Vascular changes and perifascicular muscle fiber damage in dermatomyositis: another question of the chicken or the egg that is on our mind
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Dermatomyositis (DM) is a multisystem disease with symptoms spanning diverse body functions including the skin, the joints, the heart and the lungs. The skin manifestations involve a sun-sensitive rash on the face, neck and upper trunk, and erythema of knees, elbows and knuckles. In classical cases, where these typical skin manifestations combine with muscle weakness, diagnosis can be set on clinical grounds. In other cases, diagnosis is confirmed by assessing the level of serum muscle enzymes, electromyographic findings, and a muscle biopsy (1). In the skeletal muscle tissue of DM, the primary target of the immune response is the vascular endothelium of perimysial and perifascicular blood vessels, and inflammatory infiltrates often occur at perivascular sites. Complement deposition of the terminal C5b-9 membrane attack complex (MAC) leads to blood vessel necrosis (2). The trigger that initiates complement activation remains however unclear.

In a recently published article in Brain, Lahoria and colleagues (3) touch upon two of the main research questions remaining in inflammatory muscle disease. Firstly, why does DM display this unique feature of endothelial damage, which is conspicuously in contrast with other subtypes of inflammatory myopathy? In two other main subgroups, polymyositis and sporadic inclusion body myositis, the primary target of the immune response are the muscle fibers themselves, as illustrated by active invasion of nonnecrotic muscle fibers by auto aggressive cytotoxic T-cells and macrophages (4). Secondly, does this endotheliopathy precede the perifascicular muscle atrophy (Figure 1) that characterizes the disease, or are these myopathological features simultaneous or more or less unrelated events?

The authors investigated in detail the microvascular changes in 50 patients diagnosed with DM, both of the juvenile (n=15) and the adult form (n=35). Capillary density was found to be reduced 2-fold and transverse vessel density reduced 3-fold in regions with perifascicular atrophy. Material from patients at different disease stages was evaluated, as were patients that had received treatment prior to the biopsy. In a patient in acute phase of the disease, severe capillary depletion was present in the biopsy even in fascicles without perifascicular atrophy. In contrast, a patient treated for 5 months with 20 mg pro day of prednisone displayed a capillary density that was least decreased, and the subject’s muscle weakness was mild. The authors found perifascicular inflammatory cell infiltration to be a rare event, and much less frequent than MAC positive perifascicular capillaries. The latter were encountered in the majority of DM patients and could be regarded as one of the most important diagnostic features. The article presents several important observations in favor of DM being an antibody-dependent, complement-mediated disease characterized by capillary injury that results in perifascicular muscle fiber atrophy. An early study in favor of a sequence of events starting with blood vessel dropout was published way back in 1990 (5), and DM biopsies show evidence of
focal ischemia by way of strong expression of markers of oxidative stress and lipid peroxidation (6).

The pro-inflammatory environment in DM is starting to become more and more clear, and many indications point to dysregulated innate immunity as an important pathological factor. Perifascicular pathology is associated with interferon (IFN) type 1-induced alterations, though it needs to be said that inflammatory myopathies are generally associated with increased IFN type 1 levels (7), as are indeed also other rheumatic diseases. However, it appears that the trigger that initiates this IFN signature seems specific for the individual disease entities, leading to the characteristic inflammatory patterns in the different diseases. Sera from DM patients contain higher levels of IFNα and expression is inversely correlated with duration of disease, suggesting that IFNα plays a role in disease initiation (8). The plasmacytoid dendritic cells inside the muscle tissue are thought to be the main local source of IFN type 1 (9), but research results suggest important additional systemic production also exists in patients. Several IFN type 1 signature genes are overexpressed specifically in the perifascicular areas of DM tissues, as is the associated factor retinoic acid-inducible gene-1 (RIG-1). Interestingly, RIG-1 was found absent from the affected muscle fibers of polymyositis and sporadic inclusion body myositis tissues (10). In a subgroup of DM patients, usually presenting an amyopathic phenotype with interstitial lung disease, autoantibodies can be detected that recognize IFN-induced with helicase C domain protein 1 (IFIH1), one of the RIG-I-like receptors (11,12). RIG-I and IFIH1 can interact with viral RNA, activating IFN type 1-inducible cytokine gene expression (13). Based upon these observations, it has been put forward that upregulated IFIH1 might liberate otherwise cryptic epitopes, causing breakage of self-tolerance and consequently autoimmune tissue damage. We are conscious that the affected muscle fibers need to be regarded as active contributors to the inflammatory process, initiating and/or perpetuating a persistent local immune response, and contributing to the associated dysregulation of innate immunity. The vast potential of muscle fibers to produce immune stimulators and the differential expression of cytokines between the subtypes of inflammatory myopathies has been described extensively (14). Of interest in this respect is that Lahoria et al. (3) describe the expression of MxA, another type 1 IFN-inducible antiviral protein, on both perifascicular capillaries and muscle fibers. This raises the possibility that a common mechanism could produce these abnormalities rather than one leading to the other, adding nuance to the story of muscle fiber atrophy as merely the result of blood vessel dropout.

Non-immune mechanisms equally are contributors to the muscle tissue damage in DM. Viperin, another IFN-induced anti-viral protein and a modulator of mitochondrial and endoplasmic reticulum (ER) stress, is upregulated in DM (10). Viperin thus represents a link between inflammatory and ER stress response pathways, the latter being significantly elevated in muscle tissue of patients with polymyositis and DM alike (15). Chaperoning heat shock proteins (HSP) are also known to regulate skeletal muscle physiology and adaptation to stress, and are involved in clearing the tissues of damaged, misfolded and aggregated proteins. Expression of HSP70 and 90 families was found strongly increased in perifascicular atrophic fibers and regenerating fibers in DM, a feature shared with the affected fibers in polymyositis, sporadic inclusion body myositis and muscular dystrophy biopsies (16). Most of these non-immune mechanisms could therefore be regarded as universal (failing) tissue protection programs in muscle disease.

The jury is still out on the precise relationship between vascular and fiber damage in DM, but the article by Lahoria et al. (3) offers valuable data and insight, and is yet another illustration of how descriptive myopathological analysis is able to aid our understanding of disease mechanisms. Though this particular type of research delivers static data, it offers indirect evidence into the sequence of events concerned.
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