long-term renal function after partial nephrectomy—is there a true association?
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Acute kidney injury (AKI) is a common complication after partial nephrectomy with its incidence up to 54% (1,2). Nephrectomy-induced chronic renal insufficiency is associated with increased mortality (3) and a new baseline estimated glomerular filtration rate (eGFR) can impact survival after nephrectomy (4,5). As postoperative AKI is now considered to be interconnected with chronic kidney disease (CKD) (6), the AKI after nephrectomy could be also associated with the development of long-term renal functional decline and eventually CKD. However, the potential impact of AKI after partial nephrectomy on long-term renal function has been debated. There were only a few studies which investigated the impact of AKI after nephrectomy with controversy (2,7-9) (Table 1). Previous studies of radical nephrectomy reported that AKI is associated with new-onset CKD (8,9). However, the other two studies of partial nephrectomy in solitary kidneys reported no signification association between AKI determined by standard criteria with long-term renal function (2,7).

Standard criteria diagnosing AKI include RIFLE (Risk, Injury, Failure, Loss of function, End-stage kidney disease), AKIN (Acute Kidney Injury Network) and KDIGO (Kidney Disease: Improving Global Outcomes) criteria (11). However, AKI after nephrectomy is different from other surgeries because postoperative creatinine elevation could be due to both this parenchymal mass reduction and ischemic injury of the remaining renal nephrons (2,5,12). In this regard, a previous retrospective cohort study of 83 solitary kidneys managed by partial nephrectomy proposed new criteria of AKI after partial nephrectomy which uses an adjusted serum creatinine as a baseline to determine AKI. Adjusted creatinine was determined as projection creatinine value after removing the effect of parenchymal mass reduction determined by volumetric assessment of pre- and postoperative computed tomography (2). They reported a significant association between the renal functional recovery after surgery and AKI determined by their proposed criteria, while no significant association for the AKI determined by conventional criteria. However, another retrospective study which reviewed 90 solitary kidneys managed with partial nephrectomy reported no association between AKI and long-term renal functional change after partial nephrectomy even though they adjusted for parenchymal mass reduction using the same volumetric analysis in the diagnosis of AKI (7). These two studies selected only the patients with solitary kidney because it was beneficial to measure the ischemic injury. However, this could also be a limitation for the applicability of the study finding to patients with two kidneys. Also, these two studies were a small single-center retrospective study, with significant limitations in external validity.

In this regard, Bravi et al. timely published a well-implemented retrospective cohort study with a large sample size of 1,893 patients with two kidneys and undergoing partial nephrectomy (10). They defined three outcomes regarding long-term renal functional change including (I)
recovery of at least 90% of baseline function one year after surgery, (II) percentage change of one-year renal function compared to baseline, and (III) CKD upstaging during one-year follow-up. AKI defined by conventional RIFLE serum creatinine criteria was associated with worse renal function one year after surgery regarding all three endpoints. These results were also confirmed by a sensitivity analysis using a different definition of AKI. Furthermore, they corroborated that longer duration of AKI is associated with increased risk of CKD upstaging by presenting a granular data of 21% absolute risk increase when the duration of AKI is ≥4 days than 1–3 days as well as cubic spline function curve. These findings are clinically relevant not only because they reported the association between AKI and long-term renal function in patients with two kidneys and undergoing partial nephrectomy in a large sample size cohort but also because their finding could enhance risk stratification of AKI on long-term renal function. As the authors correctly commented, further studies are required to establish a more sophisticated definition of AKI integrating the severity and duration of renal injury.

One limitation of this well-conducted study is the absence of renal functional evaluation longer than one year. A previous study reported an endpoint of functional change ratio which compared the most recent eGFR up to 5 years with the new baseline during 3–12 months after partial nephrectomy (7). This outcome could not be compared with the previous study. Additionally, as the previous study reported (2), evaluation of AKI on the basis of standard AKI criteria does not correct the surgical loss of parenchymal renal mass and, therefore, it could overestimate the risk or the stage of AKI after partial nephrectomy. As a result, the outcome of functional recovery measured by the ratio of % eGFR preservation to % parenchymal mass saved was not reported in this study (2). These outcomes could help readers to compare their results with previous ones. Finally, this study reviewed the series of partial nephrectomy over a long period of time of almost 30 years, which could limit

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Publication year</th>
<th>Surgery</th>
<th>Number of patients</th>
<th>AKI definition</th>
<th>Renal functional outcomes</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al. (2)</td>
<td>2016</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>83 with a solitary kidney</td>
<td>RIFLE criteria adjusted for renal parenchymal loss</td>
<td>Functional recovery at 4–12 months from preoperative baseline</td>
<td>AKI adjusted for parenchymal mass reduction was significantly associated with functional recovery after partial nephrectomy, while unadjusted AKI was not significant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zabell et al. (7)</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>90 with a solitary kidney</td>
<td>RIFLE criteria adjusted for renal parenchymal loss</td>
<td>Functional change ratio of most recent value (median 44.7 months) to new baseline at 3–12 months</td>
<td>AKI adjusted for parenchymal mass loss was not significantly associated with long-term functional decline measured by functional change ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bravi et al. (10)</td>
<td>2019</td>
<td>Partial</td>
<td>1,893 with bilateral kidney without adjustment for parenchymal loss</td>
<td>RIFLE criteria</td>
<td>(I) Recovery of at least 90% of baseline function (II) Percent change of 1-year renal function (III) Chronic kidney disease upstaging</td>
<td>AKI was significantly associated with poor long-term renal function regarding all three outcomes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cho et al. (8)</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>Radical</td>
<td>519 with bilateral kidney</td>
<td>RIFLE criteria</td>
<td>New-onset CKD (eGFR &lt;60 mL/min/1.73 m²)</td>
<td>AKI was a strong, significant risk factor for new-onset CKD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garofalo et al. (9)</td>
<td>2018</td>
<td>Radical</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>KDIGO criteria</td>
<td>Combined renal endpoint: start of chronic dialysis, eGFR decrease of ≥40% of preoperative baseline, onset of proteinuria ≥500 mg/24 h</td>
<td>AKI was associated with CKD, especially in old males</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AKI, acute kidney injury; CKD, chronic kidney disease; eGFR, estimated glomerular filtration rate; RIFLE, risk, injury, failure, loss of function, and end-stage renal disease criteria.
the validity of their data because perioperative patient care including anesthesia technique and surgical procedure has evolved.

This study calls for further prospective trials to confirm the causal relationship between AKI and long-term renal dysfunction. However, despite these limitations, this study provided an important demise which the following studies should investigate—we should avoid or attenuate the risk of AKI to prevent or mitigate long-term renal functional decline after partial nephrectomy. How can we decrease the incidence of AKI? First of all, the surgical factor would be of utmost importance. Surgeon's experience and skill may affect the renal outcomes (13). Ischemic renal injury seems to be the main pathophysiology of AKI. However, other modifiable etiology should be also evaluated. Although functional recovery after partial nephrectomy is mainly determined by parenchymal volume preservation (14), ischemic insult of the remaining kidney could also affect the functional outcome if ischemic time is prolonged. Efforts have been made to reduce the remaining renal parenchymal injury after partial nephrectomy. The effects of pharmacologic agents, such as mannitol and dopamine have been questioned (15,16). Renal ischemic time (1,17,18) and cold ischemia are regarded as a modifiable factor to reduce renal injury despite existing controversy (4,5,19). Cold ischemia is known to be effective in restoring renal function after partial nephrectomy (20). Zero ischemia partial nephrectomy or selective arterial clamping has been suggested (21,22). Remote ischemic conditioning using transient limb ischemia was suggested to reduce short-term renal functional impairment after laparoscopic partial nephrectomy (23). Hydrogen sulfide was effective to attenuate prolonged warm renal ischemia-reperfusion injury in a previous animal study (24). However, these measures are of only potential benefit and further clinical trials are strongly warranted.

In summary, the significant impact of AKI after partial nephrectomy on long-term renal functional decline or CKD was reported in a large retrospective study by Bravi et al. The clinical relevance of this association calls for further prospective clinical trials to confirm the causal relationship and to evaluate any interventions to mitigate the risk of AKI to prevent long-term renal functional deterioration after partial nephrectomy.
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