Short-term outcomes for total knee arthroplasty patients with active extension lag
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Background: Despite the success of total knee arthroplasty (TKA), quadriceps strength can fail to recover. Active extension lag [quadriceps lag (Q-lag)] is a function of quadriceps weakness. Q-lag presents itself in patients who maintain a full passive range of motion (ROM), but are limited in active extension ROM. Few studies have evaluated the outcomes of post-TKA patients in the presence of post-operative Q-lag. Thus, this study aims to compare: (I) pain scores; and (II) rates of readmission to physical therapy (PT) in TKA patients with Q-lag of ≥15 degrees to patients without Q-lag.

Methods: A retrospective review of primary TKA patients between 2013 and 2015 was performed. A total of 150 patients (mean age 63.0 years) with a mean follow-up of 30.7 months were analyzed. All patients received an evidence-based protocol for PT at our institution. Patient readmission to PT was recorded if the orthopedic surgeon wrote an additional prescription for PT intervention following the standard of care following TKA. An independent samples t-test and chi-square analysis was conducted to assess the continuous and categorical variables, respectively.

Results: Fifty-one patients had Q-lag ≥15 degrees and 97 patients had Q-lag <15 degrees. Analysis of mean pain scores between the groups demonstrated a significant difference in mean pain scores (1.9 vs. 3.9; P=0.043). Chi-square analysis demonstrated no significant difference in rates of PT readmission between patients who presented with Q-lag, and patients without Q-lag (23.5% vs. 13.4%; P=0.118).

Conclusions: There was no significant difference in readmission rates; however, patients with Q-lag experienced a clinically significant higher pain level. Since this is the first study of its kind, we suggest further investigations on the effect of Q-lag on patient outcomes following primary TKA.
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Introduction

Total knee arthroplasty (TKA) is the most effective procedure for patients who suffer from end-stage debilitating knee arthritis, demonstrating excellent outcomes and pain reduction (1,2). Despite these positive outcomes, quadriceps strength can often fail to recover to levels of healthy, age-matched cohorts years after surgery (3). This can lead to profound functional consequences such as impairments with balance (4), walking speed (5), stair climbing (6), and increased fall risk (7). Additionally, nearly 50% of patients report impairments
in physical function 1 year after TKA (8). Amongst this group, quadriceps weakness can manifest as a limitation in active extension [quadriceps lag (Q-lag)]. This is defined as patient inability to actively move a joint to its passive limit (8), which is in contrast to a flexion contracture in which patients exhibit pathological limitations in active and passive knee extension. More specifically, Q-lag can be defined as an inability to achieve the final 15 degrees of active knee extension (9). This cut-off was based on a study demonstrating a required 60% increase in force of quadriceps contraction from −15 to 0 degrees of extension, and as such is most sensitive for quadriceps weakness (10).

Active extension lag may originate pre-operatively in patients suffering from severe knee arthritis. In a study constituting 348 women who underwent radiographic imaging and quadriceps strength testing, Palmieri-Smith et al. (11) demonstrated that mean quadriceps strength was 22% greater in patients without radiographic evidence of osteoarthritis than with patients who had osteoarthritis (P<0.05). This finding was also corroborated in an observational study conducted by Petterson et al. (12). In that study, consisting of 132 individuals with ipsilateral osteoarthritis, the authors discovered that quadriceps volitional muscle activation in the osteoarthritic limb (0.76±0.21) was 8% lower than that of the contralateral limb with no osteoarthritis (0.83±0.16) (P<0.001). Additional disruption of quadriceps function may also occur intra-operatively and during the immediate post-operative period after a TKA. This is due to soft tissue damage that occurs during knee replacement (13), which may incite muscle inhibition secondary to recruitment failure of muscle motor units (14) thus contributing to poor quadriceps strength recovery.

Currently there is a paucity of studies evaluating midterm outcomes of TKA patients with persistent Q-lag after discharge from physical therapy (PT). Therefore, the purpose of this study was to assess short-term outcomes of patients who underwent TKA and were discharged from PT with Q-lag. More specifically, we compared: (I) numeric 1–10 scaled pain scores; and (II) rates of readmission to PT in TKA patients who had 15 degrees or more of active extension lag after discharge from PT to patients who presented with no Q-lag.

### Methods

#### Patient selection

Institutional Board Review exempt approval was obtained for the retrospective review of 168 continuous patients who underwent primary total knee replacement at our institution between the years of 2013 and 2015. Inclusion criteria comprised of patients who were between the age of 50 and 85 years of age and underwent a unilateral primary TKA with a minimum of 12 months follow-up. Patients who had a diagnosis of inflammatory arthritis (n=6), chronic pain syndromes (n=3), history of opiate or illicit drug abuse (n=3), underwent revision TKA and/or bilateral TKA (n=3), or recently (within 1 year) underwent total hip arthroplasty were excluded from analysis (n=3). These patients were excluded to mitigate confounding variables that would influence results. A total of 18 patients were excluded leaving 150 for analysis (112 women and 38 men) with a mean age of 63.0 years (range, 50.0–85.0 years) and a mean follow-up of 30.7 months (range, 18.0–47.0 months) (Table 1).

#### Surgical procedure

All surgeries underwent standard medial para-patellar approach. Bone cuts were made perpendicular to the mechanical axis with the help of an intramedullary alignment system. The proximal tibia was also cut perpendicular to the mechanical axis of the tibia with the help of extramedullary guides. All patellas were resurfaced.

A spinal anesthetic was used for all patients. All knees were closed in flexion. Tourniquet was not used. All patients underwent the same post-operative pathway. Patients were discharged to home after demonstrating adequate pain control, and functional ability. All study patients were discharged to outpatient therapy within a week of surgery. Following surgery each patient was given a prescription for oxycodone 5 mg q4-6 PRN pain for 4 weeks with 0 refills. None of the study population requested nor received prescription refills past the original prescription.

### Table 1 Patient demographics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of patients</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (range) (years)</td>
<td>63.0 (50.0–85.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, mean (range) (kg/m²)</td>
<td>33.9 (20.3–66.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women, n (%)</td>
<td>112 (74.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Follow-up, mean (range) (months)</td>
<td>30.7 (18.0–47.0)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2 Group characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Q-lag (N=51)</th>
<th>No Q-lag (N=97)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age, mean (range) (years)</td>
<td>62.7 (38.0–84.0)</td>
<td>63.4 (40.0–88.0)</td>
<td>0.579</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>32.86</td>
<td>33.21</td>
<td>0.915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women, n (%)</td>
<td>37 (72.5)</td>
<td>74 (76.3)</td>
<td>0.618</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-operative active range of motion, mean (range) (degrees)</td>
<td>107.5 (55.0–130.0)</td>
<td>109.8 (80.0–135.0)</td>
<td>0.321</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-operative passive range of motion, mean (range) (degrees)</td>
<td>114.1 (70.0–130.0)</td>
<td>117.6 (95.0–145.0)</td>
<td>0.046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q-lag at discharge (degrees)</td>
<td>17.1 (15.0–30.0)</td>
<td>5.7 (0.0–10.0)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI, body mass index; Q-lag, quadriceps lag.

Outpatient PT protocol

The timeline of a postoperative rehabilitation course after a total knee replacement is as follows: (I) acute inpatient PT lasting 1 to 3 days; (II) outpatient PT lasting 1 to 6 weeks focusing on passive range of motion (ROM), normal ambulation, and improving quadriceps strength; (III) returning to normal, everyday activity in 4 to 8 weeks (14). The modalities used for each patient were previously published as the multimodal approach (15). Discharge from PT occurs when the therapist determines the patient has reached maximum medical improvement, where there is no longer a benefit of continued PT, or has returned to an everyday functional level of activity.

Outcome measure classifications

Pain levels were measured on a numerical rating scale of 0–10 with 0 being no pain present and 10 being “worst pain imaginable”. A minimum difference of 2 was designated as the threshold for minimal clinically important difference (MCID) (16–18). Patients who were included for readmission to PT were done so if the treating Orthopedic surgeon wrote an additional prescription following the initial course of treatment following TKA. Typically, some of the patient reasons for readmission could include increased pain, decreased ROM, or instability.

Statistical analysis

Q-lag was defined as a loss of 15 degrees or more of active knee extension from baseline patient-specific extension. All patient data were assessed via patient charts prior to surgery and at presentation to PT. Baseline active extension was calculated as the mean of patient recorded active extension at each clinic visit and prior to surgery. All data points were assessed for normality via visual inspection of Normal Q-Q plot and of their histograms (16). The harmonic mean was used due to its robustness to significant outliers. An independent sample t-test was conducted to compare means of dependent variables. The Levene's test was conducted post-hoc to assess homogeneity of variance in which a P value >0.05 was required to accept the null hypothesis that there was no difference in variance between the two groups. In assessing the means, a two-tailed P value <0.05 was considered the threshold for statistical significance. All analyses were conducted using SPSS version 24 (IBM corporation, Armonk, New York, USA).

Results

Initial analysis of group demographics demonstrated no significant difference in patient age (62.7 vs. 63.4 years; P=0.579), body mass index (BMI) (32.86 vs. 33.21 kg/m²; P=0.915) and gender composition (72.5% women and 27.5% men vs. 76.3% women and 23.7% men; P=0.618) between the two groups (Table 2). Evaluation of active extension lag demonstrated a mean Q-lag of 17.1 degrees (range, 15.0–30.0 degrees) amongst the cohort with Q-lag and 5.7 degrees (range, 0.0–10.0 degrees) amongst the cohort with no Q-lag. While there were no significant differences in pre-operative active ROM (107.5 vs. 109.8 degrees; P=0.321), there was a demonstrable difference in pre-operative passive ROM between the two groups (114.1 vs. 117.6 degrees; P=0.046).

Chi square analysis demonstrated no significant difference in rates of PT readmission between TKA patients.
who presented with Q-lag, and patients who did not have Q-lag (23.5% vs. 13.4%; \( P=0.118 \)) (Table 3). Analysis of mean pain scores between the groups demonstrated a MCID and statistically significant different mean pain scores at dates of last follow-up (1.9 vs. 3.9; \( P=0.043 \)).

**Discussion**

Despite the effectiveness of TKA, patients may fail to achieve full pre-surgical active ROM post-operatively. Predisposition to this phenomenon has been well described in the literature and has been attributed to the progressive degenerative changes present in progressive knee arthritis. Additionally, soft tissue and bone damage sustained during a TKA procedure plays a role in the development of active extension lag (Q-lag) through pain inhibition of active muscle motor units. While the underlying etiology of Q-lag associated with knee arthritis and TKA has been described, there is an absence of literature comparing mid-term outcomes of TKA patients with and without Q-lag. This study compares the readmission rates, and pain scores of 150 consecutive TKA patients (mean follow-up of 30.7 months) who were discharged from PT with Q-lag of 15 degrees or more. Our results demonstrate no difference in readmission rates; however, did show a clinically statistical difference in pain at date of last follow-up.

There were some limitations that existed in this study. This study is retrospective in nature and is thus limited in its ability to control for hidden confounders. These confounders include patient motivation and pre-operative patient recovery expectation (19). Fortunately, patient pre-operative ROM did not play a role in outcomes. This is evidenced by the fact that there was no significant difference between pre-operative ROM between the two groups. Additionally, pain is multifactorial in origin and while validated measures such as the Visual Analog Scale exist (20), these measures do not account for psychosocial factors that may influence perception of pain. We therefore encourage future investigators to assess factors influencing perception of pain as it pertains to TKA patients. Despite the study limitations, this study is the first of its kind and may be used to guide orthopaedic care for post-operative TKA patients with Q-lag.

While the literature is scarce on the evaluation of Q-lag after TKA, there are studies which assessed factors associated with reduced quadriceps strength and activation. Ishii et al. (21) preformed a randomized control trial evaluating long-term quadriceps strength in bilateral TKA patients who received cruciate-retaining (CR) implants on one side and posterior stabilizing (PS) design mobile-bearing implants on the other. A cohort of 68 knees (34 patients) were compared to a cohort of 70 knees (35 patients) age matched controls assessing for mean quadriceps strength using a dynamometer. The results demonstrated significantly lower mean muscle strength to body weight ratio (MS/BW) for CR and PS knees, when compared to age matched controls (3.3 vs. 3.4 vs. 4.6; \( P=0.0032 \)). The study in conjunction with our current research demonstrates the continued need to improve quadriceps strength following TKA.

Thomas et al. (22) assessed quadriceps strength and activation following TKA. A cohort of ten TKA patients was compared to ten control subjects. Their results revealed that quadriceps strength was greater in controls compared to patients at pre-operative (surgical limb vs. control, \( P<0.001 \); nonsurgical limb vs. control, \( P=0.021 \)), 1-month (surgical limb vs. control, \( P<0.001 \); nonsurgical limb vs. control, \( P=0.008 \)), and 6-month time periods (surgical limb vs. control, \( P<0.001 \); nonsurgical limb vs. control, \( P=0.027 \)). Mizner et al. (14) measured pre-and-post operative quadriceps strength and voluntary muscle activation in 20 TKA patients. The authors reported a 62% and 17% mean decrease in quadriceps strength and voluntary activation respectively (\( P<0.01 \)). Furthermore, the authors revealed that failure of voluntary muscle activation and significant muscle atrophy explained 85% of the loss in quadriceps strength.

It is also important to understand the economic importance of our findings. In recent years there have growing efforts to systematically reduce care costs related

---

**Table 3** Readmission rates and pain scores for patients with and without Q-lag at latest follow-up

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Q-lag</th>
<th>No Q-lag</th>
<th>( P ) value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VAS score, mean (range)</td>
<td>1.9 (1.0–6.0)</td>
<td>3.9 (1.0–6.0)</td>
<td>0.043</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rates of readmission (%)</td>
<td>12 (23.5)</td>
<td>13 (13.4)</td>
<td>0.118</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VAS, Visual Analog Scale; Q-lag, quadriceps lag.
to arthroplasty (23-28). A frequent target for these efforts has been to minimize post-acute care costs (29) (i.e., PT) manifested as increasingly shorter duration of care provider directed PT (30). As such, many patients may be fitted towards a PT regimen that falls short of their expected functional outcomes. While our study demonstrated no difference in readmission rates, the difference in pain, albeit small, is of clinical significance and may warrant discussion on models best aimed at reducing costs while optimizing patient outcome during the post-acute period.

Conclusions
This study compared short-term outcomes of patients with and without 15 degrees of Q-lag. This study reveals no statistical significant difference in readmission rates between the two groups; however, clinically significant increased pain levels were found. As such, it is paramount for arthroplasty surgeons and physical therapists to focus patients on restoring quadriceps strength in order to optimize outcomes and reduce patient pain (31). Larger prospective studies are necessary to validate our findings.
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