Surgical approach in the oligometastatic patient
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Abstract: In the setting of a stage IV non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), oligometastatic patients represent a heterogeneous group whose incidence is increasing as far as with the adoption of new therapeutic regimens, the improvement of the molecular characterization assays and the increasing number of long-survivor patients. The oligometastatic state undergone a major revision with the introduction of the new TNM lung cancer staging system, being characterized by a different prognosis compared to multi-metastatic patients. Furthermore, the presence of a limited number of metastases imposes a local control especially when clonal selections occur during adjuvant therapy. In this regard, the review seeks to clarify the indications for surgical treatment by organ according to recent guidelines, by analyzing prognostic factors and outcome of patients. Although accurate patient stratification is mandatory, aggressive local control strategies represent a valid therapeutic approach in patients with oligometastatic NSCLC. At the same time, persevering with ablative strategies raises both medical and ethical issues about limits and reiteration, which certainly requires a deep reflection, being, on the other hand, in front of a metastatic disease.
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Introduction

Metastatic patients are usually deemed to be incurable and no local aggressive radical treatments are generally indicated, instead of mere palliative support in order to maintain their quality of life. Non-small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is the leading cause of cancer-related mortality. As reported by the International Agency for Research on Cancer EUCAN (1), almost three-hundred thousand new NSCLC cases were diagnosed in Europe in 2012 with a cumulative overall mortality rate of 59.1%, as the majority of patients were diagnosed with an advanced stage disease. Stage IV NSCLC usually presents a dismal prognosis (2) due to an unpredictable pattern of spread (3) with both multiple and solitary metastases (4), which results in poor median survivals of 8–11 months and a 5-year overall survival (OS) of only 4–6% (5,6). For these reasons, the rationale for metastatic non-small cell cancer relies only in chemotherapy as systemic treatment associated with palliative strategies for symptoms release and optimization of quality of life (7-10). Surgery is rarely indicated though encouraging reports of long-term survival patients with low-volume stage IV disease treated with local aggressive protocols have emerged. Moreover, reticence to surgical approaches is supported by recent remarkable advances in chemotherapy strategies or immunotherapy concerning with survival benefits (11), especially for patients presenting genic mutations or re-arrangements (12). Yang et al. (13), in a recent phase III trial evaluating target and cisplatin-based regimens, reported significant survival benefits in 19delEGFR-stage IV NSCLC patients (median overall-survival: 33.3 vs. 21.1 months). These evidences have certainly led some to reconsider the role of surgical resection for patients with NSCLC and to move up
criticisms towards suspects of bias in the studies involved. In this setting, the undisputed role of surgery has significantly decreased, although currently it is newly gaining place in management of stage IV NSCLC in patients with intrathoracic local control disease progression (14). Moreover, it is strongly clear that the stage IV NSCLC is a heterogeneous group as confirmed also by the eight TNM NSCLC staging system. In fact, a reclassification of metastatic disease has been accomplished into three cohorts (M1a-c) according to site and number of metastases (15,16) and reflecting a significant difference in median survival (M1a 22.5 months, M1b 17.8 months and M1c 13.6 months, P<0.001) (17).

### Oligometastatic disease: state of art

Oligometastatic disease is widely recognized as patients with a limited number and controllable secondary lesions (18), whose actual incidence in NSCLC relies between 2% and 7% according different experiences (19,20). However, the definition of oligometastases is still debated due to the presumption of a subclassification drift in the absence of real clinical findings. In a recent study by Griffioen et al. (21), involving 61 retrospectively reviewed M1b-oligometastatic NSCLC patients, many aspects concerning both number and site of metastases have been clarified. In fact, the authors identified an ideal cut-off of up to three metastases in which radical treatment seemed to provide a survival benefit associated with a significant prognostic difference concerning metastatic site (i.e., negative factor in bone lesions). Another crucial aspect to consider, is the distinction between an oligometastatic state at diagnosis and oligorecurrence. This latter, as proposed by Niibe et al. (22), should be intended as the appearance of up to five secondary lesions amenable to local aggressive treatment in a patient with a controlled primary lung cancer. From a clinical point of view, oligometastases account different scenarios from oligometastatic disease at diagnosis, oligorecurrence, oligoprogression during an adjuvant regimen due to genic mutations (23) and patients with residual oligometastases after chemoradiotherapic regimens reflecting different mRNA patterns and not the outcome of a M1c-stage disease (24) (Table 1). Moreover, much of evidences come from surgical reports without any level 1 evidence support from trials which could lead to misinterpretations or overestimation of results leading to bias (25). Concerning with site of dissemination, Ashworth et al. (26), in a fascinating review involving 49 publications and 2,176 NSCLC patients, reported brain secondary lesions are the most common (60.3%) followed by multiorgan metastases such as contralateral lungs, nodes, liver (23.0%) and adrenal gland ones (10.6%). Notwithstanding the high propensity for metastatization and rapid progression, most of patients (85%) presented a type I oligometastatic disease. However, data claim criticisms about selection bias presenting almost more than half of patients a primary lung adenocarcinoma, whose propensity to M-disease is significantly inferior to other histotypes. In regards to prognosis and factors affecting both progression free survival (PFS) and OS, M1-oligometastatic disease is influenced by many factors though just only local aggressive treatments seem to be associated to a conspicuous and significant increase in OS (median survival 19 vs. 14.8 months) (26) (Table 2). Other prognostic factors are: N-stage disease, tumor size, organ site, disease free-interval greater than 6 months, RPA classification, the presence of extracranial metastases, type of pulmonary surgical resection, primary tumor histology, age, number of secondary lesions, previous adjuvant regimens. Concerning

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Type I</td>
<td>Very limited oligometastatic disease</td>
<td>Patients with up to three metastatic lesions amenable to local aggressive treatment (LAT) with a controlled NSCLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type II</td>
<td>Synchronous oligometastatic disease</td>
<td>Patients with up to five metastatic lesions amenable to LAT at NSCLC diagnosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type III</td>
<td>Oligorecurrence</td>
<td>Patients with the appearance of up to five metastatic lesions amenable to LAT with a controlled NSCLC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type IV</td>
<td>Oligoprogression</td>
<td>Patients with the appearance of up to five lesions during an adjuvant protocol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type V</td>
<td>Residual oligometastatic disease</td>
<td>Patients with previous diffuse metastatic disease and residual lesions after chemo-radiotherapy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer.
with N-stage, Tamura et al. (27), in a retrospective cohort study involving 761 stage IV NSCLC patients, reported N0 patients presented a significant increased survival when compared to N1–3 ones (11.9 vs. 7.2 months, \(P<0.001\)), thus suggesting lymph node metastases were independent prognostic factors. Similarly, tumor size seems to strongly influence prognosis, as reported by Collaud et al. (28) in a small retrospective single-centre study. The authors, involving 29 surgically treated oligometastatic patients, showed a 1- and 5-year OS of 65% and 36%, respectively. Moreover, patients with low pathological T-stage (T1–2) gained survival when compared with advanced ones (T3–4). Hanagiri et al. (3), in a study involving 36 NSCLC patients, highlighted patients with type I metastatic disease presented a 5-year OS of 50.3%, while patient with more than two distant metastases has a 5-year OS of only 16.7%. Finally, when consider prognosis in stage IV disease, another significant aspect to consider is the site of metastases. In particular, brain or adrenal gland secondary lesion seem to be associated with prolonged survival rather than bone or liver ones (21,29-35). Referring to the above risk factors, it is possible to stratify and identify patients who are candidates for aggressive local treatment. In this setting, surgical metastasectomy is the most common strategy for local control of an advanced disease amenable to R0 resection. However, less invasive techniques have gained consensus in recent years, such as stereotactic radiosurgery (SRS), firstly for cerebral metastases and then extended to extracranial sites such as adrenal glands [stereotactic ablative radiotherapy (SART)] and lungs or liver [stereotactic body radiation radiotherapy (SBRT)]. However, these strategies are not intended as substitutes for surgery but an aid and a resource that can be spent in patients unfit for demolitive procedures, ensuring excellent local control with reported 2-year rates up to 90% (36). On the other side, outcomes concerning quality of life and long term sequelae are still controversial with discordant adverse events (toxicity) rates up to 33% and with a 30-day mortality of 7% (26).

### Secondary lesions according to site in oligometastatic NSCLC

#### Brain metastases

Secondary lesions to the nervous system are common in cancer patients (37) and occurs up to 25% of NSCLC patients (38-40), as brain metastases are diagnosed as the first site of recurrence in cancer patients. Moreover, brain lesions are likely to increase as the results of new systemic and target protocols with concurrent long-survivor patients (41). According to the American College of Chest Physicians (ACCP) Guidelines (42), an oligometastatic brain NSCLC should be careful investigated since the limitation to the radical treatment of metastasis is the control of the primary disease. For these reasons, invasive and non-invasive mediastinal staging as far as extrathoracic imaging are strongly suggested. In fact, local aggressive strategies, such as surgery or radiotherapy, are recommended only in absence of a N2 disease and in the setting of a controlled primary lung cancer (Table 3). Radiotherapy, as fractionated whole brain irradiation therapy (WBRT) or intracranial radiosurgery (SRS), plays a critical role in the treatment of oligometastatic brain NSCLC patients (43,44) and, in particular, SRS seem to afford an improved local tumor control as well as neurocognitive function preservation (45). Chang et al. (46), in a randomized controlled trial involving 58 patients, reported a high probability (96%) that patients randomly assigned for SRS + WBRT were significantly

### Table 2 Prognostic factors in oligometastatic NSCLC disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N-stage disease</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tumor size (pathological T-parameter)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organ site (brain, adrenal vs. others)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease free interval &gt;6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPA classification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type of pulmonary surgical resection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary tumor histology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of secondary lesions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous adjuvant regimens</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer.

### Table 3 ACCP and ESMO guidelines for M1b-brain radical treatment

- Resectable N0–1 NSCLC with synchronous isolated brain metastasis (Grade 1C)
- Metachronous isolated brain metastasis in the setting of a controlled NSCLC (Grade 1C)
- If recursive partitioning analysis (RPA) class I or II (up to three metastases)

NSCLC, non-small cell lung cancer.
more likely to show a decline functions, when compared to SRS brace. In particular WBRT sequelae and declines in quality of life (QoL) are variable and comprising states from moderate to severe dementia and memory reduction (47,48). For these reasons, radiosurgery seems to have overcome classical regimens, as reported by a recent retrospective study from the United States National Cancer Database (NCDB) with a current SRS-protocol rate of about 12% (49). Concerning with prognostic factors for brain metastatic disease, many scores have been proposed although the recursive partitioning analysis (RPA) classification system is the most accepted (50). It presents three prognostic classes according to age, control of primary tumor, Karnofsky Performance Status and the presence of extracranial disease. On the other hand Sperduto et al. (51) introduced, in a review from the RTOG database involving 1,960 patients, a graded prognostic assessment score (GPA). However, lack of consensus still remains and especially in the light of QUARTZ trial results (52). Nodal involvement (N0 vs. N+ disease) is another factor to consider. Arietta et al. (53), reporting thirty M1b-brain NSCLC patients treated with WBRT, showed a DFI and a OS of 8.4 and 31.8 months respectively with a 1- and 2-year OS rates of 71.1% and 60.2%. Among the prognostic factors, the Authors demonstrated the prognostic value of the nodal involvement (N0 vs. N+: 60% vs. 24%; P=0.038). Concerning with performance status and local status, Flannery et al. (54) reported 42 patients with synchronous SRS-treated single brain metastases with a 1-, 2- and 5-year OS of 71.3%, 34.1% and 21%. At the multivariate analysis definitive thoracic therapy (i.e., local tumor control) and Karnofski Performance Status were the only significant prognostic factors (P=0.020 and P=0.001, respectively). Similar results were presented by Hu et al. (55), in a retrospective study involving 84 M1b-brain synchronous metastases treated both with SRS and surgery. The median OS according to TN parameters were significantly different (stage I to III: 25.6 vs. 9.5 and 9.9 months, P=0.006). Other prognostic factors are age (19), CEA levels (56) and histology (primary pulmonary adenocarcinoma) (54). This latter aspect leads criticisms due to inhomogeneous and sometimes disagreeable results. In fact, Bella et al. (57), in a retrospective study involving 645 NSCLC patients and 25 of those with M1-brain disease, reported no significant differences in prognosis when histotype is considered (P=0.57). In regards with surgery, ESMO guidelines (58) suggest that the aggressive local ablative strategies with SRS should be reserved only for patients with RPA class I or II up to three metastases and with SRS or surgery in presence of a single metastasis (Table 3). On the other hand, no aggressive treatment should be offered for class III patients. Historically, early cohort studies involving NSCLC patients with oligometastatic central nervous secondary lesions extensively described locally aggressive surgical strategies with very variable survival rates (59). However, later on, the efficacy of stereotactic protocols or other ablative techniques took hold leading to an extensive restaging of M1 disease according to subgroups fit to different strategies, as also reported by the ESMO guidelines (58). In a retrospective study involving 12 from 170 NSCLC patients who underwent curative surgical brain metastasectomy, Daniels et al. (33) highlighted an excellent 5-year OS of 70%. Synchronous radically-treated brain oligometastases present an encouraging median survival up to 65months and a 1-year OS up to 95%. Survival rates are, otherwise, strongly influenced by pulmonary control (53). Otherwise, Bae et al. (60), in a cohort of 86 metachronous brain M+ NSCLC patients, underlined a 5-year OS of 22% suggesting that delayed disease-relapse seems to hesitate in a worse prognosis. However, results seem to be conflicting. Bonnette et al. (61), in a multicenter retrospective study involving 103 synchronous brain metastatic patients, reported a 2- and 5-year OS of 28% and 11%. Mordant et al. (19), in a national study involving 4,668 NSCLC patient and 57 brain stage IV disease, displayed a dismal prognosis of only 13%. Wroński et al. (62), in an historical single-centre retrospective study involving a significant number of patients with brain metastases (n=231), showed median survival after craniotomy of 11 months with an acceptable postoperative mortality of 3%. Survival rates of 1-, 2-, 3-, and 5-year were 46.3%, 24.2%, 14.7%, and 12.5% respectively. Authors concluded that notwithstanding prognosis in metastatic patients remained poor yet, aggressive strategies could improve QoL and occasionally prolong significantly survival.

**Adrenal metastases**

Adrenal glands are common sites for metastatic lesions from a variety of neoplasms such as NSCLC (63) with an actual incidence of 10.6% for stage IV patients and occurring up to 59% in autopsy series (26). In a large retrospective series of locally advanced NSCLC, 4.1% presented incidental adrenal masses and 1.6% of them harboured an adrenal metastasis (20). Several retrospective case series about surgical treatment of M1b-adrenal NSCLC
patients have been reported (Table 4). Historically, early fascinating results were reported by a multicentre French study (64) involving 43 patients with a median survival of 11 months and with some long-survivor patients. However, 5-year OS was only 7%. On the other hand, the Authors validated feasibility of adrenalectomy in cancer patients without any increase in morbidity or mortality. In a single center experience, Mercier et al. (30) showed a 5-year OS of 23.3% while Pfannschmidt et al. (65) displayed a median OS of 12.9 months. Tanvetyanon et al. (66), in a wide review of literature involving 10 published articles for a total of 114 patients, highlighted a 5-years OS of about 25%. Raz et al. (67), studied 37 patients with isolated adrenal metastases retrospectively (20 of them underwent adrenalectomy), reported a 5-year OS of 34% for patients operatively treated and 0% for patients who underwent medical therapy (P=0.002). Barone et al. (68), in a small series involving 18 patients who underwent adrenalectomy (12 via transabdominal approach and 6 via thoracoabdominal approach), showed a median OS of 31 months with a 3- and 5-year OS of 48.0 and 29.3% respectively. In regard to prognostic factors, Raz et al. (67) firstly reported that ipsilateral metastases of primary lung tumor presented a significant gaining in survival (5-year OS 83% vs. 0%, P=0.003). Moreover, the absence of nodal disease (N0) had a 5-year OS of 52% compared with 0% for patients with N+ disease (P=0.008). On the other hand, no prognostic value according to time-to-relapse was found (synchronous vs metachronous disease: P=0.81). Laterality was considered as a prognostic factor in the evaluation of oligometastatic adrenal disease. In fact, Raz et al. (67) reported 7 patients with ipsilateral adrenal disease and 13 with contralateral one. Patients with ipsilateral presented a 5-year OS 83% compared to 0% for contralateral ones (P=0.003). Synchronous adrenal metastases are thought to be associated with a poor prognosis when compared to metachronous ones. Tanvetyanon et al. (66), pooling 10 publications of NSCLC, revealed that the median OS for patients with synchronous metastases was significantly shorter compared with metachronous ones (12 vs. 31 months, P=0.02), but surprisingly 5-year OS did not differ between two groups (26% vs. 25%). Similar results were published by Mercier et al. (30), who identified disease free interval greater than 6 months as a prognostic factor (Table 5). Concerning with surgical techniques, Barone et al. (68) published a transthoracic access technique the single stage excision of both the primitive neoplasm and of the metastasis. On the other hand, no prospective trials evaluating open versus minimally invasive accesses have been published. Strong et al. (69), in a retrospective study of patients with adrenal metastases, compared 18 patients who underwent open adrenalectomy with 21 who underwent a laparoscopic adrenalectomy. There was no significant difference in OS between the two braces (OA vs. LA), with a 1- and 3-year survival rates of 69% and 41% vs. 58% and 49%, respectively (P=0.96). Minimally invasive approach was associated with a shorter operative time, a lower intraoperative blood loss and a shorter length of hospital stay. However, patients with giant adrenal metastases (greater than 45 mm) presented both inferior survival rates and increased risk of local recurrence if laparoscopically treated (P=0.008, P=0.001). Recently, stereotactic body radiotherapy (SBRT) approaches have provided valid non-invasive alternative options for metastatic adrenal

### Table 4 Outcomes of adrenal metastases surgical management

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>No. of patients</th>
<th>Median survival (%)</th>
<th>5-year OS (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Porte (64)</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mercier (30)</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>23.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pfannschmidt (65)</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanvetyanon (66)</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raz (67)</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>34.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barone (68)</td>
<td>2015</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>31.0</td>
<td>29.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5 Prognostic factors in adrenal metastatic patients

- Laterality (ipsilateral vs. contralateral) (67,68)
- Absence of nodal disease (N0) (67)
- Disease free interval >6 months (30)
- Mass dimension (69)
disease with good local control, though less data exists on the efficacy of SBRT and no prospective trials have been published. However, Holy et al. (70) noted a median OS of 23 months in 13 SBRT patients with a 2-year local control rate of 77%. In a small retrospective NSCLC series, Celik et al. (71) reported 1- and 2-year local control rates of 60% and 46.6%, respectively. Differences both in local relapse and OS were found according to time to disease relapse and, in particular, metachronous metastases presented a 2-year OS of 91.2% while synchronous ones 42.8% (P=0.000). Guiou et al. (72), evaluating nine patients with stage IV lung cancer and treated with SBRT, reported an overall RECIST-based response rate of 67% and a 1- and 2-year survival of 52% and 13%, respectively.

Other sites
Notwithstanding the propensity for cerebral or adrenal metastases, other sites were described in stage IV NSCLC patients such as bone, liver, axillary nodes or subcutaneous tissue. Collected data come only from small and single-center series (21,31,35,73). Congedo et al. (31) described 53 patients with oligometastatic disease rather than brain and adrenal ones, including bone tissue, liver and contralateral supraclavicular lymph nodes treated with locally aggressive procedures for curative intent. With an acceptable morbidity and 30-day mortality rate, a R0-resection was achieved in 79% with a significant association with overall prognosis (HR =4.75; 95% CI, 1.87–12.10; P=0.001) but the site of organ metastasis did not influence survival. Rarely, oligometastases were described in other organs such as pancreas, spleen, skin, stomach, ear or face tissues as reported in a systematic review by Salah et al. (73). Of 62 enrolled patients, 33 (53%) presented non-visceral solitary metastasis and 29 (47%) a visceral one. The most common sites were bone (n=13), liver (n=9), kidney (n=7) and spleen (n=6). Fifty-eight patients underwent curative resection of primary lung tumor with a median 5-year OS rate of 50%. Patients with a non-visceral metastasis had similar OS compared to patients with a visceral metastasis (5-year survival of 63% vs. 39%, respectively; P=0.30). There was no statistical significance based on a synchronous versus a metachronous presentation (5-year survival 57% vs. 46%, respectively; P=0.79). Moreover, the presence of mediastinal lymphadenopathy (N+ disease) was associated with worse survival if compared with N0 or N1 disease (5-year survival 64% vs. 0%, respectively; P<0.001). An aggressive strategy should be offered also in patients with “uncomfortable” metastases, such as pancreas. DeLuzio et al. (74), in a systematic review involving 32 patients with pancreatic metastases, showed satisfactory outcomes after surgical treatment (OS: 29 months). Studies that have examined the role of surgery for the management of hepatic oligometastases from NSCLC are also limited. There are a few case reports and case series suggesting potential long-term survival after resection of hepatic oligometastases in patients with NSCLC (75) but no conclusions can be drawn from such results.

Conclusions
Oligometastatic lung cancer is characterized by a high clinical heterogeneity associated to innumerable therapeutic strategies. Although an accurate assessment of patients is necessary, published data and reports favour for the adoption of aggressive therapeutic strategies due to the control of primary disease and its distant metastases is a cornerstone and the first prognostic factor to refer to. Oligometastatic disease has become commonplace, especially after the introduction of target therapies with a significant increase of long-survivor patients. Therefore, this implies an adequate knowledge of the expendable resources for the treatment of an oligometastatic patient with curative intent. Some differences should be noted for what concerns brain metastases, where a risk-benefit analysis would be indicated slight propensity towards radiotherapic ablative procedures rather than a surgical approach, especially for the risk long-term disabilities in quality of life. However, locally aggressive strategies present also medical and ethical limits. In fact, in a patient who already underwent a distant metastasectomy, how ethical it is to continue with further demolitions in the name of surgical oncological radicality?
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