Concurrent chemoradiotherapy for stage III non-small cell lung cancer: correct clinical management as the basis to move beyond
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Lung cancer (LC) is the most common type of cancer and the principal cause of death from cancer worldwide. In 2018, data from Globocan estimated over 2.1 millions new cases of LC were reported, with 1.8 million deaths globally (1). Smoking is the main cause of LC, and almost 85–90% of patients with LC are or were smokers (2). Smokers have 20–30 times more risk to develop LC (3). This situation turns LC a disease that can be prevented. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) has suggested a smoking cessation program as an integral part of oncological treatment for smokers patients (4). Age is another important risk factor for the development of LC. Average age of patients at LC diagnosis is higher, around 70 years old in US (5) and 63 years old in Brazil (6,7). However, elderly patients with LC are often undertreated for all oncological modalities (8).

Unfortunately, 50% to 60% of cases has been diagnosed with metastatic or advanced stage in different countries (7,9,10). Most cured patients were habitually submitted to surgery associated with chemotherapy and/or radiotherapy (RT). But, only 15% to 20% of patients diagnosed with non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) were treated by surgery. Between 25% to 30% of cases of NSCLC are stage IIIA/B, locally advanced and with inoperable disease (3,5,11). Therefore, LC still remains an important challenge for oncology care today with overall survival (OS) 5 years around 15% of patients.

The rationale behind the chemo and radiation therapy (CRT) association is to have both a better regional and systemic control of disease. The most common cause of mortality in patients with stage III unresectable NSCLC is distant recurrent disease (12). Moreover, CRT could be concurrent (cCRT) or sequential (sCRT), but most trials shown better survival with concurrent association (13). The median progression-free survival among patients who has been treated by CRT is around 8 months and only 20% of patients are alive at 5 years after NSCLC diagnosis (11,14,15). sCRT could be less toxic but OS has been fallen 6–7% when compared to cCRT and sCRT has been as alternative option in elderly or low performance patients or with severe co-morbidities (15).

Platinum-based doublet chemotherapy given with cCRT is considered the preferred treatment for selected patients with unresectable early or locally advanced NSCLC (14), because survival is better than compared to sCRT (15). Currently, in spite of advances in technology and treatment, cCRT has been associated with high incidence of significant toxicity (grades 3 or 4), specially, esophagitis and pneumonitis. Therefore, delay or interruptions in either chemotherapy or radiotherapy have been frequently reported (16).

The issue of missed chemotherapy doses during CRT was reported as a factor that worsens the prognosis and increases mortality in the study by Deek et al. in the Am J Clin Oncol (17). Authors showed that the median OS was 9.6 and 24.3 months, respectively, for patients with missed chemotherapy versus patients without missed chemotherapy. Moreover, when missed chemotherapy was due to poor ECOG performance status (PS), the survival was only 4.6 months. Finally, in multivariate models, the mortality was 1.97 higher in the group that missed chemotherapy.
This study also reported that the main reasons to miss chemotherapy was hematologic toxicity (59%), esophagitis (17%), decline in PS (12%) and allergic reaction (5%). Oddly, age of patients was not reported, what limits the impact and applicability of their data.

RT in chest often causes inflammation of the epithelium of esophagus and this damage increases when chemotherapy is associated with radiation. As a result, cCRT increases esophageal toxicities over sCRT or one modality alone (16). Patients after CRT with symptomatic radiation esophagitis habitually present as dysphagia, odynophagia or reflux-like symptoms, such as epigastric or sternal chest pain. These patients have a high difficulty in feeding, and sometimes nutritional support is required through a nasoenteral probe. Patients with previous history of reflux disease may exacerbate grade of esophagitis (16).

Hematologic toxicities are very common in patients treated by cCRT (16). Because chemotherapy is a systemic modality of treatment that can affect different groups of hematologic cells, rates of grade ≥3 thrombocytopenia, leukopenia and granulocytopenia can reach 10%, 70% and 71% of patients, respectively. RT on vertebral bone marrow has been understudied for LC patients and could worsen levels of hematologic toxicities (16).

Independent factors of worse prognosis have been identified in patients receiving cCRT for LC stage III. Deek et al. also identified that the decline in PS during cCRT was associated with the worst survival (17). Weight loss and advanced T stage were associated with worse response, survival and toxicities on the multivariate analyses of 425 patients with LC stage IIIIB (18). In an observational population-based study of patients with NSCLC stage III from Belgium and Netherlands the authors identified that higher age and advanced N-stage were much more related with sequency therapy than concurrent therapy (13). Another Korean study identified that age >75 years old, diffusion lung capacity for carbon monoxide ≤80%, gross tumor volume ≥100 cm³ and subcarinal node involvement were associated with poor OS both in univariate and multivariate analyses (19).

Time to start treatment after diagnosis of LC has been established as an important cancer care quality measure. Although, timely LC care is important, its real impact on the survival remains unclear (20). Non-simultaneous initiation of CRT also was associated with differences in OS (21). Moreover, patients under cCRT, delay or dose reduction of chemotherapy seems worsens the prognosis (17).

Regarding to the regimens of chemotherapy used in concurrent therapy, the standard of care for patients stage IIIA unresectable have been a platinum-based doublet: the two most frequently regimens used in US were cisplatin-etoposide or carboplatin-paclitaxel. A systematic review analysed these two regimens and they were comparable in terms of efficacy and toxicities showed higher rates of grade ≥3 thrombocytopenia and neutropenia in the regimen carboplatin-paclitaxel. There was no significant difference in response rates, OS, progression-free survival, locoregional relapse, distant metastasis and rates of pneumonitis or esophagitis (11).

Combination CRT with molecular targeting or/and immunotherapy could improve benefits. There are many experimental evidences about a synergistic effect between radiation and immune checkpoints inhibitors, with a very important potential of enhancing immuno-modulating effects and improving resistance (22). Moreover, an effect induced by local RT, called abscopal effect, would create a systemic anti-tumor immune response, with effect over non-irradiated metastatic lesions distant from the site of irradiation (23). Even though this abscopal effect has been reported in many cases and trials, its occurrence rate is low. The mechanism of the abscopal effect is not clear and needs to be better explained and understood. The personalization of cancer therapy, predicated largely on genomic interrogation, is facilitating these lection of therapies that are directed against driver mutations, aberrant cell signaling, tumour microenvironments, and genetic susceptibilities. Molecular targeted agents are also opportunities to improve results of the CRT. Target drugs could replace conventional chemotherapeutic drugs in combined treatments (24).

Local RT and immune therapy association could amplify the anti-tumor immune response in local and systematic controls (23). For improving survival in patients with NSCLC stage III unresectable new drugs and strategies should be tested. Recently, Durvalumab, a human IgG monoclonal antibody that blocks programmed death ligand 1 (PD-L1) binding to programmed cell death protein 1 (PD-1), was tested after cCRT with platinum-based doublet versus placebo. Durvalumab was associated with better progression-free survival (16.8 vs. 5.6 months with placebo). In the group Durvalumab, 15.4% of patients discontinued the study because drug adverse events versus 9.8% in the placebo group (25).

On the topic of improving regimens of cCRT, the standard radiation dose was defined for NSCLC as 60 Gy, because of decreased survival in patients treated with 74 Gy (26). Moreover, image-guided RT has become the standard of care in many services, allowing for reduced target...
volume that could decrease toxicities (16). New modalities techniques, as 3D-conformal radiotherapy (3DCRT), 4-dimensional computed tomography (4DCT), intensity modulated radiotherapy (IMRT), and photon therapy have been incorporated recently with the goals to decrease levels of toxicities, lower \( V_{20} \) and better OS (27). These modern techniques reduce irradiation in normal areas and improve dose in tumor areas. Besides alternative radiation strategies spanning from dose intensification, use of serial positron emission tomography–computed tomography to select high-risk patients and use proton therapy should be properly tested in well-designed clinical trials.

The RT needs to be better present the era of precision medicine. Genomic studies have shown biological heterogeneity to be a central characteristic of cancer. A gene-expression-based radiosensitivity index as a molecular estimate for cellular survival fraction at 2 Gy (SF2) was identified and joined with linear quadratic model (model that estimates different radiation fractionation schemes with similar clinical effect), was called the genomic-adjusted radiation dose (GARD) (28). A high GARD value predicts for high therapeutic effect for radiotherapy and higher values of GARD was associated with better OS in different kind of solids tumors. Then, individualized radiation dose on the basis of gene-expression profiles reflecting the radiosensitivity of tumour and normal tissue (24,28).

On the subject of elderly patients, so far cCRT have not improved outcome and these patients are more likely to be selected for sCRT in retrospective studies. In fact, median survivals are not significantly different between cCRT or sCRT. However, severe toxicities rate has been higher in older than in young patients. Moreover, most patients in these studies were elderly patients (more than 70 years old) with very good PS (0-1) and limited co-morbidities. Then, more solid knowledge on the best CRT for elderly patients needs further prospective research evaluating different doses (27) and new target drugs or/and immunotherapy (24).

In conclusion, Deek et al. got to show how important is to reduce toxicities to ensure the delivery of all chemotherapy doses in favor of improving survival in patients treated by cCRT. CRT need to be initiated early and concomitant, respecting clinical conditions of patients and adjusted for their best clinical benefits. This is a very important message if we assume that stage III patients represent a delicate cohort where the balance between toxicity, curability and comorbidities must be well balanced. However, the question here is: is clinical care good enough in light of the current knowledge on tumor biology and the novel technologies available? In the era of precision medicine and immune-oncology we can dare to go beyond. Systematic research efforts are being made to facilitate individualized radiation dose on the basis of gene-expression profiles reflecting the radiosensitivity of tumour and normal tissue. This advance in precision radiotherapy should complement those benefits obtained from precision cancer medicine that use molecularly targeted agents and immunotherapies. According to Bristow et al. “With the increasing technical power of radiotherapy to safely increase local tumour control for many solid tumours, it is an opportune time to rigorously explore the potential benefits of combining radiotherapy with molecular targeted agents and immunotherapies to increase cancer survival outcomes”. The lessons learned from addition of Durvalumab to CRT may be just the beginning of a new era for cCRT for stage III NSCLC patients. By identifying the potential predictors of the abscopal effect we will be able to select the most appropriate patients who would most likely benefit from the combination treatment modality. So, let’s move to the next step.
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