Laparoscopic Finney pyloroplasty in the emergency setting: first case report in the literature and technical challenges
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Abstract: Pyloroplasty is currently reserved for emergencies (perforation, bleeding), but may occasionally be performed to treat benign gastric outlet obstruction (GOO). Historically, two techniques are available: the Mikulicz pyloroplasty, by which the pylorus is incised longitudinally and sutured vertically, and the Finney pyloroplasty, by which a U-shaped inverted incision is made in the second part of duodenum (D1–D2), followed by a side-to-side gastroduodenostomy. We report our experience in this single case of laparoscopic Finney pyloroplasty (LFP) performed in the emergency setting for a woman with a perforated duodenal ulcer and severe loss of tissue in D1–D2. Due to the presence of severely inflamed perforation edges and the risk of duodenal narrowing with subsequent GOO, Finney technique was favored over direct ulcer repair. The patient achieved a full postoperative recovery free of complications, with a dynamic oral contrast study demonstrating good gastric evacuation. Review of the current literature revealed no similar cases, as LFP has only been performed in the canine model. Although LFP requires a specific surgical skill-set, we believe it can be effective and feasible in cases of duodenal perforation with significant loss of mural substance.
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Introduction

Several laparoscopic techniques are currently available to treat the emergent complications of peptic ulcer disease (PUD), which include gastrointestinal perforation, hemorrhage and obstruction. Many studies have demonstrated the feasibility and the advantages of laparoscopic surgery (LS) compared to the traditional open surgical approach in the emergency setting (1). We report the first case in the literature, to the best of our knowledge, of a laparoscopic Finney pyloroplasty (LFP) in order to address the challenges when performing a laparoscopic pyloroplasty procedure in a case of perforated DU with gastric outlet obstruction (GOO) and significant loss of mural substance in which direct ulcer repair is impossible.

Case presentation

A 50-year-old woman was referred to the Emergency Department (ED) of an Italian General Hospital with sudden-onset acute abdominal pain accompanied by nausea and unintentional weight loss of 5 kg over 3 weeks. The patient did not take non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), smoke, or drink alcohol, and her history did not include any conditions predisposing to peptic ulceration. Physical examination revealed guarding and rebound tenderness in the hypochondria and epigastrium. Laboratory investigations yielded a white cell count of 21,700×10³/μL alongside normal hemoglobin and electrolyte levels. On abdominal radiography there was free subdiaphragmatic gas, meteoric hyperinflation of the entire...
colon and widespread fecal residue with multiple air-fluid levels. An abdominopelvic contrast-enhanced computed tomography (CT) confirmed massive pneumoperitoneum, with free gas confined within the intra-hepatic fissure and periportal free gas tracking posterior to the portal vein, a fluid-filled distended stomach, as well as a periduodenal fluid collection with mural thickening (Figure 1). A preoperative diagnosis of generalized peritonitis and DU perforation was established. After aggressive fluid resuscitation and preoperative optimization, the patient was transferred to the operating theatre, induced by general anesthesia and positioned supine, with legs straight and abducted. The primary surgeon was positioned between the patient’s legs, with the assistant to the patient’s right. A first dose of antibiotics (1.2 g of intravenous co-amoxiclav) was administered on induction. Following skin preparation and draping, pneumoperitoneum was established with the open Hasson technique, 4 trocars (ENDOPATH® XCEL dilating-tip trocar, Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Guaynabo, Puerto Rico 00969 USA) were inserted and views were established with a 30° camera (Figure 2A).

The first operative step consisted of abdominal cavity exploration, confirming the diagnosis of generalized peritonitis and retracting the liver, which revealed a 25 mm perforated DU in the first and second part of the duodenum (D1–D2), with a deforming retraction scar (Figure 2B). Although the liver was retracted via the left hypochondrial port, direct ulcer repair could not be achieved, necessitating a Kocher maneuver. The second operative step therefore included adhesiolysis and duodenal mobilization from the retraction scar and related fibrous tissue. The extensive Kocher maneuver performed also served to reduce tension on the subsequent anastomotic suture. The duodenum was dissected from proximal attachments to the gastrohepatic ligament in order to allow approximation of D2 to the distal antral greater curvature. The ulcer borders were excised and extracted with an ENDOPOUCH® (Ethicon) specimen retrieval bag and sent for histopathological analysis. Microscopy of the 50×30×20 mm³ tissue section revealed inflammatory cells and granulation tissue, typical of peptic ulceration with exclusion of any malignancy.

Following excision of the inferior and superior rims of the ulcer and exposure of D2, we performed a longitudinal duodenotomy and a longitudinal gastrotomy, as per the FP.

A transmural incision was made along the inverted U-shaped line running from the gastric antrum 4 to 5 cm proximal to the pylorus curving through the duodenal bulb and down to D2. Duodenal mobilisation and the longitudinal gastrotomy and pylorotomy were performed with the Ethicon ULTRACISION® Harmonic Scalpel.

Approximation of the two incisions confirmed a tension-free anastomosis. Subsequently, a double-layer side-to-side anastomosis between the distal stomach and proximal duodenum was fashioned with a 3–0 violet monofilament polydioxanone suture (PDS™, Ethicon, Ethi-Endo-Clip-Suture, Lahodny, Johnson & Johnson Medical S.P.A. Via del Mare, 56.00040 Pomezia, Roma; Figure 2C). The posterior outer layer was formed with a continuous seromuscular suture from the apex of the inverted U-shaped incision, followed by a continuous full-thickness suture for the posterior inner layer. The anterior inner and outer layers were formed with a full-thickness interrupted absorbable suture. Omentoplasty, using the greater omentum, was then performed to consolidate the anastomosis (Figure 2D).

Finally, the abdomen was generously irrigated with 5 L of 0.9% saline solution. A nasogastric tube (NGT) was inserted, as well as two intra-abdominal drains to the paraduodenal and suprahepatic areas. The operation described above was carried out uneventfully and completely laparoscopically in 240 minutes, with an estimated blood loss of 150 mL and without blood product transfusion.

The patient was postoperatively transferred to the Intensive Care Unit and was initiated on a six-day course of intravenous metronidazole and ertapenem, according to advice from the local Microbiology Department. Endotracheal extubation took place on the 1st postoperative day with the patient hemodynamically and clinically stable. The abdominal drains were removed on the...
On the 5th postoperative day a Gastrografin® (diatrizoate meglumine and diatrizoate sodium solution) enhanced dynamic radiological study was performed, which excluded gastrointestinal leakage. The NGT was therefore removed and free fluids per os were commenced, followed by escalation to a soft diet. The patient was discharged on the 8th postoperative day with normal bloods values (full blood count, urea, electrolytes, C-reactive protein) and with a prescription for regular oral proton pump inhibitors. The repeat dynamic study at 1 month yielded normal result (Figure 3), and at her 6-month follow-up the patient remained well and asymptomatic.

Discussion

Zelickson et al. reported that PUD affects 4 million people worldwide (2-4). The number of patients requiring elective surgery for PUD has declined markedly since the widespread introduction of effective antiulcer drugs (5). PUD-related deaths arise primarily from hemorrhage and perforation, both of which can be managed with minimally invasive surgery (MIS). The evidence-based guidelines produced by the European Association for Endoscopic Surgery in 2006 and many others studies have
demonstrated the superiority of laparoscopic surgery, even in the emergency setting (6,7). Furthermore, routine use of laparoscopy in the diagnosis and management of the acute abdomen has been widely accepted (8). MIS confers many advantages when compared to open surgery. Specifically, LS guarantees perfect exposure of the abdominal cavity, achieves a lower incidence of adhesion formation and wound complications, less postoperative pain and superior cosmesis. LS additionally permits earlier recovery of gastrointestinal motility with rapid resumption of daily activities (1,8). Various laparoscopic approaches and many modified techniques have been evaluated to address gastric and DU. Gastric and duodenal perforations (up to 2 cm in diameter) can be successfully treated with the use of a single-layer suture “Graham patch technique”, employing gelatin sponge or fibrin glue (9-12). Despite the variety of available methods, repair of severe duodenal wall defects which are associated with significant morbidity and mortality remains a challenge (13,14).

John Miller Turpin Finney [1863-1942] pioneered the pyloroplasty to alleviate GOO, as a complication of PUD (15). The original technique was a double-layer side-to-side anastomosis between the distal stomach and proximal duodenum. In the classical FP the second part of the duodenum (D2) and the distal part stomach were first approximated by means of a seromuscular suture in order to close the angle of the pylorus. Afterwards, an inverted U-shaped incision was made through D2 across the pyloric sphincter and down the pyloric canal (16). In our technique, we have respected the principles of Finney’s procedure, as illustrated in Figure 4. The posterior walls of the gastric and the duodenum portions were closed with a continuous seromuscular suture running through the inferior gastroduodenal angle to proceed onto the anterior wall of the gastroduodenostomy. Recent studies reported the feasibility of LFP in a canine model, which strongly suggests applicability to human gastrointestinal surgery since canine and human anatomy in this region is similar (17). Sánchez-Margallo et al. evaluated the technical feasibility of LFP in six dogs and successfully completed all the operations laparoscopically and postoperative studies assessed the correct function of the anastomosis (18).

This case report describes a duodenal perforation with extensive loss of mural substance and a significant retraction scar, which rendered direct ulcer repair infeasible thus necessitating FP. This procedure also allowed removal of the ulcer for histological examination, which confirmed the intraoperative diagnosis. Nevertheless, there are certain important considerations to undertaking a LFP. Firstly, the size of duodenal ulceration must be evaluated. In fact, a direct suture is unmanageable in full thickness peptic ulcers of at least 2 cm diameter, which are related to a retraction scar. Secondly, the site of perforation determines the most appropriate operation. A posterior perforation, or one, which involves an extensive area of D2, may necessitate partial gastrectomy. Thirdly, the surgeon’s experience must be considered as the FP may actually cause GOO via local scarring or edema, which then requires NGT decompression and conservative treatment. Additionally, due to severe adhesions which may form between the gallbladder and duodenum, intraoperative cholangiography and cholecystectomy may be necessary to evaluate the biliary
anatomy and avoid biliary injuries, particularly in the setting of a bleeding perforated DU which requires suturing (19). In this particular emergency case however, we decided against cholangiography and cholecystectomy since the anatomy of the external biliary tree was clearly visualized without variation, and there was no bleeding.

Local case volume in LS, as well as operator experience allowed for accurate intraoperative diagnosis, as well as completion of the procedure totally laparoscopically. Review of the medical literature does not reveal any reports of LFP in the emergency setting. This procedure, with an open approach, is in fact undertaken in cases of benign GOO, and is only rarely performed in the emergency setting since it is more technically challenging than the Heineke-Mikulicz pyloroplasty (20-23). FP can be used particularly when the site of the perforation is too wide and located in D2, to ensure good caliber of the pylorus when no healthy tissue around the ulcer can provide good closure of the duodenal defect (18,24). As this is a single case report, further studies are required to define the long-term outcomes and/or compare LS to open surgery in perforated DU with GOO. In conclusion, we report the first case of LFP to treat a perforated DU with GOO in the emergency setting, and believe this is both an effective and feasible approach with a long learning curve.
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