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Rare forms of von Willebrand disease
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Abstract: von Willebrand disease (VWD) arises from deficiency and/or defect(s) of plasma von Willebrand factor (VWF). In turn, plasma VWF is an adhesive protein which primarily functions by anchoring platelets to regions of vascular injury, thereby assisting prevention of bleeding. There is a proportional reduction also in Factor VIII, due to the absence of the stabilizing and anti-proteolytic effect that VWF normally exerts. VWD is reportedly the most common inherited bleeding disorder and can be classified into quantitative and qualitative defects, with type 1 and 3 VWD respectively identifying partial and total quantitative deficiency of VWF, and type 2 VWD identifying qualitative defects of VWF. The relative incidence of each subtype of VWD differs according to the locality and the ability of clinicians and laboratories to correctly diagnose and classify cases. In general, type 1 VWD is considered the most common type of VWD, whereas types 2 and 3 represent rarer forms. However, in developing countries, and partly because of consanguinity, type 3 VWD is over-represented. This review primarily focuses on the rarer forms of VWD, which typically comprise types 2 (A, B, M and N) and 3 VWD. The review also mentions type 1 VWD, largely for completeness and comparability, and since purportedly “severe” type 1 VWD, albeit not a formally recognized subtype of type 1 VWD, would represent a relatively “rare” form of VWD.
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von Willebrand disease (VWD) and von Willebrand factor (VWF)

The exact prevalence of VWD is debated, but has been reported to affect up to 1% of the general population in epidemiological studies (1). Thus, it is often identified as the most common inherited bleeding disorder. However, based on symptomatic patient presentations to clinics, and inclusion in national bleeding registries, a more accurate prevalence estimate would be closer to 0.01% (1 in 10,000, or 100 in every million of population) (1).

VWD is caused by deficiencies and/or defects in a plasma protein called VWF. Large and complex, this multimeric protein facilitates both primary and secondary hemostasis. VWF binds to platelets, predominantly via glycoprotein (GP) Ib, and to a lesser extent via GPIb/IIa (also called the integrin receptor αIIbβ3), as well as subendothelial matrix components, such as collagen (1-6). VWF also binds to, stabilizes and protects factor VIII (FVIII). Thus, a deficiency, absence, or defect in VWF can lead to bleeding problems, typically of a mucocutaneous type [reflected of platelet/collagen binding (“primary hemostasis”) defects]. However, in severe forms of VWD, or in VWF-FVIII binding defect forms of VWD, plasma FVIII levels are also very low and thus secondary hemostasis bleeding events similar to that of hemophilia A may also be present.

Congenital VWD arises primarily because of mutations in the VWF gene. Diagnosis of VWD requires evidence of personal and (usually also) family history of (mainly) mucocutaneous bleeding, plus laboratory test findings that reveal quantitative deficiency and/or qualitative defects in VWF (1-6).

Current VWD classification is based on whether patients suffer from quantitative deficiencies of VWF (VWD types 1
and 3), or qualitative defects in VWF (type 2 VWD), which sometimes also shows a quantitative deficiency. In total, there are 6 types of VWD which can be defined (Table 1) (2).

Type 1 VWD is characterized by a partial quantitative deficiency of an otherwise functionally normal VWF (2), and in developed countries represents the most common form of VWD (1) (see next section for information on relative incidence of VWD types). Type 1 VWD typically also represents the mildest form of VWD in terms of bleeding symptoms, unless VWF levels fall to very low levels, and a severe type 1 VWD can be identified.

Type 3 VWD represents the most severe phenotype of VWD, and essentially describes an absence of VWF (2). Type 3 VWD is generally considered the rarest form of VWD, certainly in developed countries, but is over-represented in developing countries, in part due to consanguinity (1) (see also next section). Other reasons that type 3 VWD is over-represented in developing countries, and type 1 VWD is proportionally under-represented, include the following: (I) most patients with mild bleeding problems may not present to clinics, given the expense of clinical and laboratory investigations and the loss of income by missing work to undergo these investigations; (II) the difficulties with laboratory testing and clinical diagnosis in these countries.

Type 2 VWD patients show qualitative defects in VWF, and in some cases also express a reduction in VWF level (1-6). Most important, however, is that VWF function is impaired in some way. There are four type 2 VWD subtypes that are identified according to the kind of impaired function they present with.

Type 2A VWD is defined by an absence or deficiency of high molecular weight (HMW) VWF multimers (2). HMW VWF forms in turn represent the most functionally important or biologically active forms of VWF. A good analogy is to think of VWF as “sticky string”; the longer the “sticky string” the more platelets that VWF can capture and adhere to the damaged sub-endothelium, and the larger and more stable the platelet plug.

Patients with type 2B VWD express a hyper-active or hyper-adhesive form of VWF, which binds platelets with seeming spontaneity without any evident hemostatic trigger (2-6). This increased avidity causes the VWF (predominantly the HMW versions) to be removed from the circulation more quickly. This causes a (selective) depletion of HMW VWF in plasma, and usually also (mild) thrombocytopenia as the VWF-bound platelets are also sequestered from the circulation.

Patients with type 2N VWD express VWF defects that interfere with or prevents binding to FVIII (2). This

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VWD type</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Phenotypic presentation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Partial quantitative deficiency of VWF</td>
<td>Low levels of VWF, with VWF functional concordance [i.e., ratio of functional VWF/VWF:Ag approximates unity (typically ≥0.7)]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>Decreased VWF-dependent platelet adhesion and a selective deficiency of high-molecular-weight (HMW) VWF multimers</td>
<td>Loss of HMW VWF. Usually low levels of VWF, with VWF functional discordance (i.e., ratios of RCo/Ag* and CB/Ag typically ≤0.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>Increased affinity of VWF for platelet glycoprotein Ib</td>
<td>Low to normal levels of VWF, typically with VWF functional discordance (i.e., ratios of RCo/Ag* and CB/Ag generally ≤0.6), loss of HMW VWF and (mild) thrombocytopenia. Atypical cases may not show this pattern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>Decreased VWF-dependent platelet adhesion without a selective deficiency of high-molecular-weight (HMW) VWF multimers</td>
<td>Low to normal levels of VWF, usually with VWF functional discordance detected by RCo/Ag* (generally ≤0.6), but CB/Ag ratios may be low or normal. HMW VWF present, but multimers may show other abnormalities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2N</td>
<td>Markedly decreased binding affinity for factor VIII</td>
<td>Identified by VWF:FVIIIB assay, with low FVIIIB/VWF ratios</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Virtually complete deficiency of VWF</td>
<td>Typically defined by VWF levels &lt;2 U/dL and FVIII &lt;10 U/dL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Classification scheme derived and adapted from Sadler et al., 2006 (2). *, testing by other GPIb binding assays (“VWF:GPIbR”, “VWF:GPIbM”) will provide results that closely match those of VWF:RCo. CB/Ag, collagen binding to antigen ratio; DDAVP, desmopressin; HMW, high molecular weight; FVIII:C, factor VIII coagulant; LOD, limit of detection; RCo/Ag, ristocetin cofactor to antigen ratio; RIPA, ristocetin induced platelet aggregation (/aggregation); VWD, von Willebrand disease; VWF, von Willebrand factor; VWF:CB, von Willebrand factor collagen binding; VWF:Ag, von Willebrand factor antigen; VWF:FVIIIB, VWF FVIII binding assay; VWF:RCo, von Willebrand factor ristocetin cofactor.
leads to rapid plasma FVIII proteolytic degradation and depletion, with consequent laboratory phenotype and hemorrhagic manifestations that are often mistaken for those of hemophilia A.

Finally, type 2M VWD characterizes a broader group of (different) qualitative VWF defects that are not linked to selective depletion of HMW VWF (Tables 1, 2). Clinicians and laboratory scientists often have difficulty with the concept of 2M VWD. An easy way to think of 2M VWD is as a diagnosis of exclusion: If a patient has clinical and laboratory features of VWD, and does not fit into the classification of types 1, 2A, 2B, 2N or 3 VWD, then the patient will most probably fit the criteria for type 2M VWD.

Relative incidence of different VWD types

As outlined above, the incidence of VWD can be considered to “vary” based on these factors: (I) geographic location; (II) epidemiology or symptomatology incidence basis; (III) the relative skill of the clinician to recognize cases of VWD and (IV) the relative skill of the associated laboratory to identify or exclude cases of VWD by testing, which is occasionally a function of the tests and test panels employed by that laboratory (1). Perceptions around the (relative) incidence of the six different types of VWD (1, 2A, 2B, 2M, 2N, 3) will similarly vary according to the same considerations.

In developed countries, type 1 VWD is the certainly most common VWD type, generally representing over 60% of identified VWD cases (1) (Table 2). To some extent, it is probable that type 1 VWD is over-diagnosed in developed countries. This is since many people with even mild bleeding symptoms may present for clinical and laboratory investigation, and also that many of these patients (perhaps inappropriately) may then be identified as having type 1 VWD simply because their levels of VWF are found to be below the normal reference interval cut-off value, which is typically 50 U/dL (11,12). The problem here is that there are overlaps between people who have mild bleeding symptoms and normal VWF levels vs those who have mild bleeding symptoms and low VWF levels vs. those who have low VWF levels but no bleeding symptoms. In addition, VWF levels differ between individuals due to non-VWF gene effects, with the most common such effect being the ABO-blood group effect. It is well known, for example, that O-group blood is associated with plasma VWF levels some 10–20% lower than non-O-group, and consequently that O-group individuals are apparently over-represented in type 1 VWD statistics (11,13,14).

Current recommendations now suggest that type 1 VWD should not be diagnosed unless VWF levels are below around 30 U/dL, since type 1 VWD associated VWF
gene mutations are usually only found in cases where VWF are below 30 U/dL (3,4). Even taking these restrictions to diagnosis of type 1 VWD into consideration, type 1 VWD will still represent by far the most common VWD type in developed countries. An example of the relative incidence of VWD types from four countries (7-10) is shown in Table 2. In contrast, type 1 VWD is seemingly rare in developing countries, for reasons given previously. For example, in Iran, type 1 VWD has only been identified in 12.7% of described cases (8). Therefore, type 1 VWD could be described as a rare bleeding disorder in Iran, given a reported incidence of only ~2.4/million of the population. However, should such “mild type 1 VWD” patients, given the relatively less severe bleeding symptoms, actually present for investigation, the anticipated incidence would be much higher. For example, in the UK (9), the reported incidence is as high as 70/million of the population (Table 2).

Type 2 VWD subtypes are relatively under-represented in most geographic localities, or else may be considered supposedly rare forms of VWD. However, it needs to be recognized that type 2 VWD is probably under-diagnosed, and/or often misdiagnosed as another type of VWD, because of diagnostic challenges. This is explored in more detail in the next section. In general, types 2B and 2N tend to be the rarest forms of type 2 VWD, and indeed the rarest forms of all VWD (apart from type 3), and represent an incidence of ~0.4–3 per million of the population (Table 2), or <30% of all type 2 cases. Nevertheless, their incidence is likely to be higher since most of these cases probably go unrecognized, needing specific assays that most laboratories simply do not perform, or that clinicians may not request. Accordingly, some cases of 2B VWD are probably falsely identified as immune thrombocytopenia (given the sometimes low platelet count) or as type 2A VWD (given the loss of HMW VWF). Type 2N VWD may be misdiagnosed as hemophilia A, given the low level of FVIII identified in these patients.

Type 2A VWD is generally considered the most common of the type 2 VWD disorders, reflecting ~0.6-10 cases/million of the population, or ~30–60% of all type 2 VWD cases (Table 2). Again, type 2A VWD may be under-recognized or else misdiagnosed as another disorder (for example type 1 VWD if only limited tests are performed). Type 2M VWD may be considered either a common or uncommon form of type 2 VWD, depending on the ability of the test laboratory to identify functional defects not associated with loss of HMW VWF. In Table 2, the incidence can be seen to range from 0.5–8 cases/million of population, but in some national reports on VWD, type 2M cases have never been identified (1).

It is unlikely that the true incidence of type 2 VWD cases really differs by geographical locality [as suggested in Table 2 and in the literature—for example (1)]; rather, the identified differences most likely represent differences in the skill set of clinicians and laboratories at a given locality.

Type 3 VWD is considered rare in developed countries, typically representing <5% of all VWD cases, and reflecting an incidence of ~1–2/million of population. However, it may represent a much higher proportion of VWD cases identified in developing countries (Table 2), which in some reports may be up to 60% of identified cases (1).

**Laboratory diagnostics in VWD—identifying or excluding VWD**

Worldwide, the most commonly used phenotypic assays in VWD diagnostics are FVIII:C, VWF antigen (VWF:Ag), and VWF ristocetin cofactor (VWF:RCo) (4-6). VWF collagen binding (VWF:CB), VWF multimer analysis, VWF FVIII binding (VWF:FVIIIb), VWF gain of function (GOF) assays, and ristocetin induced platelet aggregation/agglutination (RIPA) are used more infrequently, either due to unavailability at a specific locality, or due to technical difficulty, costs or related issues (4-6).

VWF:Ag detects all VWF protein, both functional and “inactive” (15). The assay reflects an important baseline examination that informs on the quantity of VWF present, but does not inform on its quality. VWF quality is assessed using other functional or activity-based assays, reflecting most of the other assays identified in the preceding paragraph.

VWF:RCo identifies “functional” VWF binding to the platelet GPIb receptor, and uses ristocetin to induce a change in VWF structure that enables exposure of a normally cryptic epitope that can then bind to GPIb (16). In vivo, the stimulus for this cryptic epitope exposure is shear stress. Classical VWF:RCo assays employ lyophilized platelets (either commercial or in-house prepared). Newer versions of VWF:RCo may employ latex or magnetic particles, in which case the International Society on Thrombosis and Haemostasis (ISTH) VWF Scientific Standardization Committee (SSC) would term these VWF:GPIbR (the “R” being for recombinant, as the assays use a recombinant form of GPIb) (5,17). Irrespective of this, VWF:RCo (and “VWF:GPIbR”) assays are contrived to be primarily sensitive to wild type HMW VWF. Thus, a
reduction in VWF:RCo level relative to VWF:Ag (otherwise reported as a low RCo/Ag ratio) indicates either a loss of HMW VWF (e.g., types 2A and 2B VWD), or a mutated “inactive” VWF, which is less able to bind to GPIb (e.g., types 2A, 2B and 2M VWD) (e.g., refer to Table 3).

VWF GOF assays are also typically platelet GPIb binding assays. Instead of ristocetin, these assays use recombinant mutated forms of GPIb, and the ISTH SSC would term these VWF:GPIbM (the “M” being for “mutant”) (5,17). These mutated forms of GPIb mimic the same defects otherwise seen in platelet type (PT)-VWD, which reflects the “cousin-like” kinship to 2B VWD. In 2B VWD, the mutated VWF binds “spontaneously” (i.e., without stimulus) to wild-type GPIb, whereas in PT-VWD, the mutated GPIb binds “spontaneously” (i.e., without stimulus) to wild-type VWF. In VWF GOF (or “VWF:GPIbM”) assays, patient VWF binds the recombinant mutated GPIb such that binding can be measured, either by agglutination (if a latex based assay) or by ELISA (18,19). Like VWF:RCo (and “VWF:GPIbR”) assays, VWF GOF (or “VWF:GPIbM”) assays are also manufactured to be primarily sensitive to wild type HMW VWF, and a reduction in levels relative to VWF:Ag (otherwise reported as a low “GPIb-binding assay”/Ag ratio) indicates either a loss of HMW VWF (e.g., types 2A and 2B VWD), or a mutated, “inactive” VWF less able to bind to GPIb (e.g., types 2A, 2B and 2M VWD) (e.g., Table 3).

VWF:CB assays reflect a different VWF activity, namely binding of VWF to collagen (20). In vivo, the correlate is binding of VWF to damaged/exposed sub-endothelial matrix. There are several types of assays available, but the major options are testing by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) or by chemiluminescence procedure (20,21). Regardless, VWF:CB assays are also manufactured to be primarily sensitive to wild type HMW VWF, and a reduction in VWF:CB levels relative to VWF:Ag (otherwise reported as a low CB/Ag ratio) indicates either a loss of HMW VWF (e.g., types 2A and 2B VWD), or a mutated, “inactive” VWF less able to bind to GPIb (e.g., types 2A, 2B and 2M VWD) (Table 3). Although VWF:CB assays provide similar information to the GPIb binding assays mentioned above (VWF:RCo, VWF:GPIbR, VWF:GPIbM) in terms of sensitivity to HMW VWF (22,23), they may differ in terms of their sensitivity to mutated “inactive” VWF, depending on the VWF defect presenting in the VWD patient. Thus, low CB/Ag ratio values similar to those of RCo/Ag or “GPIb binding assay”/Ag suggest types 2A, 2B or 2M VWD (as reflective of loss of HMW VWF), whereas if only CB/Ag or RCo/Ag or “GPIb binding”/Ag values are low (i.e., not all are low), then 2M VWD is likely (as reflective of a mutated form of VWF with differential binding to VWF functional assays). This provides a reason why 2M VWD is under-recognized in some localities, and often misidentified as type 1 or as type 2A VWD (24,25).

VWF:FVIIIIB assays, as the name indicates, measure the ability of VWF to bind to FVIII, and is an important assay in order to identify/exclude 2N VWD, and/or to distinguish 2N VWD from hemophilia A (26). The assays are typically only available as an ELISA procedure. The assays are not widely utilized, and this helps to explain why 2N VWD is globally under-recognized, or potentially misidentified as hemophilia A.

The RIPA procedure also interrogates GPIb binding (27), but is different to the previously mentioned GPIb binding assays (VWF:RCo, VWF:GPIbR, VWF:GPIbM). RIPA is classically performed using fresh patient platelets in the presence of fresh patient plasma, and platelet agglutination initiated with ristocetin (27). In RIPA, the threshold response to ristocetin is determined, and platelet agglutination initiated with ristocetin (27). Patents with hyper-active VWF (i.e., 2B VWD) or hyper-active GPIb (i.e., PT-VWD) will agglutinate at very low concentrations of ristocetin (typically ≤0.5 mg/mL). In contrast, platelets from normal (i.e., non-VWD) individuals will agglutinate at concentrations ≥0.8 mg/mL, those with other forms of VWD (e.g., severe type 1 VWD, types 2A, 2B, and 2M) will agglutinate only at high concentrations (e.g., ≥1.5 mg/mL), and those with type 3 VWD will not agglutinate with any concentration of ristocetin, given these patients lack VWF. Note, however, that lack of agglutination with RIPA may identify type 3 VWD, or alternatively its “cousin”, Bernard Soulier Syndrome (BSS), reflecting an absence of platelet GPIb. Although RIPA is an important assay, and most usually employed for diagnosis/exclusion of types 2B and PT-VWD, it is not commonly performed, helping to identify why patients with type 2B VWD or PT-VWD may be under-diagnosed or else misdiagnosed (potentially as ITP or 2A VWD).

VWF multimers reflects a chromatography-based procedure that separates VWF molecules on the basis of size (28,29). Assessment of VWF multimers is important to enable correct identification of HMW deficient VWD types (i.e., 2A, 2B, PT-, VWD). However, the test is not widely available, and when implemented not usually performed well. Thus, its performance may or may not correctly
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VWD type</th>
<th>VWF:Ag</th>
<th>VWF:RCo*</th>
<th>VWF:CB</th>
<th>FVIII:C</th>
<th>Multimers</th>
<th>RCo/Ag*</th>
<th>CB/Ag*</th>
<th>FVIII/VWF*</th>
<th>Comments/additional testing**</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>↓ to ↓↓</td>
<td>↓ to ↓↓</td>
<td>↓ to ↓↓</td>
<td>N to ↓↓</td>
<td>Normal pattern but reduced intensity</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>VWF levels between ~30–50 U/dL will generally not be associated with VWF mutations and may be considered as representing “low” VWF as a risk factor for bleeding. VWF levels below ~30 U/dL will often be associated with VWF mutations and can be considered as representing “true” type 1 VWD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2A</td>
<td>↓ to ↓</td>
<td>↓↓ to ↓↓</td>
<td>↓↓ to ↓↓</td>
<td>↓↓ to ↓↓</td>
<td>Loss of HMW VWF</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>2A and 2B VWD can only be distinguished by means of RIPA. Platelet type (PT)-VWD phenotypically resembles 2B VWD; these can be distinguished by means of RIPA mixing studies, or by genetic analysis of VWF and/or platelet GPIb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2B</td>
<td>N to ↓</td>
<td>↓ to ↓</td>
<td>↓ to ↓</td>
<td>N to ↓</td>
<td>Loss of HMW VWF</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>2B VWD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2N</td>
<td>N to ↓</td>
<td>N to ↓</td>
<td>N to ↓</td>
<td>↓↓ to ↓↓</td>
<td>Normal pattern</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>2N VWD is phenotypically similar to haemophilia A; distinguish these by using a VWF:FVIII binding assay or genetic analysis of FVIII and/or VWF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2M</td>
<td>↓ to ↓</td>
<td>(↓ to ↓↓)†</td>
<td>(↓ to ↓↓)†</td>
<td>↓↓ to ↓↓</td>
<td>No loss of HMW VWF; some multimer defects may be present</td>
<td>Low (platelet binding defect) or normal (collagen binding defect)</td>
<td>Low (collagen binding defect) or normal (platelet binding defect)</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>2A and 2M VWD can only be distinguished by comprehensive or composite panel testing, including VWF:Ag, VWF:RCo (or GPIb binding assay), plus VWF:CB or multimer analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>↓↓ (absent)</td>
<td>↓↓ (absent)</td>
<td>↓↓ (absent)</td>
<td>↓↓ (absent)</td>
<td>No VWF present</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>Type 3 VWD can only be identified when VWF tests are performed and these are sensitive to very low levels of VWF</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*, other VWF GPIb binding assays (“VWF:GPIbR”, “VWF:GPIbM”) will provide results that will most closely match VWF:RCo. Low assay ratios are generally identified as ≤0.6, but the actual value may depend on the locally established cut-off, which may also be assay dependent; normal assay ratios are generally identified as ≥0.7, again depending on the locally established cut-off; **, units: U/mL, U/dL, %, IU/mL and IU/dL may alternatively be used as units for VWF and FVIII:C in various publications. Australia and the USA tend to use % or U/dL, but some hemophilia centres report FVIII in U/mL; †, depends on presenting type 2M defect; ↓, mildly reduced; ↓↓, moderately reduced; ↓↓↓, severely reduced. Ag, antigen; CB, collagen binding; FVIII, factor VIII; HMW, high molecular weight (VWF); GPIb, glycoprotein Ib (the platelet VWF receptor); N, normal; NA, not applicable; RCo, ristocetin cofactor; RIPA, ristocetin induced platelet aggregation; VWF, von Willebrand factor; VWD, von Willebrand disease.
identify such cases of VWD (30,31). In some studies, error rates associated with VWF multimer performance are as high as 50% (31).

Another assay that may be used in VWD exclusion in particular is the Platelet Function Analyzer (PFA)-100/200, most importantly in urgent investigations and/or where access to specific VWF tests may be limited (32-35). The PFA shows extraordinary sensitivity to absence of VWF (e.g., severe type 1 VWD and type 3 VWD), and also to lack of HMW VWF (i.e., types 2A, 2B and PT-VWD), and also to some functional VWF flaws (e.g., type 2M VWD). PFA closure times (CTs) are thus extended in all patients with type 2A, 2M, 2B and 3, and in the majority with type 1 VWD, in particular those expressing <30 U/dL VWF. More importantly, normal CTs are largely inconsistent with presence of VWD, except for 2N VWD, and thus normal CTs can act as a negative predictor (i.e., absence of VWD).

These issues notwithstanding, testing for VWF parameters is required for identification and classification of VWD (2-6,36), with a recommended approach given in Figure 1. All patients who are investigated require a minimum (“basic”) four-test panel as an initial investigation, with this being FVIII, VWF:Ag, VWF:CB and a GPIb binding assay—the latter can comprise a classical VWF:RCo assay or alternatively a modern “alternative” (i.e., VWF:GPIbR or VWF:GPIbM). This suggestion is in line with guidance from the United Kingdom Haemophilia Centre Doctors Organization (4). Using these results as a basis for preliminary assessment, further laboratory investigation may be suggested. It is obligatory to perform all tests a second time with a fresh sample prior to VWD being positively diagnosed, or totally excluded, since both pre-analytical issues (37) and analytical (5) test limitations can compromise test results and interpretation. Composite test patterns in addition to test levels should be evaluated as these provide clues to the VWD diagnosis and the subsequent follow-up approach to enable a final diagnosis and characterization of VWD type (Figure 1).

Therapeutic management in VWD

Principal therapy in VWD requires the restoration of the missing or dysfunctional VWF, and sometimes also FVIII (38,39). Additional treatment may also be required in some patients. Mild type 1 VWD is largely managed using desmopressin (1-deamino-8-d-arginine vasopressin, DDAVP), which enables release of stored VWF from endothelial cells (Table 4) (3,4,39). Since the DDAVP response is consistent within families, the response from a parent can be used to foretell the response of a child affected by VWD. DDAVP is sometimes effective in those with type 2 VWD. However, DDAVP is not generally of use in severe type 1 (response may be considered “clinically insufficient”) and not at all useful in type 3 VWD (since VWF is not produced). DDAVP treatment is only partially effective where VWD patients express limited responsiveness or require a long therapy duration, for example to protect against major surgery. Prior to therapeutic use, a “DDAVP trial” is recommended to evaluate both tolerance and responsiveness, either for the patient or for a close family member (e.g., parent) suffering the same defect (39-41).

If DDAVP is contraindicated or for patients that show a sub-therapeutic or short-lived DDAVP response, replacement of VWF (and usually also FVIII) is used (2,3,39). This reflects the treatment approach for most patients with severe type 1 VWD, for most type 2 VWD cases, and for all type 3 VWD cases—in other words, for those rarest forms of VWD. The treatment protocol used in a particular locality may differ from that used in another locality, based on local preference and concentrate availability. Pharmacokinetic studies may be useful in some patients to personalize their therapy (e.g., in those who display increased VWF clearance).

Since levels of FVIII may increase to excessive quantities in some patients when dispensing for longer duration treatments concentrates with both VWF and FVIII, therapy monitoring is mandatory, and if available, concentrates without FVIII may be preferentially given (2,3,39,42), although these may not be available in all localities. Similarly, recombinant VWF has recently become available for VWD treatment in the USA, but this is also unavailable in most other localities (42).

Monitoring of VWD therapy

Tests used to assess for VWD can also be utilized to monitor VWD treatment (Table 3) (41,43), and an extensive review on this topic has recently been published (40). In brief, for DDAVP, this triggers release of stored (endogenous) VWF. As this is normal in type 1 VWD, all VWF test parameters (VWF:Ag, VWF:RCo, VWF:GPIbR, VWF:GPIbM, VWF:CB, FVIII:C) rise in parallel and all VWF “activity”/Ag ratios remain normal. DDAVP responses in type 2 VWD are variable, but usually better in type 2M than...
**Figure 1** An algorithmic approach to diagnosis or exclusion of VWD using an initial four test panel. *, note: testing by the VWF gain of function (“VWF:GPIbM”) assays will provide results that closely match those of VWF:RCo. Also, there are no published differences between results of platelet (“VWF:RCo”) vs. latex (“VWF:GPIbR”) based VWF:RCo assays. Ag, von Willebrand factor antigen result; APTT, activated partial thromboplastin time; CB/Ag, ratio of VWF:CB to VWF:Ag; FBC/CBC, full/complete blood count; FVIIIb, level of factor VIII bound in a von Willebrand factor—FVIII binding assay; FVIII:C, factor VIII coagulant; PFA, platelet function analyzer; PT, prothrombin time; PT-VWD, platelet-type VWD; RCo/Ag*, ratio of VWF:RCo to VWF:Ag; RIPA, ristocetin induced platelet agglutination assay; VWD, von Willebrand disease/disorder; VWF, von Willebrand factor; VWF:CB, von Willebrand factor collagen binding (assay); VWF:FVIIIB, von Willebrand factor—FVIII binding assay; VWF:RCo, von Willebrand factor ristocetin cofactor (assay).

2A. Response to DDAVP can be transient in type 2N VWD, and in type 2B VWD is sometimes considered contraindicated, because raising the quantity of “abnormal” VWF having augmented affinity for platelet GPIb can lead to thrombocytopenia, hypothetically increasing bleeding risk (Table 4). A DDAVP response profile, being generally characteristic for a particular VWD type (40,41) can, in addition to identifying potential therapeutic utility, assist VWD diagnosis in those patients with unclear type.

Use of concentrates containing VWF is identified to be clinically efficacious by seeing if treatments have succeeded in securing normal haemostasis for surgery and to halt or reduce any active bleeding (39,40). Efficacy can also be assessed by laboratory testing, by observing patterns with the same panel of laboratory tests as used for diagnosis and DDAVP monitoring (Tables 3) (2-6,40,41).

The PFA-100/200 may also be employed in some laboratories to help assess the efficacy of VWD therapy (32,40,41,43).

**Conclusions and future perspectives**

Consistent with the guideline from the United Kingdom Haemophilia Centre Doctors Organization (4), our laboratory advocates a minimum four-test panel comprising FVIII, VWF:Ag, collagen binding (VWF:CB), and GPIb binding (e.g., VWF:RCo, VWF:GPIbR or VWF:GPIbM), for identification/exclusion of VWD (5,36). Additional tests might be needed for complete VWD typing, or a differential diagnosis (e.g., 2N VWD vs. haemophilia A, 2B vs. PT-VWD). This is particularly important to achieve a correct diagnosis in the rarer forms of VWD. Use of an algorithm, such as per Figure 1, may be useful. Standard therapy to manage VWD, especially in the more common
form ("mild type 1 VWD"), utilizes DDAVP wherever possible, but for most supposedly rare forms of VWD, VWF/FVIII concentrates would be required (39). In some cases, adjunct therapy (e.g., antifibrinolytic) may also be needed (39). Therapy monitoring may involve further laboratory measurements, including baseline and post-treatment levels of several VWF parameters, plus FVIII:C at particular intervals, and using the same four-test panel as for VWD diagnosis (5). Some laboratories integrate PFA testing in some patients, primarily to assist exclusion of severe VWD, but also to help assess efficacy of DDAVP therapy (33-35,41).

Genetic testing also has a part to play in VWD diagnosis, although this should be used selectively (44-46), given its expense and complexity, and that phenotypic testing may still provide a definitive diagnosis. However, there are great strides being made by next generation sequencing (46), and so this situation is likely to change in the near future.

Recommendations around therapeutic use and monitoring of VWF/FVIII concentrates may require local protocols, depending on the availability of concentrates and laboratory test panels in use. Although recombinant

### Table 4: Major current therapies for congenital von Willebrand disease (VWD)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VWD type</th>
<th>Summary of main therapies</th>
<th>Therapy — general considerations</th>
<th>Additional therapies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mild type 1</td>
<td>DDAVP; VWF/(FVIII) concentrate</td>
<td>Usually respond well to DDAVP (assuming baseline values ≥20 U/dL). VWF concentrate required for DDAVP non-responders or for long-term therapy. Need to replace VWF and sometimes also FVIII</td>
<td>Anti-fibrinolytic therapy (e.g., tranexamic acid or aminocaproic acid) may be used for less severe forms of mucosal bleeding, menorrhagia, epistaxis, dental procedures; hormonal treatments effectively helps manage menorrhagia in some cases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Severe type 1</td>
<td>VWF/(FVIII) concentrate; (DDAVP)</td>
<td>Usually do not respond sufficiently well to DDAVP, as VWF levels generally ≤15 U/dL. VWF concentrate therefore usually required. Need to replace VWF and sometimes also FVIII</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2A</td>
<td>VWF/(FVIII) concentrate; DDAVP</td>
<td>Variable clinical response to DDAVP. VWF concentrate represents most common therapy. Need to replace (HMW) VWF and sometimes also FVIII</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2B</td>
<td>VWF/(FVIII) concentrate; (DDAVP)</td>
<td>DDAVP use is contentious (believed contraindicated by some; whereas others feel this may represent an effective treatment in a proportion of patients). VWF concentrate represents most common therapy. Need to replace (HMW) VWF and only rarely also FVIII</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2M</td>
<td>VWF/(FVIII) concentrate; DDAVP</td>
<td>Variable clinical response to DDAVP and VWF concentrate represents most common therapy. Need to replace functional VWF and sometimes also FVIII</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 2N</td>
<td>VWF/(FVIII) concentrate; DDAVP</td>
<td>Variable clinical response to DDAVP and VWF concentrate represents most common therapy. Need to replace functional VWF and also sometimes FVIII (perhaps at least initially. Once stable infused VWF levels (&quot;steady state&quot;) reached, FVIII levels will rise due to stabilization of endogenous FVIII, and FVIII transfusion will no longer be required)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type 3</td>
<td>VWF/(FVIII) concentrate</td>
<td>DDAVP ineffective, and VWF concentrate represents only effective therapy. Need to replace VWF and also FVIII, at least initially. Once stable infused VWF levels (&quot;steady state&quot;) reached, FVIII levels will rise due to stabilization of endogenous FVIII, and FVIII transfusion will no longer be required</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: additional/alternate therapies for VWD may be applied in distinct geographies, based on relative availability of main treatments, including DDAVP and/or VWF/(FVIII) concentrates. Summarized from references (39,40).
VWF has been developed, and is now available in the USA (42), this is unavailable in most locations. If available, recombinant VWF use might impose further refinements to standard treatment and monitoring of therapy, alongside concepts of personalized medicine (42).
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