Heart-lung interactions during mechanical ventilation: the basics
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Abstract: The hemodynamic effects of mechanical ventilation can be grouped into three clinically relevant concepts. First, since spontaneous ventilation is exercise. In patients increased work of breathing, initiation of mechanical ventilatory support may improve O\textsubscript{2} delivery because the work of breathing is reduced. Second, changes in lung volume alter autonomic tone, pulmonary vascular resistance, and at high lung volumes compress the heart in the cardiac fossa similarly to cardiac tamponade. As lung volume increases so does the pressure difference between airway and pleural pressure. When this pressure difference exceeds pulmonary artery pressure, pulmonary vessels collapse as they pass form the pulmonary arteries into the alveolar space increasing pulmonary vascular resistance. Hyperinflation increases pulmonary vascular resistance impeding right ventricular ejection. Anything that over distends lung units will increase their vascular resistance, and if occurring globally throughout the lung, increase pulmonary vascular resistance. Decreases in end-expiratory lung volume cause alveolar collapse increases pulmonary vasomotor tone by the process of hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction. Recruitment maneuvers that restore alveolar oxygenation without over distention will reduce pulmonary artery pressure. Third, positive-pressure ventilation increases intrathoracic pressure. Since diaphragmatic descent increases intra-abdominal pressure, the decrease in the pressure gradient for venous return is less than would otherwise occur if the only change were an increase in right atrial pressure. However, in hypovolemic states, it can induce profound decreases in venous return. Increases in intrathoracic pressure decreases left ventricular afterload and will augment left ventricular ejection. In patients with hypervolemic heart failure, this afterload reducing effect can result in improved left ventricular ejection, increased cardiac output and reduced myocardial O\textsubscript{2} demand. This brief review will focus primarily on mechanical ventilation and intrathoracic pressure as they affect right and left ventricular function and cardiac output.
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Introduction

The first demonstration of heart lung interactions was published by Hales et al. in 1733 demonstrating circulatory variations during respiratory cycles in mares using glass tube manometers (1). Over the years our understanding of heart and lung interactions have improved and helped inform our clinical decision making particularly in the arena of critical care. Thus, the purpose of this review should help critical care physicians understand the basics of heart and lung interaction under negative pressure ventilation and the effects of positive pressure ventilation on this complex system.

Before discussing mechanical effects of heart lung interactions, it is important to understand that spontaneous breathing is exercise (2). It consumes oxygen, requires
increased blood flow and produces carbon dioxide. Although beyond the scope of this discussion on the basics of heart-lung interactions, if mechanical ventilation relieves the respiratory muscles, then both whole body oxygen consumption will decrease, allowing a limited and cardiac output to sub serve the other metabolic demands it faces. Whereas weaning from mechanical ventilation induced cardiovascular stress and can induce both heart failure and pulmonary edema.

The heart and lung interplay occurs due to their anatomic location: they both occupy the same thoracic cavity, connected via blood vessels. Because of this housing of the heart within the thorax, the heart can be described as a “pressure chamber within a pressure chamber”. Pressure changes within the thoracic cavity during the respiratory cycle affect the pressure systems to the heart and from the heart to the extra-thoracic spaces but do not alter the intrathoracic vascular relationships. The reasons for these differential effects is because flow through the circuit is determined by driving pressures (pressure gradients) within that circuit. The pressure gradient for blood flow is different for the arterial and venous sides of the circulation. For venous return the pressure gradient is from the mean systemic reservoirs, referred to as mean circulatory filling pressure (Pmsf) to the right atrium and for the arterial circuit from the left ventricle into the arterial tree. Since intrathoracic pressure (ITP) is the surrounding pressure for the heart, right atrial pressure (Pra) relative to right ventricular (RV) filling is best quantified as Pra minus ITP, referred to as transmural (across the wall) pressure. Similarly, left ventricular (LV) ejection pressure is estimates as arterial pressure minus ITP. Clearly, both transmural Pra and transmural LV pressure vary with changes in ITP while neither the upstream venous driving pressure, referred to as mean systemic filling pressure (Pmsf), nor arterial pressure are affected by isolated changes in ITP. Therefore, these changes in ITP can markedly alter these pressure gradients by altering transmural right atrial and transmural LV pressures both cyclically during breathing and during the steady state if ITP is kept increased or decreased relative to atmosphere. However, the pulmonary arterial to left atrial pressure gradient is not altered by changes in ITP because that entire circuit is within the thorax. Thus, the systemic circulation can be profoundly altered by ITP changes whereas the pulmonary circulation is immune unless lung volumes also change.

**Right heart and determinants of preload**

Preload is RV end-diastolic wall stress. Whether changes in RV end-diastolic volume actually change wall stress is a matter of speculation. Clearly, under resting condition in a normal heart, RV end-diastolic volume varies over a wide range with minimal changes in transmural RV pressure. Thus, under resting conditions the force of RV ejection is remarkably constant, explaining why fluid resuscitation invariably increases RV end-systolic volume as well and end-diastolic volume. However, as described above, the pressure gradient for venous return to the RV from the circulation is Pmsf relative to Pra. The venous system carries about 70% of the blood volume in the body. Most of this venous volume is housed in vessels that sense as their outside surrounding pressure atmospheric pressure. If one could withdraw blood from an adynamic circulation, one would see that Pmsf would decrease to zero despite having more than half the blood still remaining in the circulation. That is because that amount of blood in the circulation below the volume that causes increases in vascular pressure, fill the vascular space not by stretch the vessels but by causing them to have a conformational expansion from a collapsed state. Once distend any further increase in intravascular volume causes Pmsf to increase. The amount of blood in the systemic circulation below this pressure inflection point is called the unstressed volume of the circulation, and the amount above it is called the stressed volume. Any further intravascular volume increase above this stressed volume point will result in an increase in Pmsf along the venous compliance relationship. Thus, Pmsf is determined by the stressed volume causing positive transmural pressure against the vessel wall. Whereas total circulating blood volume define both stressed and unstressed volume. Elastic recoil against this venous vessel pressure provides the driving force for blood to flow towards the heart (3-5). Since different vascular beds have different amounts of unstressed volume, altering blood flow distribution toward low unstressed volume circuits (e.g., muscle) or increasing venomotor tone (e.g., increased sympathetic tone, vasopressors) will increase Pmsf increasing the upstream pressure for venous return (4,6). Finally, respiratory cycles by selectively altering Pra can directly influence this pressure gradient as well (3,7,8) (Figure 1).

Pra is the back pressure to venous return and opposes Pmsf. Dynamic change in Pra during the ventilatory cycle causes reciprocal changes in venous flow rates (9). Pra was thought to be influenced by compliance of the right
measured pericardial pressure and Pra demonstrated the effect of large tidal volumes using air-filled balloons in 20 post cardiopulmonary bypass patients at different tidal volumes during mechanical ventilation. They found the transmural pressure of the right atrium (derived by Pra—pericardial pressure) did not change with increases in the tidal volume (from 4, 6, 8 and 10 mL/kg). Both these clinical studies are consistent with the statement that over the normal physiologic range, RV filling occurs below its own unstressed volume.

Positive-pressure ventilation reverses the effect on Pra during the respiratory cycle, increasing Pra during inspiration and decreasing it during expiration. Airway, Pra, pericardial and pleural pressures all increase with increasing tidal volumes and ITP in a linear fashion (14). With mechanical inflation of the lungs during inspiration, ITP and Pra increases. This in turn decreases driving pressure for venous return and RV end-diastolic volume (8,15).

The understanding of this concept of how changing ITP alters the pressure gradient for venous return and thus cardiac output is vital for clinicians taking care of ventilated patients, particularly in the setting of hypovolemia. The pressure gradient driving blood from venous reservoirs to the heart is normally only 4–8 mmHg (16). Since the resistance of venous return (RVR) is very low, such a small pressure gradient is adequate to drive 100% of the cardiac output back to the heart each minute. Thus, small increases in positive end-expiratory pressure (PEEP) can cause relatively large decreases in preload and overall cardiac output. This effect must be mitigated by increasing Pmsf by increasing stressed volume or increasing vessel tone (17). Furthermore, in an animal model Katira et al. (18) demonstrated the effect of large tidal volumes and zero PEEP on sharp decreases in Pra, RV end-diastolic volume, and since it also created an increased RV ejection pressure (increased pulmonary vascular resistance by large tidal inspirations) developed progressive cor pulmonale. By simply adding 10 cmH2O PEEP and lowering tidal volumes these detrimental effects were minimized.

Other than its direct effect on the heart, both positive and negative pressure inspiration can influence preload by increasing venous return from abdominal vasculature due to increasing abdominal pressure owing to diaphragmatic excursion (19,20). In the case of negative pressure ventilation, the amount of blood returning to the heart may be limited by ITP itself as Pra becomes sub-atmospheric, causing the great vessels to collapse due to as they enter the thoracic inlet creating a flow-limited segment (21).

During mechanical ventilation this effect is important in mitigating the decrease in RV preload caused by an increasing ITP (22–24) by increasing Pmsf and thus
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minimizing the detrimental effects of increased $\text{Pra}$ on the pressure gradient for venous return. This was demonstrated in fluid resuscitated post-cardiac surgery patients using a 25-second inspiratory hold and 20 mmHg of PEEP (25). In these 42 patients, cardiac outputs remained unchanged during inspiratory holds and progressively increasing levels of PEEP despite rising $\text{Pra}$ because intraabdominal pressure increased to a similar amount allowing intra-abdominal venous compartments to proportionally increase their upstream venous pressures.

**Left heart and determinants of afterload**

Afterload is the force resisting ventricular ejection (26). In the absence of aortic valve pathology this resisting force is determined by aortic pressure, arterial elastance and overall arterial resistance (27). The higher the aortic elastance, or stiffness of the arterial tree, the less it can accommodate pulsatile blood flow from the left ventricle without increasing arterial pressure. In cases of chronic hypertension and aortic calcification, a resultant increased elastance markedly increases $\text{LV}$ afterload and impairs $\text{LV}$ stroke volume in response to exercise while increasing long term mortality.

During negative pressure respiration, inspiration leads to decreasing Ppl and increasing transmural pressure $\text{LV}$ ejection pressure. This hinders $\text{LV}$ contraction by the increased $\text{LV}$ afterload causing $\text{LV}$ end-systolic volume to increase on the very first beat (17). The opposite is true for expiration and forced expiration, where increasing ITP and Ppl and decreasing transmural pressure decrease afterload decreasing $\text{LV}$ end-systolic volume for the same arterial pressure. In healthy adults during spontaneous breathing, these negative swings in ITP have little effect on $\text{LV}$ systolic performance because the normal $\text{LV}$ can easily sustain ejection against small increases in afterload (28). However, if the decreases in ITP are marked (e.g., upper airway obstruction, laryngeal edema, obstructive sleep apnea or head and neck tumors), inspiration occurs against a closed airway and ITP markedly decreases. This causes large immediate increases in $\text{LV}$ afterload and venous return, increasing intrathoracic fluid content, and if severe and/or prolonged promoting pulmonary edema (28,29).

During mechanical ventilation particularly when high PEEP or large tidal volumes are employed, inspiration increases Ppl, decrease $\text{LV}$ transmural pressure and decreases $\text{LV}$ afterload aiding in $\text{LV}$ ejection even if arterial pressure also increases (30). This is especially notable in patients with congestive heart failure. However, these increased $\text{LV}$ stroke volume effects are limited by the associated decrease in venous return, as described above. Plus, if lung volume increases, then pulmonary vascular resistance also increases impeding $\text{RV}$ ejection. Thus, the combination of increase ITP increase decrease pressure gradient for venous return plus increased lung volume-induced increase in pulmonary vascular resistance may create a critically low output state. Still, this effect of positive pressure ventilation is helpful in certain disease states such as left-sided systolic heart failure (31) especially if lung volume increases are minimized. A probable cause of $\text{LV}$ failure during ventilator weaning must be the associated increased $\text{LV}$ afterload induced by the phasic decreases in ITP with each spontaneous breath with its obligatory increase in myocardial $O_2$ consumption. This weaning-associated $\text{LV}$ failure may be a primary cause of failure to wean in critically ill ventilator-dependent patients (32,33).

**Right heart, lung volumes and determinants of $\text{RV}$ afterload**

The right heart has been described more as a flow generator than a pressures generator owing to its ejection at lower pressure into a more compliant pulmonary vasculature (11,34). During mechanical ventilation, changes in ITP are the main determinants of changes in $\text{LV}$ afterload. However, for the right ventricle, these changes have minimal effects on the right ventricle because the entire pulmonary vasculature is within the intrathoracic compartment and affected equally by changing ITP. However, change in lung volume associated with ventilation can markedly alter pulmonary vascular resistance and elastance as well as pulmonary arterial pressures due to changing zonal conditions, all of which are the primary determinants of $\text{RV}$ afterload (30,35).

During inspiration, the increasing lung volume causes the pulmonary vasculature to distend, increasing its compliance and minimizing increased $\text{RV}$ stroke volume-induced increases in $\text{RV}$ afterload. Because the $\text{RV}$ has less contractile reserve than the $\text{LV}$, ITP swings and afterload during the respiratory cycle have a greater effect on the $\text{RV}$ than the $\text{LV}$ (36). This concept becomes especially important in disease states like acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) where hypoxic vasoconstriction can increase afterload and potentially cause the $\text{RV}$ to fail (30).
Recruitment maneuvers that open collapsed alveolar units will reduce overall pulmonary vascular impedance and resistance, promoting effective RV ejection.

In mechanical ventilation, inspiration-associated over-inflation of lung volume will increase pulmonary vascular resistance increasing RV afterload. Thus, RV ejection can be impeded during inspiration if large tidal volumes are used (37). This effect appears to be mitigated in smaller lung-protective tidal volume routinely used in ventilated patients and the use of lower levels of PEEP. Changes in Ppl affect lung West zones 1, where alveolar pressure (Palv) exceeds pulmonary artery pressure (Ppa) and zone 2 where Pa exceed Palv but Palv exceeds pulmonary venous pressure (Ppv) (38). During mechanical inspiration delivery of positive Ppl can create more zone 1 and 2 areas, altering pulmonary blood flow to zone 3 areas, increasing resistance and RV afterload and causing both an increased dead space ventilation and potential increased shunt blood flow (11). This effect is due primarily to the increases in lung volume caused by positive pressure breathing, not by the increases in Palv themselves. For example, if tidal volume is kept constant but chest wall compliance markedly reduced, no change in pulmonary blood flow occurs. Similarly, in subjects with decreased lung compliance (e.g., ARDS) the effects of increased Palv are often blunted (39). However, ARDS is usually associated with increased pulmonary arterial pressure independent of mechanical ventilatory strategies, thus RV afterload may still be increased due to hypoxic vasoconstriction from pulmonary edema and lung injury rather than a high Palv exceeding Ppa.

**RV and LV linked dynamics: interdependence**

The LV and RV pump blood in parallel but are also connected in series. Hence, LV end diastolic volume correlates with RV preload (40). Ventricular interdependence occurs by virtue of the ventricles sharing a septum, their location within a fixed volume pericardial space and their anatomical orientation of free wall myofibril inter-associations. The left ventricle has a thick spherical shape with a helical orientation while the right ventricle is wrapped around the left with a thin free wall. The myocardial fibers of the LV mostly contribute to the septum. RV systolic function is dependent on this septum and the RV free wall to LV free wall fiber connections (41). This is likely the case in cardiovascular dysfunction associated with ventricular dyssynchrony (e.g., single ventricular pacing, bundle branch blocks or post myocardial infarction). LV dyssynchrony affects the systolic and diastolic performance of both ventricles (42). Yamaguchi et al. determined that LV contraction contributes 20–40% of RV systolic pressure and RV contraction contributes 4–10% of LV systolic pressure (43).

Occupying a pericardial space and sharing a septum also affects biventricular lusitropy (i.e., active relaxation and diastolic filing). With limited space to expand, increased filling of one ventricle decreases the diastolic compliance of the other (44). This is apparent in cases of pulmonary embolism with RV failure wherein massive RV dilation causes LV end-diastolic volume to collapse. Spontaneous inspiration, by increasing venous return and RV end-diastolic volume also cause similar though markedly less impressive changes in LV end-diastolic volume over the ventilatory cycle independent of LV filling pressure. Although over a sum of heart beats, mean RV stroke volume should equal mean LV stroke volume, there are impressive beat-to-beat variations caused by the effect of ITP on both ventricles. Under normal conditions, pulmonary vasculature low elastance and high capacitance allows for the pulmonary vasculature to accommodate RV stroke volume variations without much change in pulmonary artery pressure (45). So spontaneous breathing increases RV stroke volume and decreases LV stroke volume, which reverse on exhalation but steady state cardiac output is relatively constant

**Comparing ventilation modes**

The effect of mechanical ventilation on the heart and hemodynamics essentially related to how each mode of ventilation alters mean and changing ITP and lung volume (46). Different ventilator modes can affect patients in similar ways if their impact on ITP and lung volume is similar. This holds true despite marked differences in waveforms or differences in complete or partial respiratory support as long as tidal volumes and PEEP remain similar (47-49). Pressure control ventilation has been compared to volume-control ventilation demonstrating unchanged cardiac outputs if tidal volumes are matched and higher cardiac outputs if tidal volumes are lower (50,51). In 25 acute lung injury patients, the hemodynamic effects of pressure-controlled and volume-controlled ventilation modes were similar provided mean Paw was similar across modes (52). Singer et al. demonstrated in ventilated patients that it was lung hyperinflation and not Paw that...
decreased cardiac output (53).

**Conclusions**

Ventilation is a ubiquitous phenomenon and its effects on cardiovascular function a mandatory result. By understand the simple individualized determinants of their interactions, one can deconvolute the more complex presentations of advance ventilatory modes, levels of cardiovascular and pulmonary insufficiency and how to interpret their findings and treat those patients in the most efficient manner as to minimize detrimental heart-lung interactions, while preserving the beneficial ones.
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