Effect of high fat-diet and obesity on gastrointestinal motility
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Abstract: Obesity is highly prevalent worldwide and is associated with significant morbidity and mortality. The focus of this review is to delineate the changes in gastrointestinal motility observed in obesity. A systematic review of the published literature on obesity and gastrointestinal motility was performed. Here, we describe the current understanding of the changes in obesity in the esophagus, stomach, small intestine and colon. Major findings include supportive evidence for increased gastroesophageal reflux disease and esophageal motility disorders in obesity, and a rapid gastric emptying time seen in obese individuals. The proximal small intestinal transit seems to be increased in obesity and this may be secondary to efficient nutrient absorption and subsequent lack of nutrient-induced satiety signals conveyed from the small intestine. In obesity, there is some evidence for delayed colonic transit as well as a reduction in colonic serotonin availability. The molecular mechanisms underlying altered motility in obesity could be secondary to reduced cannabinoids or its receptor cannabinoid receptor 1 (CB1) expression as well as due to loss of neuronal nitric oxide synthase (nNOS) neurons. The interactions of diet and obesity and the alteration of microbiota in this setting are just being explored and may offer novel insights into the changes of gastrointestinal motility in obesity.
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Introduction

Obesity is defined as the accumulation of excess body fat leading to a high body mass index (>30) and is a growing epidemic in most countries around the world. It is the leading preventable cause of death, and can lead to heart disease, type 2 diabetes, certain types of cancer, and other disorders (1). Approximately 32% of adults and 17% of children and adolescents were obese in the United States in a study assessing data from 2009-2010 (2). Although the prevalence of obesity has stabilized during the last decade (3), we are still far away from the goal of less than 15% of adults and 5% of children being obese, set by The Healthy People 2010 program (2).

Obesity has been shown to be associated with changes in gastrointestinal motility (4). Altering gastric motility can have a big impact on appetite and satiety. The gastrointestinal tract regulates the rate of digestion and appetite to induce or inhibit hunger (5).

In this review, we describe the relationship between obesity and gastrointestinal motility. Using the key words: motility, obesity, esophagus, gastric emptying, gastric accommodation, small and large intestinal transit, and gastric electric stimulation, in PubMed, we reviewed the current literature in this field. We discuss the potential pathophysiological mechanisms for the changes in gastrointestinal motility and possible treatment measures. We outline the changes in the gastrointestinal tract focusing on the esophagus, stomach, and the small and large intestines.

Obesity, esophageal motility and GERD

In obese patients, epidemiological studies indicate a higher prevalence of gastroesophageal reflux disease (GERD) (6,7).
Gastric capacity and accommodation play an important role for its mechanical and chemical breakdown into chyme. The stomach acts as a reservoir for ingested food and the site for its mechanical and chemical breakdown into chyme. Gastric capacity and accommodation play an important role in the functions of the stomach including gastric emptying and digestion. When food enters the stomach, the proximal part of the stomach relaxes to act as a reservoir for the meal. Following this the gastric muscles begin to push the food against a closed pylorus to begin the process of mechanical digestion. The pylorus then opens to gradually allow the digested chyme through. This process, known as gastric emptying, varies between solid and liquid foods and is under neurohormonal regulation (24). Gastric fundic tone is maintained by vagal innervation. The presence of food stimulates the vagal sensory afferents, and transmits the information to the brainstem, which sends inhibitory signals to the gastric circular muscles to allow accommodation. This reflex can occur even in the presence of a balloon blocking gastric outflow, suggesting that reflexes within the stomach alone can initiate accommodation without the need for food to reach duodenum and cause hormonal release. However, a relatively stronger stimulus for gastric accommodation is the presence of nutrients in the duodenum (25).

There are several well-established techniques to measure gastric emptying including scintigraphy, nonradioactive isotope $^{13}$C breath test, ultrasound, water and nutrient drink test, electrogastrography and Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). Scintigraphy is still the gold standard to accurately evaluate gastric emptying (26). Accommodation is measured by an inflated balloon and barostat, single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT), MRI and sonography (27). Some studies argue that using the barostat to measure gastric accommodation is non-physiological however it is still the gold standard (27,28).

**Gastric capacity and accommodation in obesity**

Gastric capacity and gastric accommodation have been studied to evaluate any possible change in obese patients. Kim et al. in 2001 studied 13 obese and 19 normal weight people and measured the fasting and post prandial gastric size using SPECT and found no significant difference in the fasting and postprandial gastric size in obese patients compared to normal individuals suggesting no change in capacity and accommodation (29). One study that used a gastric balloon filled with water to compare gastric capacity between lean, obese and bulimic women found that obese women had intermediate gastric capacity and bulimic women has the highest capacity. After dividing obese women between binge and normal eating, they found that obese women with binge eating had a stomach capacity increased intragastric pressure (23).

Obesity and gastric motility

The stomach acts as a reservoir for ingested food and the site for its mechanical and chemical breakdown into chyme. Gastric capacity and accommodation are more prevalent in obese patients. Amongst them, GERD complications like Barrett’s esophagus and esophageal and gastroesophageal adenocarcinoma are more common in obese patients (14,15).

Another contributing factor to GERD in obese patients is the higher incidence of hiatal hernia (16,17). Patients with reflux who are obese also have a higher incidence of erosive esophagitis (18). In addition to GERD, there are some other esophageal motility disorders associated with obesity. A study done on morbidly obese patients during the evaluation for bariatric surgery found that of 61 obese individuals, 33 patients had abnormal manometric finding; 10 had defective LES, 11 with a hypertensive LES, 2 with diffuse esophageal spasm (DES), 2 with nutcracker esophagus and 14 with a nonspecific motility disorder (19). These results replicate older similar studies on morbidly obese patients (20). Contraction amplitude in the middle esophagus has shown to be increased among obese patients (10,21). Weight loss was found to be beneficial in reducing GERD symptoms (8) and intermediate levels of physical activity has been shown to relieve reflux symptoms among obese people (22).

In summary, several esophageal disorders were found to be more prevalent in obese patients. Amongst them, GERD is the most common, with the underlying mechanisms including loss of LES tone, presence of hiatal hernia, and increased intragastric pressure (23).
similar to bulimic women while normal eating women had a similar capacity to lean women (30). A study in Mexico used water load test to measure gastric capacity in 32 patients with high BMI and 12 volunteers found positive correlation between BMI and gastric capacity (31). On the other hand, a large study was performed in 433 participants to assess the reliability of SPECT in measuring gastric size and the effect of aging and BMI on accommodation. The results showed SPECT as a reliable method with acceptable intra and inter-individual coefficients of variation and no significant effect of age and BMI on accommodation (32). In summary, some studies suggest a moderate increase in gastric capacity in obese patients with no changes in accommodation.

**Gastric emptying and obesity**

The rate of gastric emptying is known to have an influence in the feeling of fullness and satiety, and therefore it has been suggested to be linked with obesity.

One important point is the difference between gastric emptying of liquid and solid foods. For liquid meals, emptying occurs in an exponential fashion. On the other hand, solid meal emptying is characterized by a lag phase for a period about 30 minutes followed by a linear emptying phase (24).

Several studies have assessed gastric emptying in obesity and found that it is increased in obese patients (33-35) with few exceptions in studies with small samples where gastric emptying is reduced (36). In 2006, Vázquez et al. performed a more detailed study by measuring gastric emptying, postprandial volume, and hormones in relation to body weight. Their results suggest that faster gastric emptying and lower postprandial volume are typical of obese patients (37). Other studies compared the rate of gastric emptying in obese and lean people using the breath test and found that solid gastric emptying was faster in obese and overweight patients (38).

On the other hand, there are studies that show gastric emptying is delayed in some situations in overweight patients or diet induced obese animal models and others did not find any difference between the two groups. Di Ciaula et al. studied the gastric emptying and postprandial gall bladder volume in three age groups of normal and obese individuals by ultrasonography in newborns and pre-adolescent and liquid test meal in adults and determined that gastric emptying is delayed in obese patients. The study concluded that obese patients develop age-related decrease in gastric motility secondary to insulin resistance.

However, it is important to note that the authors used only liquid meals in this study (39). Buchholz et al. studied 19 morbidly obese and 20 non-obese humans in an attempt to explain the higher incidence of aspiration in obese patients. The GE was measured by scintigraphy and no significant difference were found between the two groups (40).

Animals with diet-induced obesity (DIO) were also found to exhibit slower gastric emptying in a study by Li et al. The delayed gastric emptying was associated with reduced ghrelin levels and increased cholecystokinin (CCK) and leptin levels. The authors suggest that this result could be a method of compensation by increasing satiety and decreasing food intake to balance weight gain in DIO-rodents (41). Another study on ob/ob mice shows accelerated GE after 10-11 weeks then delayed GE after 22-27 weeks. The latter finding was associated with blood glucose intolerance (42). Additional larger human studies need to be done to further assess gastric emptying in obesity. Based on the majority of the literature, it is likely that obesity is associated with acceleration of gastric emptying.

**Gastric motility and influence of diet and hormones**

There are several hormones that mediate the body’s response to fat in the diet. CCK, Peptide YY (PYY) and leptin inhibit appetite and induce delay in the gastric emptying, while ghrelin is an appetite stimulator and causes faster gastric emptying (24,43). Glucagon like peptide (GLP-1) has a negative feedback on gastric emptying and enhances accommodation (44,45).

Fat in the diet stimulates hormones production like CCK, PYY and GLP-1 (46). Intraduodenal infusion of fatty acids increases basal pyloric pressures and the levels of CCK and PYY in the blood stream and free fatty acids are more potent than triglycerides in inducing appetite inhibition and hormonal release from the gastrointestinal tract (47). The length of fatty acid acyl chain is an important factor in determining the response in the duodenum as higher number of carbon atoms (>12) in the chain cause increased levels of CCK and GLP-1 in the blood stream and more inhibition of gastric emptying (48). To determine if there was an adaptation to a dietary component in changing gastric emptying, Castiglione et al. put eight males on a high fat diet (55% energy from fat) or high carbohydrate meal (62% of energy from carbohydrates) for fourteen days and tested gastric emptying at the end of this period. The authors found acceleration of gastric emptying in the
patients who had consumed a high fat meal but not the patients who were on the high carbohydrate meal (49). Expression of GLP-2 receptors in the fundus of the stomach was higher in high fat diet fed mice compared with mice fed on a standard diet. The response to exogenous GLP-2 was higher as well. This could be an adaptive response of the stomach to the high fat diet and serve to increase gastric relaxation in response to food (50).

To study the effect of fat on gastric motility and the mechanisms involved, several investigators fed patients with high fat diet for a short period of time and then gastric emptying and the related hormone levels were measured. These hormones include leptin, CCK, PYY and ghrelin. A number of these studies showed changes in both secretion and sensitivity to CCK. Others showed alteration in postprandial levels of PYY and ghrelin levels. Little et al. studied ten healthy males and they found after twenty-one days on a high fat diet that obese patients have increased fasting CCK levels but the similar upper gut motility (51). Another study with twelve males on a high fat diet for fourteen days, demonstrated accelerated gastric emptying but no changes in levels of hormones. The authors suggest that high fat diet induced changes in the sensitivity to these hormones rather than changes in their secretion rates (52). Vazquez et al. also studied the association between the faster gastric emptying in obese people and leptin, PYY and ghrelin levels and found higher leptin levels (possible leptin resistance) and lower postprandial reduction in ghrelin with similar PYY levels in both groups (37).

**Mechanisms of altered gastric motility in obesity**

Several animal studies have been conducted to explore the reasons behind the gastric motility changes associated with obesity. De Lartigue et al. studied leptin and CCK signaling in diet-induced obese (DIO) rats. The authors demonstrate that DIO rats develop insensitivity to leptin signals after eight weeks of high fat diet. The vagal afferent neurons (VAN) were cultured and stimulated by leptin and evaluated for their responsiveness by measuring the protein pSTAT3 expression. The authors found that VAN from DIO-rats were less sensitive to leptin stimulation (53). In a second study, they injected the leptin resistant rats with CCK-8S and the results show less response in leptin resistant rats. They conclude that leptin is required for proper interaction between CCK and its receptors on VAN (54). Daly et al. also found reduced afferent vagal response to the mechanical and chemical stimulation in the high fat fed mice; CCK and serotonin effect on vagal afferents were diminished (55).

In another study, the effect of CCK-8 injection in obesity prone rats showed decreased response in this group of rats compared to obese resistant rats. This study examined the response by measuring the dorsal hindbrain expression of Fos-like immunoreactivity after CCK injection. The results were consistent with the previous studies and show decreased response to CCK in obese-prone rats (56). Intraintestinal infusion of oleate has been shown to activate myenteric neurons in the duodenum and jejunum, but not the ileum (57). High fat-diet fed rats also have decreased response to oleate intestinal infusion in terms of less inhibition of gastric emptying and food intake by measuring Fos-like expression in hindbrain, which reflects the activity of the vagus (58). Further injection of CCK or infusion of oleate causes less inhibition of gastric emptying in rats on a high fat diet (59). On the other hand, obese patients put on a caloric restriction (very low calories diet) for four days showed enhanced pyloric pressures and reduced antroduodenal motility suggesting a regained sensitivity to CCK. In obese patients the suppression of ghrelin and stimulation of PYY levels by a high-fat meal is blunted. Caloric restriction reversed the changes seen in obesity, restoring the inhibition of ghrelin and the stimulation of PYY after a high-fat meal (60). Similarly, one year of diet restriction on twenty-eight obese patients regained the effect of hormones on gastric emptying while the one month diet restriction did not cause these changes (61). Overall, these studies suggest obesity and high fat diet induces decreased CCK sensitivity in vagal afferent neurons and this seems to be reversed with caloric restriction.

In a recent study, Baudry et al. evaluated the pathophysiology behind accelerating gastric emptying in obese mice. The authors studied the effect of a Western diet on the enteric nervous system (ENS) phenotype. Mice were put on either normal diet or Western diet for fourteen weeks and assessed for gastrointestinal motility, leptin as well as glial cell line derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) expression. Mice on normal diet showed a decrease in antral nitregic neurons while these findings were not observed in mice on Western diet. The authors also found that mice on Western diet have increased level of leptin and GDNF in the antrum. These findings can explain the faster gastric emptying observed in obese patients. This study is one of the first studies in this field to explain the pathophysiology of increased gastric emptying in obese patients (62). Recently, certain genes have been implicated in gastric motility regulation and the sensitivity to hormones in...
Obesity. Papathanasopoulos et al. studied the genetic reason of the variation of gastric function in obese patients. A group of obese and overweight humans were tested for multiple candidate genes controlling serotonergic or adrenergic function like ADRA2A, ADR2C, ADRB3, GNB3, and SLC6A4. The patients then underwent gastric function tests, which include gastric emptying by scintigraphy, gastric volume by SPECT and maximal tolerant capacity. They found that there is an association between specific groups of genes and gastric function, for e.g., ADR2C was associated with accelerated solid gastric emptying; GNB3 and ADRA2A with decreased post-prandial gastric volume. The authors conclude that polymorphisms in genes such as ADR2C, UCP-3, GNB3 and FTO are associated with an intermediate gastric phenotype in obesity (63).

**Novel ways to treat obesity**

The knowledge of the link between GI motility and obesity can aid physicians in the treatment of obesity. Gastric electrical stimulation is a novel method in treating obesity, which has been studied for a long time. It includes inserting electrodes either in the stomach or duodenum to provoke gastric reverse contractions which cause delayed gastric emptying and also a more rapid intestinal transit, which can lead to weight loss. Dogs, pigs, rats and humans have similar results in prolonging gastric emptying and shortening the intestinal transit time with electrical stimulation (64-66).

**Obesity and small intestinal motility**

**Small intestinal transit time and obesity**

Intestinal transit time is an important factor to determine the amount of nutrients to be absorbed throughout the intestine. Prolonged transit time will lead to more absorption and weight gain. Conversely, shorter transit time means less time allowed for absorption and consequently weight loss. There are few studies evaluating the effect of obesity and diet on intestinal transit time and motility. Small intestine transit time can be measured by breath test or scintigraphy, but food needs to be delivered past the pylorus to accurately measure small intestine transit time. Otherwise the test will measure transit time from the mouth to the cecum, which includes gastric emptying and is called the orocecal transit time. There is a new technique to measure regional intestinal transit time and gastric emptying using a wireless capsule yet it has not been used to assess changes in small intestinal motility in obese patients (67). Wisen et al. studied the absorption rate in terms of calories and transit time using multiple radio labeled markers in massively obese patients and found that obese patients had significantly more absorption in the proximal intestine yet had a shorter intestinal transit time in comparison with normal weight people. The rapid absorption in the small intestine can lead to decreased satiety signals and thereby influence small intestinal motility (68). In a study in humans, using resected segments from small intestine from obese patients during Roux- en-Y surgery or in normal people during surgeries to resect benign polyps, they found intestinal segments from obese patients tend to have increased carbachol-induced smooth muscle contractility compared to normal patients, which can explain the faster transit in the proximal intestine in obesity (69). Orocecal transit time was found to be shorter in patients on high-fat diet for 14 days compared to patients on low-fat diet. This was associated with a shorter gastric emptying time. The authors conclude that the intestine has decreased sensitivity to regulatory mechanism such as gut hormones, CCK and PYY by exposure to high-fat diet but in this study it is not possible to determine which part of GI tract has this effect since gastric emptying was also shorter. CCK is known to inhibit gastric emptying and stimulate small intestinal motility (70). Increased nutrient content in the high fat diet, leads to intestinal adaption such as cell proliferation, increased intestinal length and nutrient uptake. This leads to reduced exposure of the intestinal field of receptors that are activated by fat such as the fat stimulated ileal mechanisms leading to slower gastric emptying and small intestinal transit. In the absence of these mechanisms the gastric emptying and proximal small intestinal transit are more rapid (71). Some studies suggest a longer small intestinal transit time in obesity. Rats fed on cafeteria diet have been shown to have longer overall transit time and increased length of small intestine (72). In another study, the orocecal transit time was measured using breath test and is found to be prolonged in obese patients comparing to healthy volunteers (73). However in a small study, no difference could be detected between obese and normal individuals in gastric emptying and orocecal transit (74).

**Mechanism of altered small bowel motility in obesity**

In a study using leptin deficient mice (Lepb) mice by Kiely et al. they found that, although the proximal small intestinal transit in these mice was increased, the overall transit was decreased. This was felt to be due to increased jejunal
response to CCK. In the same study the mice were given CCK and ACh and they found to have increased jejunal motility response to CCK in Lepob mice, which reflected a higher sensitivity to CCK (75). In another study Lepob mice were injected with leptin for four weeks, jejunal and ileum were isolated and motility tested with CCK and ACh stimulation. They found increased response to CCK but not ACh in Lepob mice that were injected with leptin and they concluded the synergistic action between leptin and CCK on small intestine motility (76). There are also changes in the absorption rates of specific nutrients in obese patients; Hyland et al. studied the adaptation of small intestine to high-fat diet in diet resistant and DIO rats and the DIO rats exhibit decreased intestinal glucose absorption. Decreased secretomotor responses in the jejunum were noted in DIO rats and felt to be due to reduced submucosal nerve activity leading to decreased glucose absorption as a possible adaptive response. This could also be a result of the higher levels of leptin in these mice and serve to decrease absorption of glucose as a regulatory mechanism (77). Mizuno et al. examined the nNOS neurons in the ileum of female ob/ob mice and found a significant reduction in these neurons. In addition there was increased expression of the purinergic receptor P2X, (78).

Small intestinal motility patterns and obesity

The small intestine has two types of motility patterns: fasting pattern which has three phases and occur in cyclical style and is responsible for clearing the upper gut and food residues, and fed pattern which has unpredictable style similar to phase II and serves to transit the food at a rate that gives enough time for proper digestion and absorption. Upon eating the gut switches to the fed pattern after receiving signals from afferent vagal and gut hormones like CCK and GLP-1. Obese patients are thought to have different fasting patterns of motility that include decreased motilin levels and reduced phase III activity (79). In a study by Hyland et al. the jejunal response to veratridine, which is a drug that increase nerve excitability, was different in diet-induced obese rats. Compared to diet resistant rats, the obese rats have increased phase I, decreased phase-II and sustained increased in phase III in jejunal motility (77). CCK injection in ob/ob mice had a higher effect on motility in this group compared to normal mice (75). Bertrand et al. studied serotonin availability in the rat intestine fed a high-fat diet and found a higher serotonin level due to increased synthesis and reduced uptake of serotonin, which can explain increased intestinal motility in obese patients (80). The cannabinoid receptor, CB1, has been identified in the enteric nervous system and its stimulation leads to reduced smooth muscle contractility (81). There are studies on DIO mice that showed decreased the levels of Anandamide, an endogenous cannabinoid neurotransmitter in the small intestine, and also decreased response to cannabinoid receptors CB1 antagonist and that can explain the increased motility in these mice (82). Another factor that can affect the motility is the different intestinal bacterial growth pattern in obese patients. A recent study showed obese patients have a higher incidence of small intestinal bacterial overgrowth and these finding were associated with increased pattern of cluster contractions but no differences were noted in the in the fasting motility pattern. Clustered contractions are more common in pathologic conditions such as irritable bowel syndrome (IBS) and partial small bowel obstruction (83). Some studies relating to improvement in intestinal motility with electrical stimulation have been suggested. Intestinal electrical stimulation was found to accelerate the intestinal transit via activating the vagus afferent nerves in pigs and rats (64,84).

In summary, the majority of studies found increased proximal gut transit, increased small intestinal contractility and decreased distal gut transit in obesity. In obesity the presence of leptin resistance can alter CCK sensitivity leading to slower distal small intestinal transit. In addition, there is an evidence of more efficient nutrient absorption in obese patients and also an adaptation response to high-fat diet by decreasing glucose transporters.

Changes in colonic motility in obesity

In an adult population the frequency of constipation was assessed in 966 obese patients and was found to be significantly higher compared to people with normal weights (85). In a study involving children there was increased prevalence of constipation in obese children; 21% in obese children comparing to 8.8 % in lean children have constipation with delayed total colonic transit time in a minority of obese children (86). Bertrand et al. found less availability of serotonin in the colon of high fat diet-induced obese rats and this was due to lower number of enterochromatic cells and can explain high-fat diet induced constipation (87). Postprandial colonic response was studied after a high carbohydrate or high fat meal in normal individuals and both meals caused increased colonic motility measured by sensors in the colon, however the fat induced...
response was stronger and induced retrograde phasic contractions that may contribute to delayed colonic transit seen with high fat diets. This is called gastrocolic reflex and seems to be based on the diet type (88).

Other studies have also shown that there is an increased prevalence of diarrhea in obese patients (89,90). A study assessing the changes in colonic sensory and motor function associated with obesity showed that obese patients tend to have higher pain threshold and decreased compliance to inflated barostat in the colon. They also have shorter transit time in colon measured by scintigraphy. Shorter transit time can be an explanation for increased diarrhea prevalence between obese patients (91).

In summary the studies on obesity and colonic motility are limited. Future studies will need to examine the relationship between high fat diet, obesity and changes in the brain-gut axis. In vitro studies suggest apoptosis of rodent enteric neurons in the presence of saturated fatty
acids (Srinivasan et al., unpublished data), however the mechanisms underlying this need to be further explored. Recently enteric neurons in the murine colon have been shown to display SIRT1 immunoreactivity (92). SIRT1 may have a role in regulating gastrointestinal motility in obesity. The role of bacterial products in regulating gastrointestinal motility has been of recent interest. We recently demonstrated the role of Toll like receptor-4 in regulating gastrointestinal motility (93). More studies need to be performed to understand the role of sirtuins and toll like receptors in obesity-induced changes in gastrointestinal motility.

Obesity has a multitude of effects on the entire human body. In this review, we have outlined the current knowledge on the gastrointestinal motility disturbances in obesity. Figure 1 summarizes the changes in gastrointestinal motility seen in obesity. Some diseases such as GERD have a clear association with obesity. Enhanced gastric emptying has also been noted in obese patients and this could be both a cause and consequence of obesity. Finally studies on the changes in the intestinal transit are limited but suggest an enhanced upper intestinal motility and a delayed distal small intestine and colonic motility in obesity. The mechanisms underlying the changes in gastrointestinal motility in obesity are complex and include alteration in sensitivity to CCK, changes in receptors such as CB1 and alteration in enteric neuronal numbers. Future studies will have to explore the role of microbiota in the modulation of gastrointestinal motility in obesity.
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