Case Report

Management of presacral schwannomas—a 10-year multi-institutional series
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Abstract: Presacral or sacral schwannomas are relatively rare clinical entities thought to account for only 1 in every 40,000 hospitalizations. These lesions are benign, frequently monofocal, and arise from the exiting sacral nerve roots. Lesions are often asymptomatic, but may present with bulk symptoms (constipation, urinary frequency), sciatica, lower extremity weakness, and para-axial lumbosacral pain. At present, the treatment of choice for these lesions is gross total resection, with an approach dictated by the size of the intrasacral component. Despite numerous isolated case reports, few case series exist. Of those extant series, none are multi-institutional and only a handful describe multiple alternative approaches for the treatment of these tumors. Here we describe a series of seven patients treated at two tertiary care centers for sacral schwannoma with post-operative follow-up as far as 6 years.
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Introduction

Schwannomas represent a relatively unique clinical entity among primary tumors of the spinal cord and vertebral column. As predominately intradural, extramedullary tumors (1-3), schwannomas are dissimilar to both vertebral column tumors and intramedullary lesions; they seldom destabilize the bony elements and precipitate symptoms of myelopathy in roughly 10% of cases (4,5). Additionally, most are clinically benign (6) and have no documented impact on patient mortality. Consequently, the main surgical indication for the majority of schwannomas is radicular pain. Despite this, a subset of schwannomas—those arising from the anterior sacral roots—may present with unique symptomatology.

Presacral/sacral schwannomas are quite rare, representing only 0.3–3.2% of all schwannomas (7) and 0.4–15% of all retrorectal tumors (8,9). They may initially present with pelvic or low back pain, incontinence, urinary urgency, and constipation (10). Lesions commonly remain asymptomatic for years, gradually displacing the pelvic viscera until mass effect becomes great enough to produce clinical features (10). Consequently, they may be quite large at time of detection (11). Here we present a series of 7 patients who underwent surgery for resection of giant presacral schwannoma (Table 1).

Case presentation

Case 1

An asymptomatic 22-year-old woman presented for operative management of a large presacral mass identified on prior exploratory laparoscopy done to work-up abnormal uterine bleeding (Figure 1A,B,C,D,E,F). The patient was neurologically intact and serial magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) had demonstrated only minimal tumor growth since first identification (<5%). The patient desired surgical...
intervention and underwent a robot-assisted anterior approach with resection of the presacral mass using the Da Vinci machine (Intuitive Surgical). The tumor was resected en bloc and the patient had an uneventful recovery, being discharged on post-operative day 3. She remained asymptomatic at 14-month follow-up (Figure 1G,H,L).

Case 2

A 73-year-old man presented with a 2-month history of constipation and outside imaging demonstrating a large, homogenous presacral mass abutting the rectum, pelvic girdle, and right seminal vesicle (Figure 2A,B,C,D). The patient was otherwise asymptomatic. The patient did have a prior history of nephrectomy for renal cell carcinoma and so a posterior approach was offered. A two-level sacral laminectomy with distal sacral amputation was performed and the mass was delivered en bloc. Aside from minor voiding difficulty for the first 5 days post-operatively (presumably secondary to those roots feeding the presacral plexus), the patient had an uneventful recovery and demonstrated no residual disease on post-operative MRI (Figure 2E,F,G,H).

Case 3

A 72-year-old female with a recent stroke and residual left hemiparesis (arm greater than leg) presented with left-sided sciatic pain, progressive over 5 years, worst in the seated position. Outside imaging (Figure 3) had demonstrated a large presacral mass involving the left S2/3 and S3/4...
Figure 2 Pre-operative imaging of a large right-sided presacral tumor. Lesion is homogeneously hypointense on T1-imaging (A), and displays mixed signal on T2-imaging (B-D). Imaging demonstrates significant compression of rectum, consistent with patient's clinical complaint of constipation. Pathology was consistent with schwannoma. Imaging acquired on post-operative day 3. T1 (E) and T2-weighted imaging (F,H) demonstrated post-surgical changes with distal sacral amputation and gross resection of the presacral tumor. MR-angiogram (G) demonstrated the iliac vessels and perineal vasculature to be intact.

Figure 3 Preoperative T1- (A,C,E) and T2-fat suppressed imaging (B,D,F) of left-sided presacral tumor. Imaging demonstrates a T1-hypointense, T2-hyperintense lesion involving the S2 and S3 foramina with invasion into the sacral canal. The lesion was well-circumscribed and diagnosed as schwannoma on biopsy.

foramina, consistent with presacral schwannoma. On exam, the patient demonstrated diffuse pain-associated weakness in the left lower extremity. Given the patient’s history of multiple prior abdominal surgeries, a posterior approach was offered. Gross total resection was achieved by internal debulking of the lesion followed by capsular dissection; this enabled preservation of the adjacent nerve roots. The patient had an uncomplicated recovery and was discharged
home on POD 7. At 2-month follow-up, the patient endorsed significant improvement of her pre-operative pain and denied any new neurological deficit.

**Case 4**

A 10-year-old male presented with an 8-month history of progressive lumbosacral back pain exacerbated by exercise. The patient had a remote history of coccyx trauma and pain was initially attributed to this prior injury. After failure of conservative management, a pelvic MRI was obtained, documenting a large presacral mass involving the S2 and S3 foramina (Figure 4A,B,C,D,E,F). A posterior approach was pursued due to the intracanalicular portion of the lesion. Piecemeal dissection was performed; only subtotal resection was achieved due to the large size of the lesion (Figure 4G,H,I,J,K,L,M). The patient had an uneventful recovery without evidence of new neurological deficit.

*Figure 4* Pre-operative T1-weighted (A,C) and pre-contrast T2-weighted (B) imaging along with post-contrast T2-weighted imaging (D-F). Imaging demonstrated a massive presacral tumor with involvement of the right and left-sided sacral roots. The lesion was heterogeneously contrast-enhancing and pathology was consistent with schwannoma. Post-operative T1-weighted (G-I,L) and T2-weighted (J,K,M) imaging demonstrates residual tumor involving the right hemi-sacrum consistent with operative goal.
Given the residual disease, the tumor was monitored for growth on serial MRI. At 49 months follow-up, the mass had regrown to its pre-resection dimensions (now 9.0 cm × 10.4 cm × 9.4 cm) with radiographic evidence of significant mass effect on the rectum and colon (Figure 5A,B,C,D). Consequently, surgery was offered to prevent bowel dysfunction. Given that: (I) a posterior approach had previously been employed, and (II) the goal of surgery was to decompress the abdominopelvic viscera, a midline anterior approach was adopted. Greater than 95% of the mass was resected and aside from mild nausea the first 2 days, the patient had an uneventful recovery. Imaging at 5 months postoperative visit (E-G) demonstrated significant residual tumor on T1-fat suppressed imaging. Imaging at 30-month postoperative visit (H-J) demonstrated similar residual tumor; the patient remained asymptomatic at this time.

Figure 5 Imaging 48-month following the initial operation demonstrated significant regrowth of the tumor on T1- (A,C) and T2-fat suppressed (B,D) imaging sequences. The tumor once again involved the right hemisacrum and sacral roots. It also demonstrated significant mass effect on the adjacent bowel, though the patient remained asymptomatic. Imaging at 1 month postoperative visit (E-G) demonstrated significant residual tumor on T1-fat suppressed imaging. Imaging at 30-month postoperative visit (H-J) demonstrated similar residual tumor; the patient remained asymptomatic at this time.
post-operative imaging, the mass remains largely unchanged with only minimal mass effect on the abdominopelvic viscera (Figure 5E,F,G,H,I,J).

**Case 5**

A 30-year-old male presented with a 1 year history of progressive right-sided sciatic-type pain in the setting of a large presacral mass. His pain worsened with prolonged sitting and was unresponsive to over-the-counter analgesics. The patient additionally endorsed paresthesia in the right perineal region without alterations in gastrointestinal or sexual functions. He was neurologically nonfocal on exam, though review of his images were suggestive of some intradural component at S1 (Figure 6A,B,C,D). A hemisacrectomy with L3-pelvis instrumented fusion and resection of the presacral mass was recommended, as the tumor exhibited significant erosion of the right hemisacrum. At last follow-up, the patient remained neurologically intact with no signs of recurrence. He had some residual right-sided sciatic pain, which was attributed to chronic deformation of the nerve preoperatively (Figure 6E,F).

**Case 6**

A 27-year-old patient with documented history of neurofibromatosis type 2 presented with a large presacral mass compressing the rectum and vagina, causing significant constipation and vaginal obstruction. The patient denied significant pain symptoms and was neurologically intact on exam. The patient underwent a transabdominal approach with gross total resection, using serial internal debulking with an ultrasonic aspirator followed by capsular dissection. The patient awoke neurologically intact and had an uneventful inpatient stay. At 36-month follow-up, the resection site remained free of recurrence, though several new lesions were noted in the right sacrum and left sciatic notch consistent with the expected disease course of NF2. The patient remained neurologically intact with complete remission of preoperative symptoms.

**Case 7**

A 42-year-old male presented with right lower extremity pain and numbness. The patient had been diagnosed with a presacral mass 10 years prior to presentation yet had foregone treatment due to only minimally bothersome symptoms at the time of diagnosis. On physical examination, the patient had full strength in all extremities, and normal rectal tone. Imaging demonstrated a 4.5 cm × 5.2 cm × 5.2 cm left-sided presacral lesion abutting the S1 nerve root (Figure 7A,B,C,D). The patient underwent en bloc resection via a midline anterior approach. The patient had an uneventful post-operative course and remains neurologically nonfocal without evidence of recurrence at 12-month follow-up (Figure 7E,F,G).

**Description of techniques**

**Robot-assisted anterior endoscopic approach (case 1)**

The patient is placed supine in the lithotomy position and
a Veress needle is used to insufflate the peritoneal cavity. A 12 mm trocar is placed through the umbilicus to visualize the exiting sacral roots but without intraosseous invasion. Imaging at 12-month follow-up demonstrated no evidence of recurrence on post-contrast T1 (A), fat-suppressed T2 (B), or standard T2 sequences (C).

**Figure 7** Pre-operative post-contrast T1 (A,B) and non-contrast T2 (C,D) imaging demonstrated a large left-sided mass abutting the exiting sacral roots but without intraosseous invasion. Imaging at 12-month follow-up demonstrated no evidence of recurrence on post-contrast T1 (A), fat-suppressed T2 (B), or standard T2 sequences (C).

uterus and adnexa are reflected laterally, creating a corridor to access the tumor. The mass is stimulated to rule out involvement of somatic motor nerves, and then a plane is developed around the tumor capsule, taking care to dissect away any viable nerve roots. The tumor is then reflected off the ventral sacrum and mobilized. It is placed into an endocatch bag and morcellated for delivery through the 12 cm umbilical trocar. The presacral fascia is then closed and a drain is placed through the lateral 8 mm trocar port; port sites are closed with subcuticular 4-0 Biosyn suture.

**Posterior open approach with instrumentation (case 5)**

The patient is placed prone and draped and a posterior midline incision is formed from the distal sacrum to the top vertebra to be instrumented. Conventional approach through the avascular plane is made with subperiosteal dissection extending laterally to the facet joints of the lumbar spine and medial aspects of the iliac wings, allowing complete visualization of the tumor. Multilevel sacral laminectomy is performed, as well as lumbar laminectomy at all levels with an intracanalicular component to expose and free the thecal sac. Pedicle screw and pelvic instrumentation is then placed (when indicated). The tumor is then debulked until gross total resection has been achieved. If significant nerve root involvement is noted, subtotal resection may be chosen (i.e., lumbar or S1 root involvement) or the involved nerve may be sacrificed. Plastic surgery may assist with closure by performing paraspinous muscle flaps with musculofascial advancement of the gluteus maximus.

**Anterior open approach (case 7)**

The patient is placed supine and midline laparotomy is performed with the assistance of an access surgeon. The sigmoid colon is mobilized from the presacral space to expose the tumor mass. The ureters are identified bilaterally and protected. A plane is then established between the tumor mass and the presacral alar tissue. Next, small feeding vessels are individually coagulated and transected to further mobilize the tumor. In circumferential fashion the tumor is then completely mobilized until gross total resection has been achieved. If significant nerve root involvement is noted, subtotal resection may be chosen (i.e., lumbar or S1 root involvement) or the involved nerve may be sacrificed. Plastic surgery may assist with closure by performing paraspinous muscle flaps with musculofascial advancement of the gluteus maximus.
Conclusions

Presacral schwannomas are indolent masses that may be confused with other pelvic and presacral tumors, including malignant vertebral column tumors (e.g., chordoma), congenital tumors (teratoma), and soft tissue sarcomas (12). Current standard of care for these lesions is surgical resection, with the goal of relieving both pain secondary to nerve root compression and bulk symptoms (e.g., constipation) resulting from compression of the surrounding viscera. Because of the intimate relation of the tumor to the sacral nerve roots, surgery may be complicated by sacral nerve root damage and subsequent bowel, bladder, or sexual dysfunction, and occasionally motor weakness. This sequela is most likely with damage to the S1 or S2 roots, with the former increasing the risk of post-operative weakness (13).

The gold standard for identification is a pelvic MRI, which demonstrates a T2 hyperintense, T1-hypointense mass with smooth, well-defined margins and enhancement on post-contrast fat suppressed T1-weighted sequences. Histological confirmation is made by documenting eosinophilic, spindle-shaped cells divided into cellular Antoni-A and hypocellular Antoni-B regions (14). Well-developed or “ancient” schwannomas often demonstrate cystic changes secondary to stromal and vasculature degeneration, demonstrable as non-enhancing T2-hyperintense fluid pockets (11,14).

To date, more than 130 articles describing over 220 presacral schwannomas have been reported in the literature, including the seven cases presented here (author literature search—18th October 2018). Roughly 40% of reported lesions have been treated via open anterior approaches and 35% via posterior approaches, with the remaining 25% split evenly between staged anterior-posterior and endoscopic anterior approaches. The approach selected is dictated by surgeon experience as well as the morphology of the lesion.

Two systems have been previously published to describe the morphology of these lesions—those of Sridhar and Klimo (14,15). The earlier Sridhar system was developed to describe all spinal schwannomas with intracanicular components: type I lesions were purely intraspinal and less than 2 vertebral segments in length, type II were purely intraspinal but greater than 2 segments in length, type III were intraspinal with foraminal involvement, type IV were conventional dumbbell tumors without vertebral body erosion, and type V were the most invasive dumbbell tumors, possessing vertebral body erosion (15). Klimo and colleagues then consolidated this system into three groups: purely sacral/intracanalicular (type I), purely presacral (type III), or mixed intracanalicular/presacral (type II) (14). For all of these lesions, Klimo and others suggest gross total resection, with several suggesting that recurrence risk is decreased with en bloc resection. However, a R0 en bloc resection may have unacceptable morbidity depending upon tumor morphology, surgeon skill, and patient anatomy.

Conventionally, Klimo type I lesions are treated with posterior approaches, as this allows for direct visualization of the cauda equina and exiting sacral roots while resecting the tumor. Klimo type III lesions by contrast have traditionally been approached via a transabdominal approach, as this reduces the risk to the sacral roots and facilitates en bloc delivery of the lesion, as described in case 7 of this series. Extremely large, type III lesions may not be amenable to en bloc resection due to anatomic constraints imposed by the pubic symphysis and bladder, which can obfuscate the inferior pole of the lesion, as in case 6. In such cases, safe dissection requires internal debulking (e.g., with an ultrasonic aspirator), followed by capsular dissection. Only circumstantial evidence exists comparing relative rates of recurrence between gross total resection achieved via piecemeal and en bloc approaches (14).

Given the benign nature of these lesions, it is advisable to employ debulking followed by capsule delivery unless all margins of the lesion can be visualized (compare cases 6 and 7). Finally, Klimo type II lesions often require staged anterior-posterior approaches. In our series, we elected to employ posterior-only approaches. In cases 2, 3, 5 and 6 we achieved gross-total resection and saw no evidence of local disease recurrence, suggesting that a posterior-only approach is a valid option for Klimo type II lesions. In case 4, we were only able to achieve subtotal resection via the posterior approach owing to the substantial tumor size and involvement of numerous sacral roots. Because the S1 roots were involved, a complimentary anterior approach was not offered as gross total resection was felt to be impossible and a second approach would needlessly increase operative morbidity without altering recurrence risk.

Recently, additional innovations have been implemented for the surgical treatment of presacral schwannomas. Notably, Yin et al. published a series of 5 patients treated with robot-assisted endoscopic anterior approaches (16). In all cases en bloc resection was achieved, as in case 1 of the present series. Blood loss in this series was substantially lower than that reported in other series of presacral schwannoma as well as compared to the posterior-only approaches reported here. Given that the surgeon has
Table 1 Giant presacral tumor operated at the Johns Hopkins Hospital and Rhode Island Hospital, 2009–2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Approach</th>
<th>Size (AP × Tr × CC cm)</th>
<th>Vol (cc)*</th>
<th>Age (years)/sex</th>
<th>F/u</th>
<th>Presenting Sx</th>
<th>Klimo type</th>
<th>EBL (mL)</th>
<th>LOS (d)</th>
<th>Recurrence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>7.0×6.2×5.8</td>
<td>131.8</td>
<td>26/F</td>
<td>14 mo</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>5.8×5.4×9</td>
<td>147.6</td>
<td>73/M</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>Constipation</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>5.3×5.2×5.1</td>
<td>73.6</td>
<td>72/F</td>
<td>2 mo</td>
<td>L-sided sciatica</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>P</td>
<td>8.4×7.8×7.9</td>
<td>271.0</td>
<td>10/M</td>
<td>81 mo</td>
<td>Lumbosacral pain</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>2,600</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>9.0×10.4×9.4</td>
<td>460.7</td>
<td>14/M</td>
<td>30 mo</td>
<td>Asymptomatic</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>2,800</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>Y</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>9.3×7.8×7.3</td>
<td>277.3</td>
<td>27/F</td>
<td>36 mo</td>
<td>Constipation; sexual dysfunction</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>1,600</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>4.5×5.2×5.2</td>
<td>63.7</td>
<td>42/M</td>
<td>12 mo</td>
<td>R-sided sciatica</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*, volume given as \( \pi r^2 \times AP \times Tr \times CC \). A, anterior-only approach; AP, anterior-posterior; CC, craniocaudal; E, endoscopic approach; P, posterior-only approach; Tr, transverse; NA, not available.

Sufficient experience with the robotic device and the patient possesses body habitus conducive to the anterior approach, robot-assisted endoscopic resection may be an option for Klimo type III lesions, especially in patients with extensive medical comorbidities that render them suboptimal candidates for open approaches.

In summary, sacral and presacral schwannomas are rare clinical entities that can be safely approached with anterior or posterior approaches. The relative merits of the two options are largely dictated by the extent of bony and sacral nerve root involvement. Higher-quality evidence is needed to compare outcomes of anterior and posterior approaches for treatment of these lesions.
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